### THE INTERNAL RATE OF RETURN OF FUZZY CASH FLOWS

# L. BIACINO & M.R. SIMONELLI

### ABSTRACT

An internal rate of return (IRR) of an investment or financing project with cash flow  $(a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$  is usually defined as a rate of interest r such that

$$a_0 + a_1(1+r)^{-1} + \ldots + a_n(1+r)^{-n} = 0.$$

If the cash flow has one sign change then the previous equation has a unique solution r > -1.

Generally the IRR technique does not extend to fuzzy cash flows, as it can be seen with examples (see [2]). In this paper we show that under suitable hypothesis a unique fuzzy IRR exists for a fuzzy cash flow.

Keywords: Mathematics of finance, Fuzzy numbers.

# Introduction.

In [2] Buckley has proposed the fuzzy extension of the mathematics of finance. His paper develops fuzzy analogues of the elementary compound interest problems, as the future value, the present value and the internal rate of return (IRR) of a cash flow.

With respect to the last problem he has proved the possibility of non existence of the IRR of a fuzzy cash flow where the fuzzy numbers involved,  $A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_n$ , have one sign change (in the crisp case this is the most important cash flow situation and it is well-known that a unique IRR is guaranteed).

This situation is not an exception when passing from classical equation to the fuzzy analogues, but the rule, as one can see with very simple equations (see Examples 1 and 3 of [1]).

In this note we indicate some quite natural hipotheses on the fuzzy numbers,  $A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_n$ , in order to a unique fuzzy IRR exists, at least in the previous simplest situation (one only sign change).

Observe that the class of fuzzy numbers we consider is more general than the one considered by Buckley: for example the fuzzy numbers we consider can be not continuous.

The technique we use is related to the procedure of [1]. Indeed, in spite of its very specific character, this note supports a rather broader point: the fuzzy arithmetic is semplified if the membership function is inverted and represented as a pair of functions, the functions being the boundaries of the fuzzy number's cut set.

# 1. Definitions and some basic result.

A fuzzy number (f.n.) A is defined by means of its membership function  $\mu_A : \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$ .

The y-cut of A is defined by:  $C_{\mathcal{A}}^{y} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} / \mu_{A}(x) \geq y\}.$ 

The support of A is the set  $supp A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \mu_A(x) > 0\}.$ 

We say that A is convex if the y-cuts of A are convex for  $0 < y \le 1$ .

A is called bounded if supp A is bounded, positive if supp  $A \subset ]0, +\infty[$ , negative if supp  $A \subset ]-\infty, 0[$ , normal if there exists  $x_0$  such that  $\mu_A(x_0)=1$ .

Moreover we say that A is upper semicontinuous (u.s.c.) if its membership function is upper semicontinuous.

If A is an u.s.c. normal, convex and bounded f.n. then for every  $y \in ]0,1]$  the y-cut of A is a closed, bounded interval  $[f_1(y/A), f_2(y/A)] \neq \emptyset$ ; we shall suppose all fuzzy numbers considered are normal, and we set:  $(\text{supp}A)^- = [f_1(0/A), f_2(0/A)]$ .

We call  $f_1(y/A)$  and  $f_2(y/A)$  the cut-functions of A. Of course  $f_1(y/A)(f_2(y/A))$  is an (not necessarily strictly) increasing (decreasing resp.) function of  $y \in [0, 1]$ .

**Remark.** Observe that in [2] Buckley defines the fuzzy number A by means of two continuous and invertible functions  $f_1(y/A)$  and  $f_2(y/A)$  defined in the interval [0,1]. Since we consider convex, bounded and u.s.c. f.n. A, the cut-functions  $f_1(y/A)$  and  $f_2(y/A)$  only need to be left-continuous. Indeed we have

$$\begin{split} C_A^{y_0} &= [f_1(y_0/A), f_2(y_0/A)] = \bigcap_{y < y_0} C_A^y = \bigcap_{y < y_0} [f_1(y/A), f_2(y/A)] = \\ &= [\sup_{y < y_0} f_1(y/A), \inf_{y < y_0} f_2(y/A)] \end{split}$$

hence

$$f_1(y_0/A) = \sup_{y < y_0} f_1(y/A) = \lim_{y \to y_0^-} f_1(y/A), \quad f_2(y_0/A) = \inf_{y < y_0} f_2(y/A) = \lim_{y \to y_0^-} f_2(y/A).$$

A flat fuzzy number A is a f.n. whose membership function is given by:

$$0 if x < a$$

$$(x-a)/u if a \le x \le a + u$$

$$\mu_A/x(= \{1 if a + u \le x \le b - v \}$$

$$(b-x)/v if b - v \le x \le b$$

$$0 if x > b.$$

where  $a \leq a+u \leq b-v \leq b$ . In particular if a+u=b-v we have triangular f.n.; obviously the y-cut of A is the set  $\{x \in \mathbb{R} \ / \ \mu_A(x) \geq y\} = [a+yu,b-yv]$  for  $0 < y \leq 1$ .

Observe that if  $h: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is a function and if  $A_1, \ldots, A_n$  are f.n., then by means of Zadeh's extension principle, we can obtain a f.n. A whose membership function is

$$\mu_A(z) = \bigvee \{ \mu_A(x_1) \land \ldots \land \mu_A(x_n) / h(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = z \}.$$

In particular if \* is a binary operation in  $\mathbf{R}$  the previous procedure gives its extension to the set of the fuzzy numbers.

**Proposition 1.** If  $A_1, \ldots, A_n$  are normal then A is normal; if h is continuous and  $A_1, \ldots, A_n$  are convex then A is convex (see [1], Proposition 2.2).

**Proposition 2.** If \* is continuous and A and B are bounded u.s.c. f.n. then

$$C_A^y * C_B^y = C_{A*B}^y,$$

 $C_A^y*C_B^y$  being the set  $\{x_1*x_2 \ / \ x_1 \in C_A^y, \ x_2 \in C_B^y\}$ . (See [1]), Proposition 2.4). In particular A\*B is u.s.c.

# 2. Fuzzy cash flows and the internal rate of return.

Consider an investment or financing project with cash flow  $(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ . An internal rate of return (IRR) is usually defined as a rate of interest r such that:

$$a_0 + a_1(1+r)^{-1} + \ldots + a_n(1+r)^{-n} = 0.$$

If  $a_0 < 0$  and if the cash flow has one sign change, then the previous equation has a unique solution r > -1.

The simplest and most important cash flow situation is when  $a_k > 0$  for  $1 \le k \le n$ , i.e., the case of a pure investment project.

In this Section we consider a fuzzy cash flow of a pure investment project  $(-A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_n)$  with  $A_k$  positive u.s.c. convex and bounded f.n. for every  $k \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ .

**Definition.** A fuzzy internal rate of return (f.IRR) is an u.s.c., convex and bounded fuzzy number R > -1 (that is  $f_1(y/A_k) > -1$  for every  $y \in [0,1]$ ) satisfying the fuzzy equation:

$$A_0 = \sum_{k=1}^n A_k \otimes (1 \oplus R)^{-k}.$$

where  $\otimes$ ,  $\oplus$  denote the multiplication and the addition extended to fuzzy numbers by means of the Zadeh's extension principle.

If  $A_k$  and R are given f.n. convex, bounded and u.s.c., then, by Proposition 1 and 2 of Section 1,  $A_0$  is a convex u.s.c. f.n. whose cut-functions are:

(2) 
$$f_1(y/A_0) = \sum_{k=1}^n f_1(y/A_k)[1 + f_2(y/R)]^{-k}$$

(3) 
$$f_2(y/A_0) = \sum_{k=1}^n f_2(y/A_k)[1 + f_1(y/R)]^{-k}$$

In order to solve Equation (1) we have the following:

**Proposition 1.** If, for every  $k \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$  we have that

(4) 
$$\begin{cases} f_2(y/A_k)/f_2(y/A_0) & \text{is increasing} \\ f_1(y/A_k)/f_1(y/A_0) & \text{is decreasing} \end{cases}$$

in the interval [0,1] and

(5) 
$$f_2(1/A_k)/f_1(1/A_k) \le f_2(1/A_0)/f_1(1/A_0)$$

then there exists a unique f.n. R > -1 convex and u.s.c. satisfying Equation (1).

*Proof.* Consider the equation (2) and (3) in the unknowns  $f_1(y/R)$ ,  $f_2(y/R)$ . It is well-known that they admit one solution greater than -1, respectively,  $f_2(y/R) > -1$  and  $f_1(y/R) > -1$ .

We shall prove that

- i)  $f_1(1/R) \le f_2(1/R)$
- ii)  $f_1(y/R)$  is increasing function of y,  $f_2(y/R)$  is decreasing.

Proof of i). If  $f_1(1/R) > f_2(1/R)$ , then we have,

$$\forall k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$$
  $[1 + f_1(y/R)]^{-k} < [1 + f_2(y/R)]^{-k}$ 

and hence, by (5), (2), (3):

$$f_2(1/A_0) = \sum_{k=1}^n f_2(1/A_k)[1 + f_1(1/R)]^{-k} <$$

$$< f_2(1/A_0)/f_1(1/A_0) \sum_{k=1}^n f_1(1/A_k)[1 + f_2(1/R)]^{-k} =$$

$$= f_2(1/A_0),$$

an absurdity. Thus  $f_1(1/R) \leq f_2(1/R)$ .

Proof of ii). We have to prove that

(6) 
$$0 \le y_1 < y_2 \le 1 \Rightarrow f_1(y_1/R) \le f_1(y_2/R).$$

If there exist  $y_1, y_2$  such that  $0 \le y_1 < y_2 \le 1$  and  $f_1(y_1/R) > f_1(y_2/R)$ , then, by (2), (3), (5) and the first assertion in (4), we obtain:

$$1 = \sum_{k=1}^{n} [1 + f_1(y_1/R)]^{-k} f_2(y_1/A_k) / f_2(y_1/A_0) <$$

$$< \sum_{k=1}^{n} [1 + f_1(y_2/R)]^{-k} f_2(y_2/A_k) f_2(y_2/A_0) = 1,$$

an absurdity. Thus implication (6) holds for every  $y_1, y_2$ .

Analogously one proves that  $f_2(y/R)$  is decreasing.

Consider the intervals  $[f_1(y/R), f_2(y/R)], y \in [0, 1]$ . As is well known, they constitute the family of the cuts of a fuzzy number if: for every  $y_0 \in ]0, 1]$ ,

$$[f_1(y_0/R), f_2(y_0/R)] = \bigcap_{y < y_0} [f_1(y/R), f_2(y/R)]$$

and this is true if

$$\lim_{y \to y_0^-} f_1(y/R) = \sup_{y < y_0} f_1(y/R) = f_1(y_0/R), \qquad \lim_{y \to y_0^-} f_2(y/R) = \inf_{y < y_0} f_2(y/R) = f_2(y_0/R).$$

Now, these equalities are an immediate consequence of Equations (2) and (3) and the left-continuity of cut-functions of  $A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_n$ .

Thus we can consider the fuzzy number R whose membership function is defined by:

$$\mu_R(x) = \sup y \cdot \chi_{[f_1(y/R), f_2(y/R)]}(x)$$

and R is convex, bounded, normal and u.s.c. since

$${x: \mu_R(x) \ge y} = [f_1(y/R), f_2(y/)].$$

It is now obvious that R is solution of Equation (1).

**Remark 1.** Notice that by (5) we have in particular, that if  $f_2(1/A_0) = f_1(1/A_0)$ , that is the peak of  $A_0$  is constituted by a unique point, also  $f_2(1/A_k) = f_1(1/A_k)$ ,  $\forall k \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ , that is the peaks of  $A_1, A_2, ..., A_n$  are constituted by a unique point.

In particular we have

**Proposition 2.** Let  $A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_n$  be positive flat fuzzy numbers, that is  $f_1(y/Ak) = a_k + yu_k$ ,

$$f_2(y/A_k) = b_k - yv_k, \ a_k \le a_k + u_k \le b_k - v_k \le b_k, \text{ for every } k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots n\}.$$
 If

(7) 
$$u_k/a_k \le u_0/a_0, \quad v_k/b_k \le v_0/b_0$$

(8) 
$$(b_k - v_k)/(a_k + u_k) \leq (b_0 - v_0)/(a_0 + u_0)$$

then there exists a unique convex, u.s.c. f.n. R > -1 satisfying Equation (1).

Proof. Indeed (7) entails that

$$(b_k - yv_k)/(b_0 - yv_0) = f_2(y/A_k)/f_2(y/A_0)$$

is increasing and

$$(a_k + yu_k)/(a_0 + yu_0) = f_1(y/A_k)/f_1(y/A_0)$$

is decreasing.

20

(8) is nothing but (5).

**Remark 2.** If  $(b_0 - v_0)/(a_0 + u_0) = 1$ , that is  $A_0$  is triangular, then (8) entails the  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n$  are all triangular numbers. And if  $u_0 = v_0 = 0$ , that is  $A_0$  is crisp, then follows from (7) that also  $u_k = v_k = 0$ ,  $\forall k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ , that is  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n$  are cristoo.

**Example 1.** Let  $A_0, A_1, A_2$  be triangular numbers with parameters

$$u_0 = v_0 = 10,$$
  $v_k = v_k = 5,$   $a_0 + u_0 = b_0 - v_0 = 110,$   $a_k + u_k = b_k - v_k = 70$ 

(7) and (8) are verified. Equation (2) and (3) become:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} (65 + y5)[1 + f_2(y/R)]^{-k} = 100 + 10y.$$
$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} (75 - y5)[1 + f_1(y/R)]^{-k} = 120 - 10y.$$

Hence

$$f_1(y/R) = 1/(48 - 4y)[-33 + 3y + \sqrt{(9y^2 - 246y + 1665)}]$$
(9) 
$$f_2(y/R) = 1/(40 - 4y)[-27 + 3y + \sqrt{(9y^2 - 210y + 1209)}]$$

and  $f_1(1/R) = f_2(1/R) \cong 0{,}1770$  that is the IRR in the crisp case.

Notice that  $f_1(0/R) \cong 0,1625$  and  $f_2(0/R) \cong 0.1942$  hence the IRR is not less that 0,1625 and not greatest that 0,1942.

**Example 2.** Let  $A_0, A_1, A_2$  be flat numbers with parameteres

$$a_0 = 100$$
  $u_0 = v_0 = 10$   $b_0 = 140$   $a_k = 65$   $u_k = v_k = 5$   $b_k = 85$ 

(7) and (8) are satisfied. Equations (2) and (3) become:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} (65 + y5)[1 + f_2(y/R)]^{-k} = 100 + 10y$$
$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} (85 - y5)[1 + f_1(y/R)]^{-k} = 140 - 10y$$

Hence we obtain that  $f_2(y/R)$  is given by (9) and

$$f_1(y/R) = 1/(56 - 4y)[-39 + 3y + \sqrt{9y^2 - 282y + 2193}].$$

Observe that

$$f_1(1/R) \cong 0,1503 < f_2(1/R) \cong 0,1770$$
  
 $f_1(1/R) \cong 0,1398 f_2(1/R) \cong 0,1942.$ 

**Example 3.** Let  $A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_n$  defined by

$$f_1(y/A_0) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } y \in [0, 1/2] \\ 2, & \text{if } y \in [1/2, 1] \end{cases}; \qquad f_2(y/A_0) = 4, \quad \forall y \in [0, 1]$$

$$f_1(y/A_1) = \begin{cases} 1/2, & \text{if } y \in [0, 1/2] \\ 1, & \text{if } y \in [1/2, 1] \end{cases}; \qquad f_2(y/A_1) = 2, \quad \forall y \in [0, 1]$$

$$f_1(y/A_2) = 3/2; f_2(y/A_2) = 5/2, \forall y \in [0, 1].$$

(4) and (5) are satisfied.

Equations (2) become

$$1 = 1/2(1 + f_2(y/R))^{-1} + 3/2(1 + f_2(y/R))^{-2}$$
, for  $y \in [0, 1/2]$ 

and

$$2 = (1 + f_2(y/R))^{-1} + 3/2(1 + f_2(y/R))^{-2}, \text{ for } y \in ]1/2, 0]$$

from which we obtain

$$f_2(y/R) = \begin{cases} 0.5, & \text{if } y \in [0, 1/2] \\ 0.1516, & \text{if } y \in ]1/2, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Equations (3) become:

$$4 = 2(1 + f_1(y/R))^{-1} + 5/2(1 + f_1(y/R))^{-2},$$

that gives

$$f_1(y/R) = 0,0734.$$

# References.

- Biacino, L., Lettieri, A., (1989) "Equations with fuzzy numbers", Information Sciences, Vol. 47, N. 1, pag. 63.
- [2] Buckley, J.J., (1987) "The fuzzy mathematics of finance", Fuzzy Sets and Systems 21, 257-273, North-Holland.

L. Biacino
Dip. di Matematica e Applicazioni
"R. Caccioppoli"
Napoli. Italy

M.R. Simonelli Istituto di Matematica Finanziaria Istituto Universitario Navale Napoli. Italy