

ALGEBRAIC METHODS FOR SOLVING  
BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS

Lucas Jódar

SUMMARY

*By means of the reduction of boundary value problems to algebraic ones, conditions for the existence of solutions and explicit expressions of them are obtained. These boundary value problems are related to the second order operator differential equation  $X^{(2)} + A_1 X^{(1)} + A_0 X = 0$ , and  $X^{(1)} = A + BX + XC$ . For the finite-dimensional case, computable expressions of the solutions are given.*

1. Introduction.

For the finite-dimensional case, second order operator differential equations are important in the theory of damped oscillatory systems and vibrational systems, [4], [7]. Infinite-dimensional equations occur frequently in the theory of stochastic processes, the degradation of polymers, infinite ladder network theory in engineering, [1], [18], denumerable Markov chains, and moment problems, [14], [21]. Throughout this paper  $H$  will denote a complex separable Hilbert space and  $L(H)$  will denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $H$ . In the section 2

of this paper we consider two-point boundary value problems of the type

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{U} + A_1 \dot{U} + A_0 U &= 0 \\ F_1 \dot{U}(a) - U(0)G_1 &= E_1 \\ F_2 \dot{U}(b) - U(0)G_2 &= E_2 \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

where the coefficient are operators in  $L(H)$ ,  $0 < a \leq b$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq b$ .

It is well known that the resolution problem of a scalar second linear differential equation with constant coefficients,  $\ddot{u} + a_1 \dot{u} + a_0 u = 0$ , is solved from the determination of the roots of the associated algebraic equation,  $z^2 + a_1 z + a_0 = 0$ . In an analogous way to the scalar case we obtain sufficient conditions for the resolution problem (1.1) in terms of solutions of the algebraic operator equation

$$T^2 + A_1 T + A_0 = 0 \quad (1.2)$$

This equation has been studied in [13] where a methodology for its resolution is given. The problem of the solvability of the equation (1.2) is related to the factorization of the polynomial operator  $L(z) = z^2 + zA_1 + A_0$ . For the finite-dimensional case, it is known, [7], that if the companion operator

$$C_L = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ -A_0 & -A_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

is diagonalizable, then there is a linear factorization  $L(z) = (zI + T_1)(zI + T_2)$ , and in this case  $-T_2$ , is a solution of (1.2). Different conditions for the existence of a linear factorization of  $L(z)$  are given in [9] for the finite-dimensional case, and in [20] for the infinite-dimensional one.

In a recent paper, [12], we gave a resolution method for solving boundary value problems of the type

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{U} &= A + BU + UC \\ E_1 U(b) - U(0)F_1 &= G_1 \\ E_2 U(a) - U(0)F_2 &= G_2 \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

in terms of the solutions of an algebraic Lyapunov system of the type

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 + B_1 X + X C_1 &= 0 \\ A_2 + B_2 X + X C_2 &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

where the coefficient matrices arising in (1.4) are related to the data problem. Moreover, in [12], a resolution method for solving the system (1.4) by application of the annihilating polynomial technique is given. In the section 3 we obtain an existence and uniqueness condition for the system (1.4) and an explicit expression of the solution of (1.3) under the uniqueness condition is given.

For the sake of clarity in the exposition we recall some concepts that will be used below. If  $T$  lies in  $L(H)$ , its spectrum  $\sigma(T)$  is the set of all complex numbers  $z$  such  $zI - T$ , is not invertible in  $L(H)$ ,  $\sigma_\pi(T)$  denotes its approximate point spectrum and  $\sigma_\delta(T)$  denotes its approximate defect spectrum. Definitions and properties of these parts of the spectrum  $\sigma(T)$  can be found in [11].

For a matrix  $A \in C_{n \times n}$ , where  $C$  denotes the complex plane, we represent by  $A^-$  as a matrix satisfying  $A A^- A = A$ . We recall that the Drazin inverse of  $A$ , denoted by  $A^D$  satisfies this property and its computation is available as a polynomial in  $A$ , see [5], [19], for details.

If  $C$  is a  $m \times m$  real matrix,  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  and  $D \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times s}$ , then the tensor product of  $C$  and  $D$  written  $C \otimes D$ , is defined as the partitioned matrix

$$C \otimes D = \begin{bmatrix} c_{11}D, & \dots, & c_{1n}D \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ c_{m1}D, & \dots, & c_{mn}D \end{bmatrix}$$

an account of the uses and applications of the operation  $\otimes$  can be found in [15] or [16]. If  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , we denote

$$\hat{C} = \text{vec} C = \begin{bmatrix} C_{.1} \\ \vdots \\ C_{.n} \end{bmatrix}, \quad C_{.j} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{1j} \\ \vdots \\ c_{mj} \end{bmatrix}$$

If  $M$ ,  $N$  and  $P$  are matrices with suitable dimensions and  $P^T$  denotes the transposed matrix of  $P$ , then for the column lemma, [3], one gets  $\text{vec}(MNP) = (P^T \otimes M) \text{vec} N$ .

## 2. Boundary value problems for the second order operator differential equation.

For the sake of clarity in the exposition we state the following result whose proof can be found in [13].

Lemma 1. ([13]). If  $T_0$  is a solution of the operator equation (1.2), then the operator function

$$U(t) = \exp(tT_0)C_0 + \int_0^t \exp((t-s)T_0) \exp(sT_1) D ds \quad (2.1)$$

where  $T_1 = -(T_0 + A_1)$  and  $D = C_1 - T_0 C_0$ , is a solution of the problem

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{U} + A_1 \dot{U} + A_0 U &= 0 \\ U(0) &= C_0; \quad \dot{U}(0) = C_1 \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Our first result gives an algebraic condition on the data problem for solving the boundary value problem (1.1).

Theorem 1. Let us consider the boundary value problem (1.1). If  $T_0$  is a solution of the equation (1.2) and the system

$$\begin{aligned} AT - TB &= C \\ DT - TE &= F \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

is compatible, where

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= -(T_0 + A_1); \quad A = F_1 \exp(aT_0); \quad B = G_1; \quad C = E_1 - F_1 \exp(aT_1) \\ D &= F_2 T_0 \exp(bT_0); \quad E = G_2; \quad F = E_2 - F_2 \exp(bT_1) \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

then the problem (1.1) is solvable and a solution is given by the expression

$$U(t) = \exp(tT_0) C_0; \quad 0 \leq t \leq b \quad (2.5)$$

where  $C_0$  is a solution of the system (2.3)-(2.4).

Proof. Given the operator  $T_0$ , from the lemma 1, it follows that a solution of the problem (2.1) has the expression (2.2). Taking  $C_1 = T_0 C_0$ , one gets  $D=0$  and

$$U(t) = \exp(tT_0) C_0; \quad t \in [0, b] \quad (2.6)$$

where  $C_0 = U(0)$ . By differentiation in (2.6), it follows that

$$\dot{U}(t) = \exp(tT_0) T_0 C_0 = T_0 \exp(tT_0) C_0 = T_0 U(t) \quad (2.7)$$

From here, the boundary value conditions arising in (1.1) are verified if there exists a solution  $C_0$  of the system

$$\begin{aligned}(F_1 T_0 \exp(aT_0))C_0 - C_0 G_1 &= E_1 \\ (F_2 T_0 \exp(bT_0))C_0 - C_0 G_2 &= E_2\end{aligned}\tag{2.8}$$

From the hypothesis the result is established.

Remark 1. A methodology for solving infinite-dimensional systems of the type (2.3) by means of its reduction to another one of the type  $TE=F$ ,  $MT=N$ , by application of the technique of annihilating analytic functions of operators is suggested in [10].

The following result is concerned with the boundary value problem (1.1), when  $a=b$ ,  $F_1=F_2=F$ ,  $G_1=G_2=G$  and  $E_1=E_2=E$ .

Corollary 1. Let us consider the problem

$$\begin{aligned}\ddot{U} + A_1 \dot{U} + A_0 U &= 0 \\ F U(b) - U(0)G &= E \\ 0 < t < b\end{aligned}\tag{2.9}$$

If  $T_0$  is a solution of the equation (1.2) and

$$\sigma_\delta(F T_0 \exp(aT_0)) \cap \sigma_\pi(G) = \emptyset\tag{2.10}$$

then the problem (2.9) is solvable and a solution is given by the expression

$$U(t) = \exp(tT_0)C_0; \quad t \in [0, b]\tag{2.11}$$

where  $C_0$  is a solution of the algebraic equation

$$AT - TB = C\tag{2.12}$$

and

$$A=FT_0 \exp(aT_0); B=G; C=E-F \exp(aT_1); T_1=-(T_0+A_1) \quad (2.13)$$

Proof. From the hypothesis (2.10) and th. 5 of [6], there exists a solution  $C_0$  of the equation (2.12). From here and from theorem 1 the result is proved.

In order to obtain an explicit solution of (2.11) it is clear we need an explicit expression of the solutions of the algebraic problem (2.12). In [10], conditions for obtaining such expressions are given. In [1] and [8] the solutions of this equation when the coefficient operators belong to certain classes are studied. For the finite-dimensional case an explicit and computable expression of the solutions of (2.12) is available.

Corollary 2. If  $H$  is a finite-dimensional Hilbert space and substituting the hypothesis (2.10) by the following one

$$\sigma(FT_0 \exp(aT_0)) \cap \sigma(G) = \emptyset$$

then a solution of (2.9) is given by (2.11) being  $C_0$  the operator

$$\left( \sum_{k=0}^n p_k (FT_0 \exp(aT_0))^k \right)^{-1} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k p_j (FT_0 \exp(aT_0))^{j-1} EG^{k-j} \right)$$

and  $p(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n p_k z^k$ , the characteristic polynomial of  $G$ .

Proof. The result is a consequence of the corollary 1 and [10].

Next result is concerned with a particular case of the problem (1.1) that is interesting in the applications and for which an explicit and computable expression of its solution is available.

Theorem 2. Let  $H$  be a finite-dimensional Hilbert space and let  $T_0$  be a solution of the algebraic equation (1.2). If we consider the boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{U} + A_1 \dot{U} + A_0 U &= 0 \\ U(0)G_2 = E_2; F_1 \dot{U}(a) &= E_1 \\ 0 < t < b \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

then this problem is solvable if the following condition is satisfied

$$F_1 \exp(aT_0) T_0 E_2 = E_1 G_2 \quad (2.15)$$

In this case the matrix function  $U(t) = \exp(tT_0) C_0$ , satisfies (2.14) for every matrix  $C_0$  of the type

$$C_0 = A^{-1} E_1 + E_2 G_2^{-1} - A^{-1} A E_2 G_2^{-1} + (I - A^{-1} A) V (I - G_2 G_2^{-1}) \quad (2.16)$$

where  $V$  is an arbitrary matrix with  $\dim(H) = \dim V$ , and  $A = F_1 \exp(aT_0) T_0$ .

Proof. Considering the theorem 1 with  $G_1 = 0 = F_2$ , it follows that (2.14) is solvable if there exists a solution  $C_0$  of the matrix system

$$\begin{aligned} A C_0 &= E_1 \\ C_0 G_2 &= E_2 \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

where  $A = F_1 \exp(aT_0) T_0$ , and in this case the matrix function  $U(t) = \exp(tT_0) C_0$ , is a solution of (2.14). Now, from the lemma 2.2 of [17] and the hypothesis (2.15), the system (2.17) is solvable and its general solution is given by (2.16). From here the result is established.



### 3. Boundary value problems for the Lyapunov equation $\dot{U} = A+BU+UC$ .

In this section we are interested with the determination of explicit and computable expressions for solutions of finite-dimensional boundary value problems related to the Lyapunov type equation  $\dot{U} = A+BU+UC$ .

Lemma 2. Let  $A_i, B_i$  and  $C_i$  be matrices in  $R_{n_i \times n_i}$ , for  $i=1,2$ , and let us denote by  $r_{i,j}$ , for  $1 \leq j \leq m_i$ ,  $i=1,2$  and  $s_{i,k}$ , for  $1 \leq k \leq n_i$ ,  $i=1,2$ , respectively the eigenvalue sets of the matrix  $B_i$  and  $C_i$  respectively. If the following property is satisfied

$$r_{i,j} + s_{i,k} \neq 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m_i, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n_i, \quad i=1,2 \quad (3.1)$$

then a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence and uniqueness of solutions of the system (1.4) is the following one

$$(I \otimes B_1 + C_1^T \otimes I)^{-1} \hat{A}_1 = (I \otimes B_2 + C_2^T \otimes I)^{-1} \hat{A}_2 \quad (3.2)$$

In this case the only solution of (3.2) is given by

$$\hat{X} = (I \otimes B_i + C_i^T \otimes I)^{-1} \hat{A}_i, \quad i=1,2 \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. By application of the tensor product to each equation of the system (1.4), and taking into account the column lemma, [3], one gets

$$\hat{A}_i + (I \otimes B_i + C_i^T \otimes I) \hat{X} = \hat{0}, \quad i=1,2 \quad (3.4)$$

From the hypothesis (3.1) it follows that the matrices  $I \otimes B_i + C_i^T \otimes I$ , are invertible for  $i=1,2$ . From here and (3.4) the result is obtained.

Theorem 3. Let us consider the problem (1.1) and let  $A_i$ ,  $B_i$  and  $C_i$  be the matrices defined by the expressions

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= F_1 \exp(aB), \quad C_1 = -G_1 \exp(-Ca), \quad B_2 = F_2 \exp(Bb), \quad C_2 = -G_2 \exp(-Cb) \\ A_1 &= -E_1 \exp(-Ca) + F_1 \int_0^a \exp(B(a-s)) A \exp(C(t-s)) ds \\ A_2 &= -E_2 \exp(-Cb) + F_2 \int_0^b \exp(B(b-s)) A \exp(-Cs) ds \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

If the spectral condition (3.1) is satisfied then the boundary value problem (1.3) has only one solution given by the expression

$$U(t) = \exp(Bt) C_0 \exp(Ct) + \int_0^t \exp(B(t-s)) A \exp(C(t-s)) ds \quad (3.6)$$

where  $C_0$  is given by the expression (3.3).

Proof. From theorem 6 of [12], the boundary value problem (1.3) is solvable if and only if the system (1.4)-(3.5) is solvable. Furthermore, the solution set for the problem (1.3) is given by (3.6), where  $C_0$  is a solution of the algebraic system (1.4)-(3.5). Now, the result is a consequence of the previous lemma 2 and theorem 6 of [12].

#### References.

- [1] C. APOSTOL, On the operator equation  $TX-XV=A$ , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. 59, N.1. (1976), 115-118.
- [2] N. ARLEY, V. BORCHSENIUS, On the theory of infinite-systems of differential equations and their application to the theory of stochastic processes and the perturbation theory of quantum mechanics, Acta Math. 76(1944), 261-322.

- [ 3 ] S. BARNETT, Matrix differential equations and Kronecker products, SIAM. J. Appl. Math. Vol. 24, N° 1 (1973), 1-5.
- [ 4 ] H. BART M.A. KAASHOEK and L. LERER, Review of Matrix Polynomials, by I. Gohberg, P. Lancaster and L. Rodman, Linear Algebra and its Applications, 64:267-272 (1985).
- [ 5 ] S. CAMPBELL, Singular systems of differential equations, Pitman Publ. Comp. (1980).
- [ 6 ] C. DAVIS and P. ROSENTHAL, Solving linear operator equations. Can. J. Math., Vol. XXVI, N° 6, 1974, 1184-1189.
- [ 7 ] I. GOHBERG, P. LANCASTER and L. RODMAN, Matrix Polynomials, Academic Press, 1982.
- [ 8 ] J. A. GOLDSTEIN, On the operator equation  $AX+XB=Q$ , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 70. N. 1 (1978).
- [ 9 ] V. HERNANDEZ and F. INCERTIS, A block bidiagonal form for block Companion matrices, Linear Algebra and its Applications, 75:241-256 (1986).
- [ 10 ] V. HERNANDEZ and L. JODAR, Sobre la ecuación cuadrática en operadores  $A+BT+TC+TDT=0$ , Stochastica, Vol. VII. N° 2, (1983), 145-154.
- [ 11 ] D. A. HERRERO, Approximation of Hilbert space operators, Pitman Publ. Comp. Vol. 1, 1982.
- [ 12 ] L. JODAR, Ecuaciones diferenciales matriciales con dos condiciones de contorno, Rev. Unión Matemática Argentina, Vol. 32 (1985), 29-40.
- [ 13 ] L. JODAR, Boundary value problems for second order operator differential equations. Linear Algebra and its Applications 83 (1986), 29-38.
- [ 14 ] J. G. KEMENY, J. L. SNELL and A. W. KNAPP, Denumerable Markov chains, Van Nostrand, Princeton.
- [ 15 ] P. LANCASTER, The theory of matrices, Academic Press, New York, 1969.

- [16] C. C. MaCDUFFEE, Theory of matrices, Chelsea, New York, 1956.
- [17] S. K. MITRA, Common solutions to a pair of linear matrix equations  $A_1XB_1=C_1$  and  $A_2XB_2=C_2$ , Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. (1973), 74, 213-216.
- [18] M. N. OGUZTORELLI, On infinite systems of differential equations occurring in the degradations of polymers, Utilitas Math. 1 (1972), 141-155.
- [19] C. R. RAO and S. K. MITRA, Generalized inverse of matrices and its applications, Wiley, 1971.
- [20] L. RODMAN, On factorization of operator polynomials and analytic operator functions, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 16 (1986), 153-162.
- [21] S. STEINBERG, Infinite systems of ordinary differential equations with unbounded coefficients and Moment problems, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 41 (1973), 685-694.

Department of Applied Mathematics. Manuscript received in  
Polytechnical University of Valencia. November 19, 1986 and in  
P.O. Box 22.012, Valencia, SPAIN. final form June 29, 1987.