

A SIMPLE PROOF OF UNIQUENESS FOR
TORSION MODULES OVER PRINCIPAL
IDEAL DOMAINS

J.L. García Roig

ABSTRACT

The aim of this note is to give an alternative proof of uniqueness for the decomposition of a finitely generated torsion module over a F.I.D. (= principal ideal domain) as a direct sum of indecomposable submodules.

Our proof tries to mimic as far as we can the standard procedures used when dealing with vector spaces.

For the sake of completeness we also include a proof of the existence theorem.

We recall that if M is a finitely generated torsion module over a P.I.D. R then $M = \bigoplus_p M_p$, where p runs through a complete set of representatives of prime (or irreducible) elements of R . The M_p are unique: in fact $M_p = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} (0:p^n)_M$. Moreover, if

$$\text{Ann}(M) = (p_1^{n_1} \dots p_k^{n_k}), \text{ then } M_p = 0 \text{ for } p \notin \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}, \text{ i.e., } M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k M_{p_i}.$$

Our interest now concerns each summand, i.e., we consider a finitely generated module annihilated by a power of an irreducible element p of R . For these we have

Existence Theorem. Let R be a P.I.D., p a prime element in R and $M \neq 0$ a finitely generated R -module annihilated by some power of p . Then we can write

$$M \cong R/p^{n_1}R \oplus \dots \oplus R/p^{n_k}R$$

(the isomorphism being of R -modules, or what amounts to the same thing, of R/I -modules, for any $I \subseteq \text{Ann}(M)$), with $n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_k \geq 1$.

Proof. By induction on the number of generators. Let x_1, \dots, x_m be a finite set of generators for M . We may assume without loss of generality that x_1 has maximal period, say p^n , $n > 0$ (so that in particular $\text{Ann}(M) = p^n R$). Consider the exact sequence of R -modules:

$$0 \rightarrow x_1 R \rightarrow M \rightarrow \bar{M} \rightarrow 0$$

with $\bar{M} = M/x_1 R$. This can be viewed as an exact sequence of $R/p^n R$ -modules and as $R/p^n R$ is an injective $R/p^n R$ -module (see below), we have the splitting

$$M \cong x_1 R \oplus \bar{M} \cong R/p^n R \oplus \bar{M}.$$

As \bar{M} is generated by the cosets of x_2, \dots, x_m , induction may be applied.

$R/p^n R$ is an injective $R/p^n R$ -module: The ideals of $R/p^n R =: S$ are $\{p^i S \mid i=0, 1, \dots, n\}$, so it is enough to see that any S -homomorphism $f: p^i S \rightarrow S$ has an extension to the whole of S . But f is defined by its effect on \bar{p}^i (=coset of p^i in S). Let $f(\bar{p}^i) = \bar{q}$. As p^{n-i} annihilates \bar{p}^i and therefore \bar{q} , we may write $\bar{q} = \bar{p}^i \bar{r}$ for some $\bar{r} \in S$. Defining now $\bar{f}: S \rightarrow S$ by $\bar{f}(\bar{i}) = \bar{r}$, we get an extension of f . #

Uniqueness Theorem. The sequence n_1, \dots, n_k appearing in the Existence Theorem is uniquely determined by M .

Proof. The Existence Theorem allows us to write $M = x_1 R \oplus \dots \oplus x_k R$, with $\text{Ann}(x_i R) = p^{n_i} R$, $n = n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_k \geq 1$. Suppose we also have $M = y_1 R \oplus \dots \oplus y_h R$ with $\text{Ann}(y_j R) = p^{m_j} R$, $m_1 \geq \dots \geq m_h \geq 1$. We must necessarily have $n = m_1$. Then $y_1 = a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_k x_k$, for some $a_i \in R$ and without loss of generality we may assume a_1 and p to be coprime - otherwise the period of y_1 would not be p^n - whence there exist r, s in R such that $1 = a_1 r + s p^n$. So $r y_1 = x_1 + r a_2 x_2 + \dots + r a_k x_k$, i. e., $x_1 = r y_1 - r a_2 x_2 - \dots - r a_k x_k$, and thus y_1, x_2, \dots, x_k generate M . Furthermore, if $b_1 y_1 + b_2 x_2 + \dots + b_k x_k = 0$, bearing in mind that $y_1 = a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_k x_k$ we conclude that $p^n | b_1$ so that $b_1 y_1 = 0$, and consequently each summand $b_j x_j$, $j > 1$, is zero. In other words,

$M = y_1 R \oplus x_2 R \oplus \dots \oplus x_k R$, and from this we infer

$$x_2 R \oplus \dots \oplus x_k R \cong y_2 R \oplus \dots \oplus y_h R.$$

Now we can apply induction to get uniqueness. #

We cannot proceed further, for the R -modules of type $R/p^n R$, p prime, are indecomposable, i.e., cannot be expressed as a direct sum of proper submodules. This is so because, as we have remarked before, the submodules are of the form $p^i R/p^n R$, with $0 \leq i \leq n$.

References.

- [1] LANG, S.: Algebra. Addison-Wesley, 1965.
 [2] VAN DER WAERDEN, B. L.: Algebra II. (5. Auflage). Springer 1967.

Manuscript received in January 30, 1986.

CRUZ ROJA 26, 3er.4a. HOSPITALET BARCELONA. SPAIN.