

CONSTRUCTION OF 0-1 MATRICES ASSOCIATED
TO PERIOD-DOUBLING PROCESSES

J. P. Lampreia *

A. Rica da Silva **

J. Sousa Ramos ***

ABSTRACT

We elaborate a method allowing the determination of 0-1 matrices corresponding to dynamics of the interval having stable, 2^k -periodic orbits, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By recurrence on the finite dimensional matrices, we establish the form of the infinite matrices ($k \rightarrow \infty$).

*

0. Introduction.

Let us denote by $\mathcal{C}[1]$, the set of all maps $f: [-1,1] \rightarrow [-1,1]$ such that:

- 1) f is of class C^1 in $[-1,1]$ and of class C^3 in $[-1,0] \cup]0,1]$.
- 2) f is even.
- 3) $f(-1) = -1$.
- 4) $f'(-1) > 1$.
- 5) $f'(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \neq 0$.
- 6) $S(f) < 0$ on $(-1,0) \cup (0,1)$ where we have denote by

$$S(f) = \frac{f'''}{f'} - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{f''}{f'} \right)^2$$

the Schwartzian derivative of f .

- 7) For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, f possesses a periodic point of period 2^k .
- 8) f has no other period points which are not multiples of 2.

This paper will focus mainly on the construction of a infinite 0-1 matrix which is equivalent to the dynamics on the interval defined by the iterates of a quadratic map satisfying the conditions above. This we will do upon accumulation of 0-1 matrices corresponding to stable, 2^k -periodic dynamics.

1. Notation.

For any map $f \in \mathcal{C}$, we will study the orbit of a critical point ($c=0$), $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ where $x_k = f^k(c) = f \circ \dots \circ f(c)$. To this orbit, which completely characterizes the dynamic, we will associate a symbolic sequence

$$S = \{S_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} S_0 &= C \\ S_k &= L \text{ if } f^k(c) < c \\ S_k &= C \text{ if } f^k(c) = c \\ S_k &= R \text{ if } f^k(c) > c \end{aligned}$$

We introduce a order relation on the set of symbols (here R-parity) will mean parity of N_R , the number of times the iterates of c fall in the subinterval $[c, 1]$):

$$\begin{aligned} L < c < R & \text{ if } N_R \text{ is even} \\ R < c < L & \text{ if } N_R \text{ is odd} \end{aligned}$$

σ will stand for the shift-operation in the Σ -space, $\Sigma = \{L, C, R\}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$

and $\sigma S = S' = \{S'_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ where $S'_k = S_{k+1}$.

We shall define the Kneading sequence [2] as the truncation:

$$S^{(k)} = S_1 S_2 \dots S_{k-1} C \text{ of the shift } \sigma(CS_1 S_2 \dots S_{k-1} CS_1 S_2 \dots)$$

of the symbolic sequence determined by the itinerary of the critical point if the orbit has period K or, in case of a non-periodic orbit S , as the shift of the symbolic sequence $\sigma(S)$.

Given any Kneading sequence $S^{(k)} = S_1 S_2 \dots S_k$ a $*$ -product [3] is defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} S^{(k)} * R &= S^{(k)} R S^{(k)} \text{ if } N_R \text{ is even} \\ S^{(k)} * R &= S^{(k)} L S^{(k)} \text{ if } N_R \text{ is odd.} \end{aligned}$$

2. Topological Markov Chain associated to a k -periodic orbit of the critical point.

In the following, we study a method of construction of a topological Markov chain [4] associated to any periodic orbit of the critical point.

Define:

$$X^{(k)} = \{x_i : x_i = f^i(c), i=0, \dots, k-1 \text{ and } f^k(c) = c\}$$

$X^{(k)}$ is the orbit, of period k , of the critical point c . Associate to each x_i a symbolic sequence $\sigma^i(S) = \sigma \dots \sigma(S)$.

i times.

Also, denote the ordered succession of the x_i by:

$$Y^{(k)} = \{y_j = x_{i_j} = f^{i_j}(c) : x_{i_j} < x_{i_{j+1}}, j \in \{0, \dots, k-1\} \text{ and } i_j \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}\}$$

and likewise, associate to each y_j a symbolic sequence $\sigma^j(S)$.
 $Y^{(k)}$ determines a partition, $P^{(k)}$, of the interval:

$$I = [f^2(c), f^1(c)] = [y_0, y_{k-1}] = [x_2, x_1].$$

$$P^{(k)} = \{I_1 = [y_0, y_1], I_2 = [y_1, y_2], \dots, I_{k-1} = [y_{k-2}, y_{k-1}]\}.$$

and let $A = [a_{ij}]$ represent the transition matrix which f introduces, where

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } I_j \subset f(I_i) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$\Sigma_{k-1} = \{I_1, I_2, \dots, I_{k-1}\}^{N_0} = \{1, 2, \dots, k-1\}^{N_0}$ will be the space of symbolic sequences of "states" if $\omega \in \Sigma_{k-1}$, where $\omega = \{\omega_n\}_{n \in N_0}$, define the τ -shift operation

$$\tau: \Sigma_{k-1} \rightarrow \Sigma_{k-1} \text{ where } \tau\omega = \omega' \text{ with } \omega'_n = \omega_{n+1}$$

A symbolic dynamical system will be, by definition, the restriction (Σ_A, τ) of the τ -shift to a τ -invariant closed subset $\Sigma_A \in \Sigma_{k-1}$, [4], [5].

Finally, if A is a $(k-1) \times (k-1)$ square matrix whose entries a_{ij} are zero or one only, define a topological Markov chain (Σ_A, τ) where:

$$\Sigma_A = \{\omega \in \Sigma_{k-1}, a_{\omega_n \omega_{n+1}} = 1, \forall n \in N_0\}$$

3. Construction of Markov Chains associated to period-doubling processes.

In this section we will apply some of the results of last section to study period-doubling processes [6] and build a succession of Markov chains associated to increasing 2^k -periodic orbits of the critical point.

It is known that, on a one-parameter family $f_a: I \rightarrow I$ of endomorphisms of the interval, monotone variation of the parameter a is followed by a sequence of values a_{2^k} for which the dynamics will only possess one super-stable periodic orbit ($f^{2^k}(c)=c$) of period 2^k , with $k=0,1,2,\dots$, and such sequence will accumulate on a value a_{2^∞} . It must be noted that the "stability windows" — neighbourhoods of values a_{2^k} where there exists a stable 2^k -periodic orbit — will define the same Markov transition matrix: in fact, you just have to overlook the transient movement and consider only the stable asymptotic orbit !.

In terms of the $*$ -product these orbits are [3]:

$$R; R^*R; R^*R^*R; \dots; R^{*k}; \dots; R^{*\infty}$$

corresponding to the kneading sequences $S^{(k)}$:

$$RC; RLRC; RLRRRLRC; RLRRRLRLRLRRRLRC; \dots$$

and the following symbolic sequences $\sigma^i(S^k)$ referring to these:

$$\{RC, CR\}; \{RLRC, LRCR, RCRL, CRLR\}; \dots$$

$$\{RLRRRLRC, LRRRLRCR, RRRRLCRL, RRLRCRLR, RLRCRLRR, LRCRLRRR, RCRLRRRL, CRLRRRLR\}; \dots$$

Also, as it was seen in §2, these correspond to the ordered sequences.

In this way, for each k ; a matrix $A_{2^{k-1}}$ is defined:

$$A_{2^{k-1}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \hat{A}_{2^{k-1}-1} \\ B_{2^{k-1}} & \begin{bmatrix} C_{2^{k-2}} & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \text{ where } B_{2^{k-1}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$C_{2^{k-1}} = [11\dots 1]$$

and $\hat{A}_{2^{k-1}-1} = [\hat{a}_{m\ell}]$ with $\hat{a}_{m\ell} = a_{ij}$, $\ell = j$, $m = 2^{k-1} - i$

and $A_{2^{k-1}-1} = [a_{ij}]$.

To simplify the study of the accumulation ($k \rightarrow \infty$) of these matrices we shall redefine them by mere permutation of certain lines and columns. But let us first introduce the following notions:

A transitive "quasi-order" structure is introduced in the "state" space [5] by

$i < j \Leftrightarrow$ There exists a chain of state,

$$i = i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n = j \text{ such that } a_{i_0 i_1} \dots a_{i_{n-1} i_n} > 0.$$

A state i will be called recurrent if $i < i$ and non-recurrent otherwise. Recurrent states are classified in equivalence classes:

$$i \sim j \Leftrightarrow i < j < i$$

Each such class defines a topological Markov sub-chain $(\Sigma_A^{(R)}, \sigma^{(R)})$ with:

$$\Sigma_A^{(R)} = \{\omega \in \Sigma^{(R)}; a_{\omega_n \omega_{n+1}} = 1, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}; \sigma^{(R)} = \sigma|_{\Sigma^{(R)}}$$

$$\Sigma^{(R)} = \{i_1, \dots, i_j\}^{\mathbb{N}_0} \subset \Sigma_{k-1}, j \leq k-1.$$

A equivalence class will be said final if there is no path that connects it to any other. A basic class is one for which the maximum eigenvalue $\lambda(A)$ (spectral radii) of A is equal to the one of $A^{(R)}$, $\lambda(A^{(R)})$, and a non-basic class will occur if $\lambda(A) > \lambda(A^{(R)})$, [9].

The T.M.C. (Σ_A, σ) and the corresponding A matrix will be said indecomposable or irreducible if all states are recurrent and form a final basic equivalence class.

The T.M.C. (Σ_A, σ) will be a primitive one if there is a n such that all entries in the matrix A^n are positive. It is known that a T.M.C. is topologically transitive (ergodic) if and only if it is indecomposable or irreducible and it is topologically mixing if and only if it is primitive.

Finally, one also, knows that a reducible non-negative matrix A has a permutation matrix P that allows one to reduce A to upper triangular form with block matrices $A^{(1)}, A^{(2)}, \dots, A^{(R)}$ [9] in the principal diagonal.

The transition matrices given as in fig. 2 will now appear as: (fig. 3).

$$\bar{A}_{2^1-1} = [1] = A^{(1)}$$

$$\bar{A}_{2^2-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A^{(1)} & W^{(2)} \\ 0 & A^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\bar{A}_{2^3-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ & 0 & & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A^{(1)} & W^{(2)} & W^{(3)} \\ 0 & A^{(2)} & \\ & & 0 \\ & & & 0 \\ & & & & 0 \\ & & & & & 0 \\ & & & & & & A^{(3)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{A}_{2^2-1} & W^{(3)} \\ 0 & A^{(3)} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\bar{A}_{2^4-1} = \begin{bmatrix} A^{(1)} & W^{(2)} & W^{(3)} & W^{(4)} \\ 0 & A^{(2)} & & \\ & & 0 & \\ & & & 0 \\ & 0 & & & 1 & 0 \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ & & & & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ & & & & & 1 & 0 \\ & & & & & & & 0 \\ & & & & & & & & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ & & & & & & & & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{A}_{2^3-1} & W^{(4)} \\ 0 & A^{(4)} \end{bmatrix}$$

FIG. 3

where $W^{(k)}$ represents a $(2^{k-1}-1) \times 2^{k-1}$ matrix that, under the dynamic perspective, represents a part of the transient movement.

In this way, for each k one will have a matrix \bar{A}_{2^k-1} given by:

$$\bar{A}_{2^k-1} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \bar{A}_{2^{k-1}-1} & W^{(k)} \\ \hline 0 & A^{(k)} \end{array} \right]$$

$$A^{(k)} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & \hat{A}^{(k-1)} \\ \hline B_{2^{k-2}} & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

With $\hat{A}^{(k-1)} = [\hat{a}_{m\ell}]$, $\hat{a}_{m\ell} = a_{ij}$, $\ell = j$, $m = 2^{k-2} + 1 - i$ and $A^{(k-1)} = [a_{ij}]$.

Also, the $W^{(k)}$ are of the form:

$$W^{(k)} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c} & \hline & u_1 & 0 & & & \\ & \hline & & u_2 & 0 & & \\ & & & & z_3 & & \\ & & & & u_3 & & \\ & & & & & \dots & \\ & & & & & & z_{k-1} \\ & & & & & & u_{k-1} \\ & & & & & & 0 \\ & & & & & & \hline \end{array} \right]$$

Where the $U_i = [11\dots 1]$, $1 \leq i \leq k-2$, are $1 \times 2^{k-(i+2)}$ matrices and $U_{k-1} = [1]$. Also $Z_i = [z_{\ell(i),j(i)}] = [0]$, $3 \leq i \leq k-2$, are $2^{i-2} \times 2^{k-(i+2)}$ matrices and $Z_{k-1} = [0]$ is a $2^{k-3} \times 1$ matrix.

The following result stems from the above construction.

Proposition. The \bar{A}_2^∞ matrix corresponds to the $R^{*\infty}$ kneading sequence (except for permutations on the intervals in $P^{(\infty)}$).

Remark 1. By inspection on the matrix $\bar{A}_{2^{k-1}}$, one realizes that it decomposes in sub-matrices $(A^{(1)}, \dots, A^{(k)}, W^{(2)} \dots W^{(k)})$ where $A^{(i)}$ corresponds to the 2^{i-1} -periodic part and the $W^{(i)}$ correspond to the erratic part of the dynamics.

In the limit $k \rightarrow \infty$ one obtains a Markov subchain by considering only the final component

$$A^{(\infty)} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} A^{(k)}$$

Lemma. If $f \in C$, there exists a set $S \subset I$ such that $f|_S$ is topologically conjugate to

$$(\Sigma_{A^{(\infty)}}, \tau_{A^{(\infty)}}).$$

Proof. Misiurewicz defines a set S such that $S = \Omega \setminus \text{Per}(f)$ where Ω stands for the set of non-erratic points and $\text{Per}(f)$ is the set of periodic points of f .

We need to show that in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 S & \xrightarrow{f|_S} & S \\
 \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\
 \Sigma_{A^{(\infty)}} & \xrightarrow{\tau_{A^{(\infty)}}} & \Sigma_{A^{(\infty)}}
 \end{array}$$

π is a homeomorfism.

To each $X \in S$ corresponds one and only one $\omega \in \Sigma_A^{(\infty)}$ (evident). To show that to each $\omega \in \Sigma_A^{(\infty)}$ corresponds inversely one and only one $x \in S$ we start by noticing that a $x \in I$ such that $\pi(x) = \omega$ always exists by definition of Σ_A . But such x belongs to S because otherwise it would have been erratic ($x \in W$) or periodic ($x \in \text{Per}(f)$) and in that case $W(x)$ wouldn't belong to $\Sigma_A^{(\infty)}$. Finally, if we suppose that there are two points $x, y \in S$ such that $x \neq y$ and $\omega(x) = \omega(y)$, then, owing to the fact that $\omega(x) = \{\omega_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ and $\omega(y) = \{\omega_n(y)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ and that S is a Cantor set, we should conclude that $w_0(x)$ and $w_0(y)$ define two distinct point set intervals $I_x = \{x\}$ and $I_y = \{y\}$, and that conclude the proof.

Corollary. The dynamic $(S, f|_S)$, $f \in C$ is ergodic but non-weakly mixing.

Proof. It is well known [1] that this dynamic is ergodic and has null topological entropy ($h_T = 0$). On the other hand, we have constructed, via the lemma in last section, a infinite Markov process topologically equivalent to that dynamic.

From the stand point of ergodic theory, weakly mixing Markov chains are k -systems. [11]. As $h_T = \sup h_m$, where $h_m =$ metric entropy, we conclude that $h_m = 0, \forall m$. The result follows from the fact that the entropy of a k -system is strictly positive.

Remark 2. This result could also have been achieved through the existing isomorphism between $(S, f|_S)$ and the "adding Machine", using known results of ergodic theory [1],[13] or [12].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank to Prof. Parry for his kindly remarks.

References

- [1] MISIUREWICZ, M.: Structure of mappings of an interval with zero entropy. Pub. Math. n° 53, I.H.E.S. (1981).
- [2] MILNOR, J.; THURSTON, W.: On iterated maps of the interval preprint.
- [3] DERRIDA, B.; GERVOIS, A.; POMEAU, Y.: Iteration of endomorphisms on the Real axis and representation of numbers Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré A XXIX (1978), 305-356.
- [4] PARRY, W.: Symbolic Dynamics and Transformations of the unit interval, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 122 (1964), 368-378.
- [5] ALEKSEEV, V. M.; YAKOBSON, M. V.: Symbolic dynamics and Hyperbolic Dynamics Systems. Phys. Reports 75, N° 5 (1981), 287-325.
- [6] FEIGENBAUM, M.: Quantitative universality for a class of nonlinear transformations. J. Stat. Phys. 19 (1978), 25-52; 21 (1979), 669-706.
- [7] ŠARKOVSKII, A. N.: Coexistence of cycles of a continuous map of a line into itself (Russian), UKR. Mat. Z. 16, (1964), 61-71.
- [8] MYRBERG, P. J.: Sur l'itération des polynomes réels quadratique J. Maths. Pures et Appliquées 9 (1962), 339.
- [9] BERMAN, A.; PLEMMONS, R. J.: Nonnegative matrices in the Mathematical Sciences. Academic Press, 1979.
- [10] JONKER, L.; RAND, D. A.: Bifurcations in one dimension, I: Invent. math. 62 (1981) 347-365.
- [11] WALTERS, P.: An Introduction to Ergodic Theory. G.T.M., 79 Springer, 1982.

- [12] PARRY, W.: Self-generation of self-replicating maps of an interval. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* 1 (1981), 197-208.
- [13] MISIUREWICZ, M.: Invariant measures for continuous transformations of $[0,1]$ with zero topological entropy. *Lect. Notes in Math.* n°. 729, 144-152 (1979).

Manuscript received in
November, 1983.

* Dep. Mat. da Univ. Nova de
Lisboa.

** Dep. Fís. do Inst. Sup.Técnico.

*** Dep. Mat. da Univ. de Lisboa.