

NOTAS BREVES

A NOTE ON THE CONSTRUCTION OF MEASURES
TAKING THEIR VALUES IN A BANACH SPACE
WITH BASIS

Maria Congost Iglesias

ABSTRACT

If E is a Banach space with a basis $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, a vector measure $m: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow E$ determines a sequence $\{m_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of scalar measures on \mathcal{A} named its components. We obtain necessary and sufficient conditions to ensure when given a sequence of scalar measures it is possible to construct a vector valued measure whose components were those given. Furthermore we study some relations between the variation of the measure m and the variation of its components.

A sequence of elements in a set X will be indicated by $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ or $\{x_n\}$ simply. In a normed space it will be used $\sum x_n$ instead of $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_n$ to indicate a series. For the results from: measure theory used in this paper, see (2).

Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real or complex Banach space. The sequence $\{e_n\}$ is said to be a basis for E if for every $x \in E$ there is a unique sequence of scalar numbers $\{x_n\}$ such that $x = \sum x_n e_n$, this series being convergent with respect to the norm topology in E . Let π_n be the n -projection on E defined by $\pi_n(x) = x_n e_n$. If $m: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow E$ is a vec-

for measure on a σ -field \mathcal{a} of subsets of X , we consider the set $\{m_n\}$ of scalar measures on E defined by $m_n = \pi_n \circ m$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$). Then $m(A) = \sum m_n(A) e_n$ ($A \in \mathcal{a}$).

The total variation of m is the real measure $V(m)$ on \mathcal{a} defined by

$$v(m)(A) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i \in I} \|m(A_i)\| \right\},$$

where the supremum is taken over all finite partitions $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ of A on \mathcal{a} (that is to say: I is an arbitrary finite index set and $A_i \in \mathcal{a}$, $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$ and $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i = A$, whenever $i, j \in I$). In the same way $V(m_n)$ will indicate the total variation of m_n . All the measures m, m_n and $V(m_n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are bounded (2) but $V(m)$ may be not finite (2,3). Let us consider the real numbers $M = \sup\{\|m(A)\|; A \in \mathcal{a}\}$ and $M_n = \sup\{\|m_n(A)\|; A \in \mathcal{a}\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 1. a) $V(m)(A) \leq \sum V(m_n)(A) \|e_n\|$ ($A \in \mathcal{a}$);

b) If $\inf\{\|e_n\|; n \in \mathbb{N}\} \neq 0$, then there is a constant $K > 0$ such that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(i) \quad M_n \leq KM,$$

$$(ii) \quad V(m_n) \leq KV(m).$$

Proof. For every Banach space with basis there is a constant $H > 0$ such that

$$\|x\| \leq \sum |x_n| \|e_n\| \quad \text{and} \quad |x_n| \leq \frac{H}{\|e_n\|} \|x\|$$

for each $x \in E$. Hence,

$$M_n \leq \frac{H}{\|e_n\|} M \quad \text{and} \quad V(m_n) \leq \frac{H}{\|e_n\|} V(m);$$

if $a = \inf\{\|e_n\|; n \in \mathbb{N}\} \neq 0$, for $K = \frac{H}{a}$, we obtain (i) and (ii). Now, let $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a finite partition of a set A on \mathcal{a} . Since

$$\sum_{i \in I} \|m(A_i)\| \leq \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{n \in N} |m_n(A_i)| \|e_n\| \leq \sum_{n \in N} \|e_n\| \sum_{i \in I} |m_n(A_i)| \leq \sum_{n \in N} \|e_n\| V(m_n)(A),$$

we have $V(m)(A) \leq \sum V(m_n)(A) \|e_n\|$.

A vector measure $m: \mathcal{a} \rightarrow E$ determines a sequence $\{m_n\}$ of scalar measures on \mathcal{a} . We may ask if a sequence of scalar measures on \mathcal{a} determines a vector measure or not (the space E and the basis $\{e_n\}$ are supposed fixed). By the uniqueness of the expression $x = \sum x_n e_n$, it is necessary that $m(A) = \sum m_n(A) e_n$, that is to say, the series $\sum m_n(A) e_n$ must be convergent, whenever $A \in \mathcal{a}$. This is also sufficient:

Theorem 1. Let E be a Banach space with basis $\{e_n\}$. If $\{m_n\}$ is a sequence of scalar measures on a σ -field \mathcal{a} such that $\sum m_n(A) e_n$ is convergent in E for every $A \in \mathcal{a}$. Then the set function $m: \mathcal{a} \rightarrow E$ defined by $m(A) = \sum m_n(A) e_n$ is a vector measure on \mathcal{a} such that $m_n = \pi_n \circ m$ for every $n \in N$.

Proof. For every $n \in N$, we can consider the set function $S_n: \mathcal{a} \rightarrow E$ defined by $S_n(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i(A) e_i$. Thus $m(A) = \lim S_n(A)$ and $(x' \circ m)(A) = \lim (x' \circ S_n)(A)$ for every $x' \in E'$, so that, by the Vitali-Hahn-Sacks theorems $x' \circ m$ is a scalar measure for every $x' \in E'$. Hence, by the Pettis theorem, m is a vector measure. Because of the uniqueness of the expression of an element in a Banach space with basis, we conclude that $m_n = \pi_n \circ m$, $n \in N$.

The next theorem gives us a sufficient condition in order to obtain a vector measure from a sequence of scalar measures:

Theorem 2. Let E be a Banach space with basis $\{e_n\}$. If $\{m_n\}$ is a sequence of scalar measures on a σ -field \mathcal{a} such that $\sum M_n \|e_n\|$ is convergent, then the set function $m: \mathcal{a} \rightarrow E$ defined by $m(A) = \sum m_n(A) e_n$ is a vector measure of bounded variation; furthermore the series defining m is absolutely and uniformly convergent on \mathcal{a} .

Proof. The series $\sum m_n(A)e_n$ is clearly absolutely and uniformly convergent so that, by the previous theorem, defines a vector measure m . Since $V(m_n) \leq 4 M_n$ (see (2)), we have $V(m) \leq \sum V(m_n) \|e_n\| \leq 4 \sum M_n \|e_n\| < +\infty$, and m is of bounded variation.

This note ends with two examples showing that the inequalities obtained in Prop. 1 cannot be improved. Moreover, the first one shows that $\sum M_n \|e_n\| < +\infty$ is not necessary to obtain a vector measure of bounded variation.

Example 1. Let $E = c_0$ with basis $\{e_n\}$, where $e_n = (0, \overset{n}{\underset{\cdot}{\cdot}}, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$. Let \mathcal{a} be a σ -field of subsets of a non empty set X and $m_0: \mathcal{a} \rightarrow R_+$ a finite measure such that $m_0 \neq 0$. Since $m_0 \geq 0$, we have $V(m_0) = m_0$. Let $a = \sum a_n e_n$ be an element in c_0 with $a_n \geq 0$ for all $n \in N$, and $\sum a_n = +\infty$. Let us consider the real measures on \mathcal{a} , $m_n = a_n m_0$. Then the set function $m: \mathcal{a} \rightarrow c_0$ defined by $m(A) = \sum m_n(A)e_n$ is a vector measure on \mathcal{a} , because of $\sum m_n(A)e_n = m_0(A) \sum a_n e_n$ is a convergent series in c_0 . Furthermore, if $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a finite partition of A , then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in I} \|m(A_i)\| &= \sum_{i \in I} \sup_n |m_n(A_i)| = \sum_{i \in I} \sup_n |a_n| |m_0(A_i)| \leq \\ &\sup_n |a_n| \cdot V(m_0)(A) = \sup_n |a_n| \cdot |m_0(A)| = \|m(A)\|, \end{aligned}$$

so that $V(m)(A) \leq \|m(A)\|$ and m is of bounded variation. However because of $M_n = \sup\{|m_n(A)|; A \in \mathcal{a}\} = |a_n| \cdot \sup\{|m_0(A)|, A \in \mathcal{a}\} = a_n M_0$ and $\sum a_n M_0 = +\infty$ ($M_0 \neq 0$), the series $\sum M_n \|e_n\|$ is not convergent.

With respect to the inequalities in Prop. 1 we observe that, for the measure in the example, we have $V(m)(A) \neq \sum V(m_n)(A) \|e_n\|$ in a while in b(ii) we have just the equality, with $K=1$, because of $\sup V(m_n)(A) = \sup |m_n(A)| = \|m(A)\|$ and $\|m(A)\| \leq V(m_n)(A)$. But, generally, we can only obtain the inequality in b(ii) as the following example shows.

Example 2. Let $E = l_1$ with basis $\{e_n\}$, where $e_n = (0, \overset{n}{\underset{\cdot}{\cdot}}, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$. Let $\{p_n\}$ be a sequence of elements in a set $X \neq \emptyset$. Let $\mathcal{a} = P(X)$ and

$\{a_n\}$ a sequence of real numbers, two of them nonzero at least, such that $\sum |a_n| < +\infty$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let m_n be the measure weighted a_n at p_n :

$$m_n(A) = \begin{cases} a_n, & \text{if } p_n \in A, \\ 0, & \text{if } p_n \notin A. \end{cases}$$

Since $\sum m_n \|e_n\| = \sum |a_n| < +\infty$, we have that $m(A) = \sum m_n(A) e_n$ is a vector measure $m: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_1$ of bounded variation. From $V(m_n)(A) = |m_n(A)|$ and Prop. 1, a), we see that $V(m)(A) \leq \sum V(m_n)(A) = \sum |m_n(A)| = \|m(A)\|$. Thus $\|m(A)\| = V(m)(A) = \sum V(m_n)(A)$. To see that there is no constant $K > 0$ such that $\sup V(m_n) = K V(m)$ we can observe that, since $V(m_n)(p_i) = |a_i|$ and $V(m)(p_i) = \sum V(m_n)(p_i) = |a_i|$, it should be $K=1$, but $\sup V(m_n)(x) = \sup |a_n|$ while $V(m)(x) = \sum |a_n| > \sup |a_n|$ (if there are two numbers nonzero at least).

References.

- [1] BATLE, N.: "Una nota sobre la construcció de mesures vectorials". Actas de la XI RAME, Publ. CSIC (183-188), 197.
- [2] DUNFORD, N.- SCHWARTZ, J. T.: "Linear Operators, Part I". Interscience. New York, 1967.
- [3] GOWURIN, M.: "Über die Stieltjesche Integration abstrakter Funktionen". Fundamenta Math. 27 (254-268), 1936.
- [4] MARTIN, J. T.: "Introduction to the theory of bases". Springer Verlag, 1969.

Dept. Matemàtiques i Estadística,
E.T.S. d'Arquitectura,
Universitat Politècnica de Barcelona,
Av. Diagonal, 649,
BARCELONA-28. SPAIN.