AN EXISTENCE AND STABILITY THEOREM FOR A CLASS OF FUNCTIONAL EQUATIONS

Gian Luigi Forti^(*)

ABSTRACT

Consider the class of functional equations g[F(x,y)] = H[g(x),g(y)], where $g:E \to X$, $F:E \times E \to E$, $H:X \times X \to X$, E is a set and (X,d) is a complete metric space. In this paper we prove that, under suitable hypotheses on F, H and $\delta(x,y)$, the existence of a solution of the functional inequality $d(f[F(x,y)],H[f(x),f(y)]) \leq \delta(x,y),$ implies the existence of a solution of the above equation.

1.- D.H. Hyers in [2] proved the following stability theorem for the Cauchy equation:

If $f:E\to E'$, E, E' Banach spaces, and there exists $\delta>0$ such that

 $\|f(x+y)-f(x)-f(y)\| \le \delta$ for every $x,y \in E$,

then there exists a unique additive function g:E+E' such that $\|g(x)-f(x)\|\leqslant \delta \quad \text{for every x} \epsilon E.$

The existence of an additive function is part of the theorem above; therefore it can be also view as an existence theorem.

^(*) Work partially supported by G.N.A.F.A.-C.N.R.

In the present paper we consider the following class of functional equations

(#)
$$g[F(x,y)] = H[g(x),g(y)],$$

where F : $E \times E \rightarrow E$, H: $X \times X \rightarrow X$ are given functions, g: $E \rightarrow X$ is the unknown function, E is a set and (X,d) is a complete metric space.

We prove, under certain hypotheses on F and H, that the existence of a solution of the functional inequality.

$$d(f[F(x,y)], H[f(x),f(y)]) \leq \delta(x,y),$$

where $\delta \colon \mathsf{E} \times \mathsf{E} \to R^+$ is a suitable function, implies the existence of a solution of the equation (#).

If $\delta\left(x,y\right)\!=\!const,$ we obtain as a corollary, a generalization of the Hyers theorem of stability.

2.- Consider the functional equation (#). We put G(x) = F(x,x), K(u) = H(u,u) and we assume that X be a modulus set for K, i. e. K(X) = X (see [3]), and K is invertible.

For a non-negative integer n, G^n and K^n will denote the n-th iterates of G and K respectively; K^{-n} denotes the n-th iterate of K^{-1} .

For every $x \in E$, we define $x^0 = x$, $x^n = G^n(x)$.

An existence and stability theorem for a class... 25

For every f:E+X and every x,y \in E, we set δ (x,y) =d(f[F(x,y)],H[f(x),f(y)]); instead of δ (xⁿ,yⁿ) we write δ _n(x,y) and instead of δ _n(x,x) we write δ _{n+1}(x).

We prove the following existence theorem:

THEOREM 1.- Assume that:

- (i) there exists a function $k: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ strictly increasing, superadditive, such that for every $u, v \in X$, d(K(u), K(v)) = k(d(u, v)), moreover for some constant c > 1, $k(t) \ge ct$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$;
- (ii) for every $u, v \in X$, H[H(u,v), H(u,v)] = H[H(u,u), H(v,v)];
- (iii) H is continuous;
- (iv) for every $x,y \in E$, F[F(x,y),F(x,y)]=F[F(x,x),F(y,y)].

If there is a function $f: E \to X$ such that for every $x, y \in E$, $\delta_n(x,y) = o(c^n)$ and the series $\sum\limits_{n=1}^\infty c^{-n} \delta_n(x)$ converges, and for some $\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y} \in E$ it is $\lim\limits_{n \to +\infty} \inf k^{-n} [d(f(\widetilde{x}^n), f(\widetilde{y}^n))] > 0$, then the equation

(#) has a non constant solution.

PROOF.- Let $k_1(t) = k(t)$ and $k_m(t_1, ..., t_m) = k[t_m + k_{m-1}(t_1, ..., t_{m-1})]$. Fix $x \in E$; then for every $n \ge 1$ it is

(1)
$$d(f(x^n), K^n[f(x)]) \le \delta_n(x) + k_{n-1}[\delta_1(x), \dots, \delta_{n-1}(x)].$$

This follows by induction: for n=2 we have

by (i); α assume that (1) holds for n-1, then

$$\begin{split} & d(f(\mathbf{x}^n), \mathbf{K}^n[f(\mathbf{x})]) \leq d(f(\mathbf{x}^n), \mathbf{K}[f(\mathbf{x}^{n-1})]) + d(\mathbf{K}[f(\mathbf{x}^{n-1})], \mathbf{K}^n[f(\mathbf{x})]) = \\ = & \delta_n(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{k}[d(f(\mathbf{x}^{n-1}), \mathbf{K}^{n-1}[f(\mathbf{x})])] \leq \delta_n(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{k}[\delta_{n-1}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{k}_{n-1}[\delta_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, \delta_{n-1}(\mathbf{x})] \} = \\ = & \delta_n(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{k}_{n-1}[\delta_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, \delta_{n-1}(\mathbf{x})], \text{ since } \mathbf{k} \text{ is increasing.} \end{split}$$

Now we define the sequence of functions $\{q_n^{}\}$ by $q_n^{}(x) = K^{-n}[f(x^n)]; \ q_n^{} \ is \ defined \ for \ every \ n \geqslant 0 \ , \ since \ X \ is \ a \ modulus \ set \ for \ K.$

We claim that, for each $x \in E$, $\{q_n(x)\}$ is a Cauchy-sequence in X.

Let n>m, then

$$\texttt{d}(\textbf{q}_{n}(\textbf{x})\,,\textbf{q}_{m}(\textbf{x})\,) = \texttt{d}(\textbf{K}^{-n}[\,\,\textbf{f}(\textbf{x}^{n})\,\,]\,,\textbf{K}^{-m}[\,\,\textbf{f}(\textbf{x}^{m})\,\,]) = \textbf{k}^{-n}[\,\,\textbf{d}(\textbf{f}[\,\,\textbf{G}^{n-m}(\textbf{x}^{m})\,\,]\,,\textbf{K}^{n-m}[\,\,\textbf{f}(\textbf{x}^{m})\,\,])\,\,]$$

 $(k^{-n}$ is the n-th iterate of k^{-1} , the inverse function of k); k^{-n} is subadditive and increasing and $\delta_j(x^m) = \delta_{j+m}(x)$, therefore

$$\begin{split} & \text{d}\left(q_{n}\left(x\right),q_{m}\left(x\right)\right) \leqslant k^{-n} \{\delta_{n}\left(x\right) + k_{n-m-1} [\delta_{m+1}\left(x\right),\ldots,\delta_{n-1}\left(x\right)]\} \leqslant \\ & \leqslant k^{-n} \left[\delta_{n}\left(x\right)\right] + k^{-n+1} [\delta_{n-1}\left(x\right)] + \ldots + k^{-m-1} [\delta_{m+1}\left(x\right)] \leqslant \sum_{j=m+1}^{n} c^{-j} \delta_{j}\left(x\right), \end{split}$$

the convergence of the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c^{-n} \delta_n(x) \text{ implies that } \{q_n(x)\}$ is a Cauchy-sequence.

X is complete, thus $\{q_n\}$ converges pointwise to a function g. We prove that g is a non constant solution of the equation (#). For every x,y \in E, we have

$$\begin{split} d(K^{-n}\{f[F(x^n,y^n)]\},K^{-n}\{H[f(x^n),f(y^n)]\}) &= \\ = d(K^{-n}\{f[G^n\{F(x,y)\}]\},H\{K^{-n}[f(x^n)],K^{-n}[f(y^n)]\}) \rightarrow d(g[F(x,y)],H[g(x),g(y)]) \end{split}$$

as n++ ∞ , by the hypotheses (ii), (iii) and (iv); moreover because $\delta_n(x,y) = o(c^n)$,

$$d(K^{-n}\{f[f(x^n,y^n)]\},K^{-n}\{H[f(x^n),f(y^n)]\}) =$$

 $= k^{-n} [d(f[F(x^n, y^n)], H[f(x^n), f(y^n)])] = k^{-n} [\delta_n(x, y)] \le c^{-n} \delta_n(x, y) \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to +\infty,$ thus g[F(x, y)] = H[g(x), g(y)].

g is not constant, since $d(g(\tilde{x}),g(\tilde{y}))=\lim_{n\to +\infty}d(K^{-n}[f(\tilde{x}^n)],K^{-n}[f(\tilde{y}^n)])=$ $=\lim_{n\to +\infty}k^{-n}[d(f(\tilde{x}^n),f(\tilde{y}^n))]>0 \text{ by the hypothesis.}$

REMARK 2.- It is possible to substitute the hypotheses on the sequences $\{\delta_n(x,y)\}$ and $\{\delta_n(x)\}$ by the following ones:

- a) for every x ϵ E, the series $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} k^{-j} [\delta_{j}(x)] \text{ converges;}$
- b) for every x,y ϵ E, $\lim_{n\to+\infty} k^{-n} [\delta_n(x,y)] = 0$.

The hypotheses a) and b) allow us to drop the assumption $k(t) \geqslant ct$ for some c>1.

COROLLARY 3.- In the hypotheses of Theorem 1, there exists a unique solution g of the functional equation (#) such that for every $x \in E$, $d(g(x), f(x)) \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k^{-n} [\delta_n(x)]$.

Furthermore if E is a topological space, G is continuous and $\sup\{\delta_n^{}(x,y):\ n\geqslant 0,\ x,y\,\epsilon E\}=\delta<+\infty,\ \text{then the continuity of f implies}$ that of g.

PROOF.- If $\{q_n\}$ is defined as in theorem 1,

$$\begin{split} & \text{d}(\textbf{q}_{n}(\textbf{x}),\textbf{f}(\textbf{x})) = & \text{d}(\textbf{K}^{-n}[\textbf{f}(\textbf{x}^{n})],\textbf{f}(\textbf{x})) = & \text{k}^{-n}[\textbf{d}(\textbf{f}(\textbf{x}^{n}),\textbf{K}^{n}[\textbf{f}(\textbf{x})])] \leqslant \\ & \leqslant & \text{k}^{-n}\{\delta_{n}(\textbf{x}) + & \text{k}_{n-1}[\delta_{1}(\textbf{x}), \dots, \delta_{n-1}(\textbf{x})]\} \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} & \text{k}^{-j}[\delta_{j}(\textbf{x})]. \end{split}$$
 Let $\textbf{n} \to +\infty$, we have $\textbf{d}(\textbf{g}(\textbf{x}),\textbf{f}(\textbf{x})) \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} & \text{k}^{-j}[\delta_{j}(\textbf{x})]. \end{split}$

Let $\tilde{g}: E \to X$ be a solution of the equation (#), such that for every $x \in E$, $d(\tilde{g}(x), f(x)) \le \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} k^{-j} [\delta_j(x)]$ and $g(z) \neq \tilde{g}(z)$ for some $z \in E$.

We have
$$\tilde{g}(z^n) = K^n[\tilde{g}(z)]$$
, $g(z^n) = K^n[g(z)]$, hence
$$d(\tilde{g}(z^n), g(z^n)) = d(K^n[\tilde{g}(z)], K^n[g(z)]) = k^n[d(\tilde{g}(z), g(z))],$$

and

$$\begin{split} &d(\tilde{g}(z^{n}),g(z^{n})) \leqslant d(\tilde{g}(z^{n}),f(z^{n})) + d(g(z^{n}),f(z^{n})) \leqslant 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} k^{-j} [\delta_{j}(z^{n})] \leqslant \\ &\leqslant 2k^{n} \{\sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} k^{-j} [\delta_{j}(z)]\}, \end{split}$$

thus $k^{n}[d(\tilde{g}(z),g(z))]\rightarrow +\infty$ as $n\rightarrow +\infty$ and

$$k^{n}[d(\tilde{g}(z),g(z))] \leq 2k^{n}\{ \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} k^{-j}[\delta_{j}(z)] \}, \text{ so } d(\tilde{g}(z),g(z)) \leq \\ \leq 2 \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} k^{-j}[\delta_{j}(z)] \Rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty, \text{ a contradiction.}$$

If $\sup\{\delta_n(x,y)\colon n\geqslant 0,\ x,y\in E\}=\delta<+\infty$, we have $d(q_n(x),q_m(x))$ $\leq\sum\limits_{j=m+1}^n c^{-j}\delta$, n>m, so $\{q_n\}$ converges uniformely to g. If E is a topological space, since K^{-1} is continuous at 0 and $d(K^{-1}(u),K^{-1}(v))=K^{-1}[d(u,v)]$, K^{-1} is continuous, then the continuity of G and f implies that q_n is continuous for every n. Thus, because of the uniform convergence, g is continuous.

EXAMPLES. - 1) Consider the functional equation

$$g[T_a(x)+T_a(y)+\psi]=T_a[g(x)]+T_a[g(y)]$$
,

where $g:L^1(R)\to L^1(R)$, $x,y,\psi \in L^1(R)$, $a \in R$, T_a is the translation operator related to a, that is $(T_a(x))(t)=x(t-a)$.

Obviously the hypotheses (i)-(iv) of Theorem 1 are satisfied.

Let $Q:L^{1}(R)\to L^{1}(R)$ be a linear operator which commute with T_{a} . Then

$$\|Q[T_a(x)+T_a(y)+\psi]-T_a(Qx)-T_a(Qy)\|_{1}=\|Q\psi\|_{1}.$$

We can now apply Corollary 3 and obtain the solution

$$g(x) = Qx + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} T_{-ka} [Q\psi].$$

2) Consider the Cauchy equation

$$g(x+y) = g(x) + g(y)$$
,

where g:Z \rightarrow Z. Let f:Z \rightarrow Z be defined by f(x)=[x/2] ([t] is the integral part of t); then $|f(x+y)-f(x)-f(y)| \le 1$, but for every additive function g, the difference g-f is unbounded. Corollary 3 fails because Z is not a modulus set for K(u)=2u (see [4]).

3) Consider the functional equation

$$g(2^{\sqrt[3]{xy^2}}+1)=2^{\sqrt[3]{g(x)[g(y)]^2}}$$

where $g:R\to R$. In this case the hypothesis (iv) of Theorem 1 is not satisfied. Let f(x)=x, we can construct the sequence

$$q_n(x) = x + 1 - 2^{-n}$$
,

 $q_n(x)\rightarrow x+1$ as $n\rightarrow +\infty$, but the function g(x)=x+1 is not a solution of the equation.

4) Consider the functional equation

$$g(2\sqrt[3]{xy^2}) = 2\sqrt[3]{g(x)[g(y)]^2} + 1$$
,

where $g: R \to R$. In this case the hypothesis (ii) of Theorem 1 is not satisfied. Let f(x) = x, we can construct the sequence

$$q_n(x) = x-1+2^{-n}$$
,

 $q_n(x) \rightarrow x-1$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, but the function g(x)=x-1 is not a solution of the equation.

References

- [1] ACZÉL J.; "Lectures on Functional Equations and their Applications", Academic Press (1966).
- [2] HYERS D.H.; "On the stability of the linear functional equation", Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S. 27 (1941), pp.222-224.
- [3] KUCZMA M.; "Functional Equations in a Single Variable", Monografie Matematyczne, Polish Scientific Publishers (1968).
- [4] PAGANONI L.; "Soluzione di una equazione funzionale su dominio ristretto", Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (to appear).

Istituto di Matematica "F. Enriques" Università degli Studi di Milano via C. Saldini, 50 20133 MILANO (ITALY).