

## EXISTENCE OF A POSITIVELY HOMOGENEOUS AND TRANSLATION INVARIANT CONTINUOUS CERTAINTY EQUIVALENT

Certainty equivalent/complete preorder/utility functional/translation invariance

GIANNI BOSI\*

Department of Applied Mathematics «Bruno de Finetti», University of Trieste, Trieste, Piazzale Europa 1, 34127, Italy

\* Gianni Bosi, Dipartimento di Matematica Applicata «Bruno de Finetti», Università di Trieste, Trieste, Piazzale Europa 1, 34127, Italy. Phone: +39-040-6767115, Fax: +39-040-54209, E-Mail: giannibo@econ.univ.trieste.it.

### ABSTRACT

Let  $(\mathcal{X}, +, \cdot, \tau)$  be a *topological real vector space* of real *random variables* (i.e., measurable real functions) on a common probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P})$ , and consider a complete preorder (i.e., a transitive and complete binary relation)  $\leq$  on a real convex cone  $\mathcal{K}$  of nonnegative real random variables in  $(\mathcal{X}, +, \cdot, \tau)$ , containing all the nonnegative constant random variables. Necessary and sufficient conditions are presented for the existence of a *certainty equivalence functional*  $\mathcal{C} : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  for  $\leq$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{C}(X) \sim X$  for every  $X \in \mathcal{K}$ , and  $\mathcal{C}(\bar{\lambda}) = \lambda$  for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , with  $\bar{\lambda}$  the constant random variable equal to  $\lambda$ ), which is *strictly monotonic*, *positively homogeneous*, *translation invariant* and continuous in the induced topology  $\tau_{\mathcal{K}}$  on  $\mathcal{K}$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Some authors were concerned with the existence of a linear and continuous utility functional  $\mathcal{V}$  for a complete preorder  $\leq$  (i.e., a transitive and complete binary relation) on a normed space, or more generally, on a topological vector space (see e.g. Candeal and Induráin [4] and Weibull [10]). In this paper, given a *topological real vector space*  $(\mathcal{X}, +, \cdot, \tau)$  of real *random variables* on a common probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P})$ , we consider the case of a complete preorder  $\leq$  on a real convex cone  $\mathcal{K}$  in  $(\mathcal{X}, +, \cdot, \tau)$ , containing all the constants and such that each element of  $\mathcal{K}$  is nonnegative. Such a situation is interesting in decision theory, and particularly in insurance mathematics and risk theory, because an element  $X \in \mathcal{X}$  may be interpreted as a *random loss*, or a risk. We present necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a *certainty equivalence functional*  $\mathcal{C} : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  for  $\leq$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{C}(X) \sim X$  for every  $X \in \mathcal{K}$ , and  $\mathcal{C}(\bar{\lambda}) = \lambda$  for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , with  $\bar{\lambda}$  the constant random variable equal to  $\lambda$ ) which is positively homogeneous, translation invariant, strictly monotonic and continuous. The existence

of such a certainty equivalent is derived from the existence of a utility functional  $\mathcal{V}$  for  $\leq$ , exhibiting the same properties.

We recall that in the theory of choice under uncertainty in a multiperiod context, it is usual to assume the existence of a positively homogeneous *certainty equivalent*  $\mathcal{C}$  (see Epstein and Zin [7]), reflecting *constant relative risk aversion* (see Safra and Segal [8]). In insurance mathematics, positive homogeneity and translation invariance are both desirable properties of a *premium functional*  $\mathcal{H}$  (i.e., a real functional on the space of all the random losses associated with insurance contracts), which can also be thought of as a certainty equivalent (see e.g. Denneberg [5]). In particular, such properties are satisfied by any *Choquet premium functional* (see e.g. Wang, Young, and Panjer [9]).

### 2. DEFINITIONS

Denote by  $(\mathcal{X}, +, \cdot)$  a real vector space of real *random variables* (i.e., measurable real functions) on a common probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P})$ .

A subset  $\mathcal{K}$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  is said to be

- (i) a *real cone* if  $\lambda X \in \mathcal{K}$  for every  $X \in \mathcal{K}$ , and for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,
- (ii) a *real convex cone* if it is a real cone and  $X + Y \in \mathcal{K}$  for every  $X, Y \in \mathcal{K}$ .

Given any nonnegative real number  $\lambda$ , the constant random variable equal to  $\lambda$  will be denoted by  $\bar{\lambda}$ . Further, the set whose elements are all the constant random variables on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P})$  will be denoted by  $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$ .

Assume that  $\mathcal{X}$  is endowed with any *vector topology*  $\tau$ , so that  $(\mathcal{X}, +, \cdot, \tau)$  is a *topological real vector space*. We

recall that, given a real vector space  $(\mathcal{X}, +, \cdot)$ , a vector topology  $\tau$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  is simply a topology which makes the vector operations  $+$  and  $\cdot$  continuous. Given any subset  $\mathcal{K}$  of  $\mathcal{X}$ , denote by  $\tau_{\mathcal{K}}$  the induced topology on  $\mathcal{K}$ . For example, in risk theory it is usual to consider the vector space  $L_p(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P})$  ( $p \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ ) of all the real random variables on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P})$ , for which

$$\|X\|_p = E^{\frac{1}{p}} [|X|^p] = \left[ \int_{\Omega} |X|^p d\mathcal{P} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty. \quad (1)$$

Since  $\|\cdot\|_p$  is a pseudonorm on  $L_p(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P})$ , the corresponding pseudonorm topology  $\tau_p$  is a vector topology on  $L_p(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P})$ .

A binary relation  $\leq$  on a subset  $\mathcal{K}$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  is said to be a preorder if  $\leq$  is reflexive (i.e.,  $[X \leq X]$  for every  $X \in \mathcal{K}$ ) and transitive (i.e.,  $[X \leq Y] \wedge [Y \leq Z] \Rightarrow [X \leq Z]$  for every  $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{K}$ ).

The strict part  $<$  and the symmetric part  $\sim$  of a given preorder on  $\leq \mathcal{K}$  are defined in the usual way, namely, for every  $X, Y \in \mathcal{K}$ ,

$$X < Y \Leftrightarrow (X \leq Y) \wedge \neg (Y \leq X), \quad (2)$$

$$X \sim Y \Leftrightarrow (X \leq Y) \wedge (Y \leq X). \quad (3)$$

A preorder  $\leq$  on  $\mathcal{K}$  is complete if, for two elements  $X, Y \in \mathcal{K}$ , either  $X \leq Y$  or  $Y \leq X$ . A preorder  $\leq$  on  $\mathcal{K}$  is said to be

- (i) *monotonic* if, for every  $X, Y \in \mathcal{K}$ ,

$$(X(\omega) \leq Y(\omega) \mathcal{P} - a.s.) \Rightarrow X \leq Y,$$

- (ii) *strictly monotonic* if it is monotonic and, for every  $X, Y \in \mathcal{K}$ ,

$$(X(\omega) \leq Y(\omega) \mathcal{P} - a.s.) \wedge (\mathcal{P}\{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) < Y(\omega)\} > 0) \Rightarrow X < Y.$$

A preorder  $\leq$  on a real cone  $\mathcal{K}$  in a vector space  $\mathcal{X}$  is said to satisfy constant relative risk aversion (see e.g. Safra and Segal [8]) if, for every  $X, Y \in \mathcal{K}$ , and for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ ,

$$X \leq Y \Leftrightarrow \lambda X \leq \lambda Y. \quad (4)$$

In economic literature, a preorder satisfying condition (4) is said to be homothetic (see e.g. Bosi, Candeal and Induráin [3]).

We say that a preorder  $\leq$  on a real convex cone  $\mathcal{K}$  containing the constant  $\bar{1}$  (or equivalently  $\bar{\eta}$ ) is translation invariant if, for every  $X, Y \in \mathcal{K}$ , and for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,

$$X \leq Y \Leftrightarrow X + \bar{\lambda} \leq Y + \bar{\lambda}. \quad (5)$$

Let  $\leq$  be a preorder on a subset  $\mathcal{K}$  of  $\mathcal{X}$ . A real number  $\lambda_X$  such that  $\bar{\lambda}_X \in \mathcal{K}$  is said to be the certainty equivalent of  $X$  ( $\in \mathcal{K}$ ) if  $X \sim \bar{\lambda}_X$ . Further, we say that a real functional  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $\mathcal{K}$  is a certainty equivalence functional for  $\leq$  if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $\mathcal{U}(X)$  is the certainty equivalent of  $X$  for every  $X \in \mathcal{K}$ ,

$$(6)$$

- (ii)  $\mathcal{U}(\bar{\lambda}) = \lambda$  for every real number  $\lambda$  with  $\bar{\lambda} \in \mathcal{K}$ .

Given a topological space  $(\mathcal{X}, \tau)$ , and any subset  $\mathcal{K}$  of  $\mathcal{X}$ , a preorder  $\leq$  on  $\mathcal{K}$  is said to be continuous if  $\{Y : Y \leq X\}$  and  $\{Y : X \leq Y\}$  are closed sets in the induced topology  $\tau_{\mathcal{K}}$  on  $\mathcal{K}$  for every  $X \in \mathcal{K}$ .

A real functional  $\mathcal{V}$  on  $\mathcal{K}$  is said to be a utility functional for a complete preorder  $\leq$  on  $\mathcal{K}$  if, for every  $X, Y \in \mathcal{K}$ ,

$$X \leq Y \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{V}(X) \leq \mathcal{V}(Y). \quad (7)$$

A real functional  $\mathcal{V}$  on real cone  $\mathcal{K}$  in a real vector space  $(\mathcal{X}, +, \cdot)$  is said to be positively homogeneous if, for every  $X \in \mathcal{K}$ , and for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ ,

$$\mathcal{V}(\lambda X) = \lambda \mathcal{V}(X). \quad (8)$$

A real functional  $\mathcal{V}$  on real convex cone  $\mathcal{K}$  in real vector space  $(\mathcal{X}, +, \cdot)$ , containing the constant  $\bar{1}$ , is said to be translation invariant if, for every  $X \in \mathcal{K}$ , and for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ ,

$$\mathcal{V}(X + \bar{\lambda}) = \mathcal{V}(X) + \lambda. \quad (9)$$

A real functional  $\mathcal{V}$  on any subset  $\mathcal{K}$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  is said to be

- (i) *monotonic* if, for every  $X, Y \in \mathcal{K}$ ,

$$(X(\omega) \leq Y(\omega) \mathcal{P} - a.s.) \Rightarrow \mathcal{V}(X) \leq \mathcal{V}(Y),$$

- (ii) *strictly monotonic* if it is monotonic and, for every  $X, Y \in \mathcal{K}$ ,

$$(X(\omega) \leq Y(\omega) \mathcal{P} - a.s.) \wedge (\mathcal{P}\{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) < Y(\omega)\} > 0) \Rightarrow \mathcal{V}(X) < \mathcal{V}(Y).$$

It is clear that, if there exists a positively homogeneous, translation invariant and strictly monotonic utility functional  $\mathcal{V}$  for a complete preorder  $\leq$  on a real convex cone  $\mathcal{K}$  in real vector space  $(\mathcal{X}, +, \cdot)$ , containing the constant  $\bar{1}$ , then  $\leq$  satisfies constant relative risk aversion, and it is translation invariant and strictly monotonic.

### 3. EXISTENCE OF A CONTINUOUS CERTAINTY EQUIVALENT WHICH IS POSITIVELY HOMOGENEOUS AND TRANSLATION INVARIANT

There is a strict connection between the existence of a positively homogeneous certainty equivalence functional and the existence of a positively homogeneous utility functional for a complete preorder  $\leq$  on a real cone  $\mathcal{K}$  in real vector space  $(\mathcal{X}, +, \cdot)$ . The elementary proof of the following lemma is left to the reader.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\leq$  be a complete preorder on a real cone  $\mathcal{K}$  of nonnegative real random variables in a real vector space  $(\mathcal{X}, +, \cdot)$ , containing the constant  $\bar{1}$ . Then the following assertions hold:*

- (i) *If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a positively homogeneous utility functional for  $\leq$ , then  $\mathcal{C}$  is a certainty equivalence functional for  $\leq$  if and only if  $\mathcal{C}(\bar{1}) = 1$ ;*
- (ii) *If the restriction of  $\leq$  to  $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}$  is strictly monotonic, then a certainty equivalence functional for  $\leq$  is also a utility functional for  $\leq$ .  $\square$*

**Remark 1.** It is clear that, if there exists a strictly monotonic certainty equivalence functional  $\mathcal{C}$  for a complete preorder  $\leq$  on a real cone  $\mathcal{K}$  of nonnegative real random variables in a real vector space  $(\mathcal{X}, +, \cdot)$ , containing the constant  $\bar{1}$ , then the restriction of  $\leq$  to  $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}$  is strictly monotonic.  $\square$

In the sequel, if  $(\mathcal{X}, +, \cdot, \tau)$  is a topological real vector space,  $\mathcal{K}$  is any subset of  $\mathcal{X}$ , and  $\leq$  is any complete preorder on  $\mathcal{K}$  which is continuous in the induced topology  $\tau_{\mathcal{K}}$  on  $\mathcal{K}$ , then we shall simply say that  $\leq$  is continuous.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\leq$  be a complete preorder on a real convex cone  $\mathcal{K}$  of nonnegative real random variables in a topological real vector space  $(\mathcal{X}, +, \cdot, \tau)$ , containing the constant  $\bar{1}$ . If  $\leq$  is strictly monotonic and continuous, then, for every  $X, Y \in \mathcal{K}$ ,*

$$X < Y \Rightarrow \exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{++} : X < X + \lambda \bar{1} < Y.$$

**Proof.** Since  $\leq$  is strictly monotonic, it is clear that  $X < X + \lambda \bar{1}$  for every  $X \in \mathcal{K}$ , and for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ . So, it suffices to show that, given any two real random variables  $X, Y \in \mathcal{K}$  with  $X < Y$ , there exists  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$  such that  $X + \lambda \bar{1} < Y$ . Assume that  $Y \leq X + \lambda \bar{1}$  for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ , and consider any real sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}$  of positive real numbers converging to 0. Since the vector operation  $+$  is continuous, it is obvious that  $X + \lambda_n \bar{1}$  converges to  $X$ . Hence, from continuity of  $\leq$ , it is also  $Y \leq X$ .  $\square$

In the following theorem we characterize the existence of a positively homogeneous, translation invariant, continuous and strictly monotonic certainty equivalence

functional  $\mathcal{C}$  for a complete preorder  $\leq$  on a real convex cone  $K$  of nonnegative real random variables in a topological vector space  $(\mathcal{X}, +, \cdot, \tau)$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\leq$  be a complete preorder on a real convex cone  $\mathcal{K}$  of nonnegative real random variables in a topological real vector space  $(\mathcal{X}, +, \cdot, \tau)$ , containing the constant  $\bar{1}$ . Then there exists a positively homogeneous, translation invariant, continuous and strictly monotonic certainty equivalence functional  $\mathcal{C}$  for  $\leq$  if and only if the following conditions hold:*

- (i)  *$\leq$  satisfies constant relative risk aversion;*
- (ii)  *$\leq$  is translation invariant;*
- (iii)  *$\leq$  is continuous;*
- (iv)  *$\leq$  is strictly monotonic.*

**Proof.** The necessity part is obvious, since a strictly monotonic certainty equivalence functional  $\mathcal{C}$  for the complete preorder  $\leq$  is also a utility functional for  $\leq$  (see lemma 1 and remark 1). So let us prove the sufficiency part. From conditions (i) and (iii), there exists a positively homogeneous continuous utility functional  $\mathcal{C}'$  for  $\leq$  (see the corollary in Bosi, Candeal and Induráin [3]). Observe that  $\mathcal{C}'$  may be chosen so that  $\mathcal{C}'(\bar{1}) = 1$ . In this case,  $\mathcal{C}'$  is a certainty equivalence functional for  $\leq$  (see lemma 1). Define a real functional  $\mathcal{V}$  on  $K$  by

$$\mathcal{C}(X) = \inf \{ \mathcal{C}'(Z) + \lambda : X < Z + \lambda \bar{1}, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{++}, Z \in \mathcal{K} \} \quad (X \in \mathcal{K}).$$

Since  $\leq$  is strictly monotonic,  $\mathcal{C}$  is well defined. Further, it is easily seen that  $\mathcal{C}'(X) \leq \mathcal{C}(X)$  for every  $X \in \mathcal{K}$ .

Let us first show that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a utility functional for  $\leq$ . Consider  $X, Y \in \mathcal{K}$  such that  $X \leq Y$ . Since  $Y < Z + \lambda \bar{1}$  entails  $X < Z + \lambda \bar{1}$ , it is clear that  $\mathcal{C}(X) \leq \mathcal{C}(Y)$ . If  $Y \ll X$ , then from lemma 2 there exists  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$  such that  $Y < Y + \lambda \bar{1} < X$ ,  $\mathcal{C}'(X) < \mathcal{C}'(Y + \lambda \bar{1}) < \mathcal{C}'(Y)$ . Since there exists  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$  such that  $\mathcal{C}'(Y + \lambda \bar{1}) + \mu < \mathcal{C}'(X)$ , it is  $\mathcal{C}(Y) < \mathcal{C}(X)$  from the definition of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Now, let us show that  $\mathcal{C}$  is positively homogeneous. Assume that there exists  $X \in K$ , and  $\lambda' \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$  such that  $\mathcal{C}(\lambda'X) < \lambda' \mathcal{C}(X)$ . From the definition of  $\mathcal{C}$ , there exists  $\lambda'' \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ , and  $Z \in \mathcal{K}$ , with  $\mathcal{C}(\lambda'X) < \mathcal{C}'(Z) + \lambda'' < \lambda' \mathcal{C}(X)$ ,  $\lambda'X < Z + \lambda'' \bar{1}$ . Since  $\leq$  satisfies constant relative risk aversion, it is  $X < \frac{1}{\lambda'}Z + \frac{\lambda''}{\lambda'}$ , and therefore, using the fact that  $\mathcal{C}'$  is positively homogeneous, we arrive at the contradiction  $\mathcal{C}(X) < \frac{1}{\lambda'} \mathcal{C}'(Z) + \frac{\lambda''}{\lambda'}$ . Analogously, it can be shown that for no  $X \in K$ , and for no  $\lambda' \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$  it is  $\lambda' \mathcal{C}(X) < \mathcal{C}(\lambda'X)$ .

Observe that  $\mathcal{C}$  is continuous, since it is a positively homogeneous utility functional for the complete continuous preorder  $\leq$  (see Bosi [2, Lemma 1]). Further, since  $\mathcal{C}$  is a utility functional for the strictly monotonic com-

plete preorder  $\leq$ , it is clear that  $\mathcal{E}$  is strictly monotonic. In order to prove that  $\mathcal{E}$  is translation invariant, assume that there exists  $X \in K$ , and  $\lambda' \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$  such that  $\mathcal{E}(X + \bar{\lambda}') < \mathcal{E}(X) + \lambda'$ . From the definition of  $\mathcal{E}$ , there exists  $\lambda'' \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ , and  $Z \in \mathcal{K}$  such that  $\mathcal{E}(X + \bar{\lambda}') < \mathcal{E}(Z) + \lambda'' < \mathcal{E}(X) + \lambda'$ ,  $X + \bar{\lambda}' < Z + \bar{\lambda}''$ . If  $\lambda'' \geq \lambda'$ , the  $X < Z + \bar{\lambda}'' - \lambda'$  since  $\leq$  is translation invariant. Hence,  $\mathcal{E}(X) < \mathcal{E}(Z) + \lambda'' - \lambda'$  from the definition of  $\mathcal{E}$ , and this is contradictory. If  $\lambda'' < \lambda'$ , then  $\mathcal{E}(Z) < \mathcal{E}(X) + \lambda' - \lambda''$ , and  $X + \bar{\lambda}' - \bar{\lambda}'' < Z$  from translation invariance of  $\leq$ . Hence, there exists  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$  such that  $\mathcal{E}(Z) + \mu - \lambda' + \lambda'' < \mathcal{E}(X)$ . If  $\mu \geq \lambda' - \lambda''$ , then  $Z + \mu - \lambda' + \lambda'' \leq X$  from the definition of  $\mathcal{E}$ , and therefore  $Z \leq X$ . If  $\mu < \lambda' - \lambda''$ , then  $\mathcal{E}(Z) + \mu < \mathcal{E}(X) + \lambda' - \lambda''$  entails  $Z + \bar{\mu} \leq X + \bar{\lambda}' - \bar{\lambda}''$ . So, in both cases  $X + \bar{\lambda}' - \bar{\lambda}'' < Z$  is contradictory by strict monotonicity of  $\leq$ . Analogously, it can be shown that for no  $X \in K$  and for no  $\lambda' \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$  it is  $\mathcal{E}(X) + \lambda' < \mathcal{E}(X + \bar{\lambda}')$ .

It remains to show that  $\mathcal{E}$  is a certainty equivalence functional for  $\leq$ . By lemma 1, it suffices to show that  $\mathcal{E}(\bar{1}) = 1$ . Observe that  $\mathcal{E}(\bar{1}) \geq 1 = \mathcal{E}'(\bar{1})$ . Assume that  $1 < \mathcal{E}(\bar{1})$ , and consider any real number  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$  such that  $1 < \lambda < \mathcal{E}(\bar{1})$ . Then  $1 < \lambda$  entails  $\bar{1} < \bar{\lambda}$  since  $\leq$  is strictly monotonic. On the other hand, it is easily seen from the definition of  $\mathcal{E}$  that  $\lambda < \mathcal{E}(\bar{1})$  entails  $\bar{\lambda} \leq \bar{1}$ . So the proof is complete.  $\square$

Finally, let us consider the following example of a continuous, strictly monotonic, positively homogeneous and translation invariant certainty equivalence functional  $\mathcal{E}$  which is not additive.

**Example 1. [Choquet integral]** Consider a real convex cone  $\mathcal{K}$  of nonnegative real random variables in  $L_p(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P})$ , endowed with the  $L_p$ -(pseudo)norm topology ( $p$  is any positive real number). Let  $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a increasing and concave continuous function such that  $g(0) = 0, g(1) = 1$ . It is well known that the Choquet integral of  $X \in \mathcal{K}$  with respect to the distorted probability  $g \circ \mathcal{P}$  is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{E}(X) = \int_{\Omega} X dg \circ \mathcal{P} = \int_0^{\infty} g \circ \mathcal{P}\{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) > u\} du.$$

$\mathcal{E}$  is a  $L_p$ -norm continuous (see e.g. Denneberg [6, Proposition 9.4]), strictly monotonic, positively homogeneous and translation invariant real functional on  $\mathcal{K}$ . Let  $\leq$  be the complete preorder on  $\mathcal{K}$  defined by  $X \leq Y \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{E}(X) \leq \mathcal{E}(Y)$ . Since it is clear that  $\mathcal{E}(\bar{1}) = 1$ ,  $\mathcal{E}$  is a certainty equivalence functional for  $\leq$  by lemma 1. Nevertheless,  $\mathcal{E}$  is not additive, in general.  $\square$

**REFERENCES**

1. Bosi, G. Continuous certainty equivalence under constant relative risk aversion, preprint.
2. Bosi, G. (1998). A note on the existence of continuous representations of homothetic preferences on a topological vector space. *Annals of Operations Research* **80**, 263-268.
3. Bosi, G., Candeal, J. C. and Induráin E. Continuous representability of homothetic preferences by means of homogeneous utility functions, to appear in *Journal of Mathematical Economics*.
4. Candeal, J. C. and Induráin, E. (1995). A note on linear utility, *Economic Theory* **6**, 519-522.
5. Denneberg, D. (1990). Premium calculation: why standard deviation should be replaced by absolute deviation. *Astin Bulletin* **20**, 181-190.
6. Denneberg, D. (1994). *Non-additive measure and integral*. Kluwer Academic Publishers.
7. Epstein, L. G. and Zin, S. E. (1990). «First-order» risk aversion and the equity premium puzzle. *Journal of Monetary Economics* **26**, 387-407.
8. Safra, Z. and Segal, U. (1995). How complicated are betweenness preferences. *Journal of Mathematical Economics* **24**, 371-381.
9. Wang, S., Young, V. and Panjer, H. (1997). Axiomatic characterization of insurance prices. *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics* **21**, 173-183.
10. Weibull, J. W. (1984). Continuous linear representation of preference orderings in vector spaces, in *Operations Research and Economic Theory*, Springer-Verlag.