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#### Abstract

In the present paper the optimal control problem for Helmholtz equation with non-local boundary conditions and quadratic functional is considered. The necessary and sufficient conditions for optimality in a maximum principle form have been obtained.


## RESUMEN

En este trabajo se considera el problema de control óptimo para la ecuación de Helmholtz con condiciones de contorno locales y funcional cuadrático. Se obtienen condiciones necesarias y suficientes de optimalidad en la forma del principio del máximo.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The control with distributed systems, described by linear differential elliptic equations with non-local boundary conditions is a serious problem in the optimal control theory.

Bitsadze-Samarski non-local boundary problem [1] arises in connection with mathematical modeling of plasma processes. We can also indicate other areas of important applications, for example, in the investigation of baroclinic sea [8], in the theory of elasticity and shells [2]. An optimal control problem for elliptic equations with classical boundary conditions and quadratic functional has been considered in [3].

## 2. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM AND MAIN RESULTS

Let $G$ be a rectangle $G=10, l_{1}[\times] 0, l_{2}[$. $\Gamma$ the boundary of the rectangular domain, $\gamma=\left\{\left(l_{1}, y\right): 0 \leq y \leq l_{2}\right\}$ and $\gamma_{0}=$
$\left\{\left(x_{0}, y\right): 0 \leq y \leq l_{2}\right\}, x_{0}$ the fixed point of interval $] 0, l_{1}[, V$ some open subset in $\mathfrak{R}$ and $U_{a d}$ the set of control functions $v: G \rightarrow V . v \in L_{2}(G)$.

Let us consider Bitsadze-Samarski problem for Helmholtz equation [4] for each fixed $v \in U_{a d}$ in the domain $G$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}-q(x, y) u=a(x, y) v+b(x, y) \quad(x, y) \in G  \tag{1}\\
u(x, y)=0 \quad(x, y) \in \Gamma / \gamma  \tag{2}\\
u\left(l_{1}, y\right)=\sigma u\left(x_{0}, y\right) \quad 0 \leq y \leq l_{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $a \in L_{\infty}(G), b \in L_{2}(G), 0 \leq q \in L_{\infty}(G), 0<\sigma<1, \sigma$ $=$ const

Similarly as [5], it can be shown, that the solution of problem (1)-(2) exists, is unique and belongs to space $H^{2}(G)$ [10].

Let us consider the functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(v)=\iint_{G}\left[c(x, y) u-z_{d}\right]^{2} d x d y+\iint_{G} N(x, y) v^{2} d x d y \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where: $c \in L_{\infty}(G), z_{d}$ is given element, $z_{d} \in L_{2}(G), 0<N \in$ $L_{\infty}(\mathrm{G})$.

1. Let us formulate the following control problem: find the function $v_{0} \in U_{a d}$, whose corresponding solution of the boundary value problem (1)-(2) together with $v_{0}$ results in minimal functional value. The pair ( $u_{0}, v_{0}$ ) thus obtained is called optimal [9].
2. To obtain conditions of optimality following the scheme developed in the works [6] [7].

Let us consider arbitrary permissible control $\nu_{\varepsilon} \in U_{a d}$ and $u_{\varepsilon}$ corresponding solution of problem (1)-(2). Let us introduce the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{v}=v_{\varepsilon}-v_{0}, \quad \tilde{u}=u_{\varepsilon}-u_{0} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we obtain the following problem:

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\frac{\partial^{2} \tilde{u}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \tilde{u}}{\partial y^{2}}-q(x, y) \tilde{u}=a(x, y) \tilde{v}, & (x, y) \in G \\
\tilde{u}(x, y) & =0 & & (x, y) \in \Gamma \backslash \gamma \\
\tilde{u}\left(l_{1}, y\right) & =\sigma \tilde{u}\left(x_{0}, y\right) & & 0 \leq y \leq l_{2} . \tag{6}
\end{array}
$$

Let $\Psi \neq 0, \Psi \in H^{2}\left(G \backslash \gamma_{0}\right) \cap H^{\prime}(G)$ [3]. Multiplying equation (5) by $\Psi$ and integrating over domain $G$, the following equality is obtained:
$\iint_{G} \Psi(x, y)\left[\frac{\partial^{2} \tilde{u}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \tilde{u}}{\partial y^{2}}-q(x, y) \tilde{u}\right] d x d y=\iint_{G} a(x, y) \Psi(x, y) \tilde{v} d x d y$
The increment of functional (3) with fixed $v_{0}, v_{\varepsilon}$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{I}=I\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right)-I\left(v_{0}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$=\iint_{G}\left[\left(c(x, y) u_{e}-z_{d}\right)^{2}+N(x, y) v_{c}^{2}\right] d x d y-\iint_{G}\left[\left(c(x, y) u_{0}-z_{d}\right)^{2}+N(x, y) v_{0}^{2}\right] d x d y=$
$=\iiint_{\sigma}\left[2 c(x, y) \tilde{u}\left(c(x, y) u_{0}-z_{d}\right)+2 N(x, y) \nu_{0} \tilde{v}+c^{2}(x, y) \tilde{u}^{2}+N(x, y) \tilde{v}^{2}\right] d x d y$
From relations (7)-(8), we obtain for the increment the following expression:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{I}=I\left(v_{e}\right)-I\left(v_{0}\right)=  \tag{9}\\
& =\iint_{G} \Psi(x, y)\left[\frac{\partial^{2} \tilde{u}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \tilde{u}}{\partial y^{2}}-q(x, y) \tilde{u}\right] d x d y-\iint_{G} a(x, y) \Psi(x, y) \tilde{v} d x d y \\
& +\iint_{G}\left[2 c(x, y) \tilde{u}\left(c(x, y) u_{0}-z_{d}\right)+N(x, y) \tilde{v}^{2}+2 N(x, y) v_{0} \tilde{v}\right. \\
& \left.+c^{2}(x, y) \tilde{u}^{2}\right] d x d y=\iint_{G} \Psi(x, y)\left[\frac{\partial^{2} \tilde{u}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \tilde{u}}{\partial y^{2}}\right] d x d y+ \\
& +\iint_{G}\left[\left(2 c(x, y)\left(c(x, y) u_{0}-z_{u}\right)-q(x, y) \Psi\right) \tilde{u}+\right. \\
& \left.+\left(2 N(x, y) v_{0}-a(x, y) \Psi\right) \bar{v}+c^{2}(x, y) \tilde{u}^{2}+N(x, y) \tilde{v}^{2}\right] d x d y
\end{align*}
$$

To obtain the adjoint equation, let us make the following transformations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \Psi(x, y) \frac{\partial^{2} \tilde{u}}{\partial x^{2}} d x d y=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{x} \Psi(x, y) \frac{\partial^{2} \tilde{u}}{\partial x^{2}} d x+\int_{x_{0}}^{1} \Psi(x, y) \frac{\partial^{2} \tilde{u}}{\partial x^{2}} d x\right) d y= \\
= & \int_{0}^{1}\left(\Psi\left(l_{1}, y\right) \tilde{u}_{x}\left(l_{1}, y\right)-\Psi(0, y) \tilde{u}_{x}(0, y)+\left[\Psi\left(x_{0}^{-}, y\right)-\Psi\left(x_{0}^{+}, y\right)\right] \tilde{u}_{x}\left(x_{0}, y\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
+\left[\Psi_{x}\left(x_{0}^{-}, y\right)-\Psi_{x}\left(x_{0}^{+}, y\right)-\sigma \Psi_{x}\left(l_{1}, y\right)\right] \tilde{u}\left(x_{0}, y\right)+\int_{0}^{x_{0}} \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial x^{2}} \tilde{u}(x, y) d x+ \\
\left.+\int_{x_{0}}^{1} \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial x^{2}} \tilde{u}(x, y) d x\right) d y
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $\Psi \in H^{2}\left(G \backslash \gamma_{0}\right) \cap H^{1}(G)$, then $\Psi\left(x_{0}^{-}, y\right)=\Psi\left(x_{0}^{+}, y\right)$ [10]

In similar way, we obtain:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1 /} \Psi(x, y) \frac{\partial^{2} \tilde{u}}{\partial x^{2}} d x d y \\
=\int_{0}^{1}\left[\int_{0}^{l_{2}} \tilde{u}(x, y) \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial y^{2}} d y+\left(\Psi\left(x, l_{2}\right) \tilde{u}_{y}\left(x, l_{2}\right)-\Psi(x, 0) \tilde{u}_{y}(x, 0)\right)\right) d x
\end{gathered}
$$

From these relations we conclude that if $\Psi$ is the solution of the following problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial y^{2}}-q(x, y) \Psi=-2 c(x, y)\left(c(x, y) u_{0}-z_{d}\right), \quad(x . y) \in G \backslash \gamma_{0}, \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\Psi(x, y)=0 & (x, y) \in \Gamma  \tag{11}\\
\Psi_{x}\left(x_{0}^{-}, y\right)-\Psi_{x}\left(x_{0}^{+}, y\right)=\sigma \Psi_{x}\left(l_{1}, y\right), & 0 \leq y \leq l_{2}
\end{array}
$$

then, the increment of the functional will take the form:
$\tilde{I}=\iint_{G}\left(2 N(x, y) v_{0}-a(x, y) \Psi\right) \tilde{v} d x d y+\iint_{G}\left(c^{2}(x, y) \tilde{u}^{2}+N(x, y) \bar{v}^{2}\right) d x d y \geq 0$
Partially integrating equation (10) and using the properties of Dirac's distribution, we obtain the following problem equivalent to problem (10) - (11).

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial y^{2}}-q(x, y) \Psi=-2 c(x, y)\left(c(x, y) u_{0}-z_{d}\right)- \\
-\delta\left(x_{0}-x\right) \sigma \Psi_{x}\left(l_{1}, y\right), & (x, y) \in G \\
\Psi(x, y)=0 & (x, y) \in \Gamma \tag{14}
\end{array}
$$

where $\delta\left(x_{0}-x\right)$ is the Dirac distribution.
Theorem 1. Let $\Psi_{0}$ be a solution of the adjoint problem (13)-(14) and $N(x, y)>0$, then for ( $u_{0}, v_{0}$ ) to be optimal a necessary and sufficient condition is that the following relation be true almost everywhere on $G$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 N(x, y) v_{0}-a(x, y) \Psi_{0}=0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)$ be the optimal pair and $N(x, y)>0$. We are going to show, that condition (15) is satisfied. Let us prove the contrary. Let us assume, that

$$
2 N(x, y) v_{0}-a(x, y) \Psi_{0} \neq 0
$$

on a set of positive Lebesgue measure.
Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & <\mu\left[\left\{(x, y) \in G / 2 N(x, y) v_{0}-a(x, y) \Psi_{0} \neq 0\right\}\right]= \\
= & \mu\left[\left\{(x, y) \in G / 2 N(x, y) v_{0}-a(x, y) \Psi_{0}>0\right\} \cup\right. \\
& \left.\cup\left\{(x, y) \in G / 2 N(x, y) v_{0}-a(x, y) \Psi_{0}<0\right\}\right]= \\
= & \mu\left[\left\{(x, y) \in G / 2 N(x, y) v_{0}-a(x, y) \Psi_{0}>0\right\}+\right. \\
& +\mu\left[\left\{(x, y) \in G / 2 N(x, y) v_{0}-a(x, y) \Psi_{0}<0\right\}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mu$ is the Lebesgue measure on the $G$. Let us introduce the notation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{+} & =\left[\left\{(x, y) \in G / 2 N(x, y) v_{0}-a(x, y) \Psi_{0}>0\right\}\right] \\
G_{-} & =\left[\left\{(x, y) \in G / 2 N(x, y) v_{0}-a(x, y) \Psi_{0}<0\right\}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us consider two cases: $\mu\left(G_{+}\right)>0, \mu\left(G_{-}\right)>0$.
Suppose $\mu\left(G_{+}\right)>0$. Since $V$ is open, there exists $k_{0}>0$ such, that $v_{0}+k_{x G+} \in V$ with $|k| \leq k_{0}$, where $x G+$ denotes the characteristic function of the set $G_{+}$.

## Denote by

$$
T=2 N(x, y) v_{0}-a(x, y) \Psi_{0}
$$

then there exists $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$
\iint_{G_{+}} T d x d y>\varepsilon
$$

According to the definition $\left\|k_{x G+}\right\|_{L_{2(G)}} \rightarrow 0$ for $k \rightarrow 0$. Let $k<0$; and assuming, that $\tilde{v}=k_{x G_{+}}$and $\tilde{u}\left(x, y, k_{x G_{+}}\right)$is the solution of problem (5) - (6), it then follows that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{u}\left(x, y, k_{x G_{+}}\right)=k \tilde{u}\left(x, y, x G_{+}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account that $\tilde{v}=k_{X G+}$

$$
\iint_{G}\left(2 N(x, y) v_{0}-a(x, y) \Psi_{0}\right) \tilde{v} d x d y=\iint_{G} T k_{x_{G}+} d x d y=k \iint_{G_{*}} T d x d y
$$

and, further, that

$$
\iint_{G} N(x, y) \tilde{\nu}^{2} d x d y=\iint_{G} N(x, y)\left(k_{x G+}\right)^{2} d x d y=k^{2} \iint_{C_{+}} N(x, y) d x d y
$$

and taking into account (16), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\iint_{G} c^{2}(x, y) \tilde{u}^{2} d x d y=\iint_{G} c^{2}(x, y) \tilde{u}^{2}\left(x, y, k_{x G_{+}}\right) d x d y \\
=k^{2} \iint_{G} c^{2}(x, y) \tilde{u}^{2}\left(x, y, x G_{x}\right) d x d y
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $v_{0}$ is optimal, then for sufficently small increment $\tilde{v}$

$$
I\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right)-I\left(v_{0}\right) \geq 0
$$

On the other hand, for $\tilde{v}=k_{X G+}$ from equation (12) it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I\left(v_{0}+\tilde{v}\right)-I\left(v_{0}\right)=\iint_{G} T \tilde{v} d x d y+\iint_{G} N(x, y) \tilde{v}^{2} d x d y+\iint_{G} c^{2}(x, y) \tilde{u}^{2} d x d y= \\
& =k\left(\iint_{G_{0}} T d x d y+k\left(\iint_{G_{F}} N(x, y) d x d y+\iint_{G} c^{2}(x, y)\left(\tilde{u}\left(x, y, x C_{+}\right)\right)^{2} d x d y\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

From here, there exists $\delta>0$ such that for $-\delta \leq k \leq 0$ we have
$\left|k\left(\iint_{G_{+}} N(x, y) d x d y+\iint_{G} c^{2}(x, y)\left(\tilde{u}\left(x, y, x \mathrm{G}_{+}\right)\right)^{2} d x d y\right)\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$

Consecuently, for $-\delta \leq k \leq 0$
$0 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}<\iint_{\mathrm{G}_{+}} T d x d y+k\left(\iint_{G_{+}} N(x, y) d x d y+\iint_{G} c^{2}(x, y)\left(\tilde{u}\left(x, y, x G_{+}\right)\right)^{2} d x d y\right)$,
and
 that is, for $-\delta \leq k \leq 0$

$$
I\left(v_{0}+k X_{\mathrm{G}_{+}}\right)-I\left(V_{0}\right)<0
$$

Taking into account, that for $|k| \leq k_{0}$

$$
v_{0}+k_{X G_{*}} \in U_{a d} \text { and } \lim _{k \rightarrow 0}\left\|k_{X G_{+}}\right\|_{L_{2}(G)}=0
$$

this contradicts the optimally of $v_{0}$. Hence

$$
\mu\left(G_{+}\right)=0
$$

Similary, it is proved that $\mu\left(G_{-}\right)+0$.
Thus, the neccessary condition of optimality is proved. To prove sufficiency: Let

$$
2 N(x, y) v_{0}-a(x, y) \Psi_{0}=0
$$

almost everywhere on $G$ and $N(x, y)>0$. It then follows, from our assumptions, that for each $\nu_{\varepsilon}$

$$
I\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right)-I\left(v_{o}\right) \geq 0
$$

i.e., $\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)$ is the optimal pair.

This proves the theorem.

Let us consider the case when $V$ convex set and functional has the following form:

$$
I(v)=\iint_{G}\left[\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right)^{2}\right] d x d y+\iint_{G} v^{2} d x d y
$$

Let us formulate the following control problem: find the function $v_{0} \in U_{a d}$, whose corresponding solution of the boundary value problem (1)-(2) together with $v_{0}$ results in minimal functional value (17). The pair ( $u_{0}, v_{0}$ ) thus obtained is called optimal [9].

Let us consider arbitrary permissible control $v_{\varepsilon}=v_{0}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(v$ $\left.-v_{0}\right) \in U_{a d}$ and $u_{\varepsilon}=u_{0}+\varepsilon\left(u-u_{0}\right)$ corresponding solution of problem (1)-(2). Let us introduce the notation
$\delta v=v_{\varepsilon}-v_{0}=\varepsilon\left(v-v_{0}\right), \quad \delta u=u_{\varepsilon}-u_{0}=\varepsilon\left(u-u_{0}\right)$
Let us $\Psi \neq 0, \Psi \in H^{2}\left(G \gamma_{0}\right) \cap H^{1}(G)$.
In a similar way we obtain that, if $\Psi$ is the solution of the following problem:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial y^{2}}-q(x, y) \Psi=2(q(x, y) u+a(x, y) \nu+ \\
+b(x, y)), \quad(x, y) \in G \backslash \gamma_{0},  \tag{19}\\
\Psi(x, y)=0, \quad(x, y) \in \Gamma .  \tag{20}\\
\Psi_{x}\left(x_{0}^{-}, y\right)-\Psi_{x}\left(x_{0}^{+}, y\right)-\sigma \Psi_{x}\left(l_{1}, y\right)=-2 \sigma u_{x}\left(l_{1}, y\right), \quad 0 \leq y \leq l_{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

then, the increment of the functional will take the form:

$$
\delta I=\iint_{G}\left(2 v_{0}-a(x, y) \Psi\right) \delta v+\iint_{G}\left[\left(\frac{\partial(\delta(u)))}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial(\delta(u)))}{\partial y}\right)^{2}+(\delta v)^{2}\right] d x d y
$$

Taking into account (18), we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta I=\varepsilon \iint_{G}\left(2 v_{0}-a(x, y) \Psi\right)\left(v-v_{0}\right) d x d y+ \\
& +\varepsilon^{2} \iint_{G}\left[\left(\frac{\partial\left(u-u_{0}\right)}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial\left(u-u_{0}\right)}{\partial y}\right)^{2}+\right. \\
& \left.+\left(v-v_{0}\right)^{2}\right] d x d y, \quad \forall v \in U_{a d}, \forall \varepsilon \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

From here we have:
(21)

$$
\iint_{G}\left(2 v_{0}-a(x, y) \Psi\right)\left(v-v_{0}\right) d x d y \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in U_{a d}
$$

Let us show equivalence of (21) and (22),
$\inf _{v \in V}\left[\left(2 v_{0}-a(x, y) \Psi\right) v-\left(2 v_{0}-a(x, y) \Psi\right) v_{0}\right] \geq 0$
Let us introduce the notation: $P=2 v_{0}-a(x, y) \Psi$,
$G_{0}=\left\{\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \in G\right.$ is a Lebesgue's point for $P$ and $P v_{0}$ functions $\}$

Then $m e s G_{0}=m e s G$.
Let us

$$
G_{\delta}=\left\{(x, y) \in G\left|x-x_{0}\right|<\delta,\left|y-y_{0}\right|<\delta\right\}
$$

where $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ is arbitrary fixed point from $G_{\delta}$. Consider admissible control:

$$
v_{\delta}(x, y)= \begin{cases}v_{0}(x, y), & (x, y) \in G \backslash G_{\delta} \\ v, & (x, y) \in G_{\delta}\end{cases}
$$

where $v$ is arbitrary point from $V$.
From (21) follows:

$$
\iint_{G} P(x, y) v_{\delta}(x, y) d x d y \geq \iint_{G} P(x, y) v_{0}(x, y) d x d y
$$

Since $G=G \cup\left\{G G_{\delta}\right\}$, then
$\iint_{G_{\delta}} P(x, y) v(x, y) d x d y \geq \iint_{G \backslash G_{\delta}} P(x, y) v_{0}(x, y) d x d y \geq$
$\geq \iint_{G_{\delta}} P(x, y) v_{0}(x, y) d x d y+\iint_{G \backslash G_{\delta}} P(x, y) v_{0}(x, y) d x d y$

## Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\iint_{G_{\delta}} P(x, y) v(x, y) d x d y & \geq \iint_{G_{\delta}} P(x, y) v_{0}(x, y) d x d y \\
\operatorname{mes} G_{\delta} & =4 \delta^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

From here we get:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{4 \delta^{2}} \iint_{G_{d}} P(x, y) v d x d y \geq \\
\geq \lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{4 \delta^{2}} \iint_{G_{d}} P(x, y) v_{0}(x, y) d x d y
\end{gathered}
$$

According to the Lebesgue's theorem

$$
P\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) v \geq P\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) v_{0}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)
$$

As $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ is taken arbitrary from $G_{0}$ and $v$ from $V$, then
$\inf _{v \in V} P\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) v \geq P\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) v_{0}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right), \quad$ for any $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \in G$ i.e., (22) is valid.

Since $m e s G_{0}=m e s G$, then

$$
\inf _{v \in V} P(x, y) v=P(x, y) v_{0}(x, y)
$$

almost everywhere on $G$.
Let us prove the converse. From (22) it follows

$$
P(x, y) v(x, y) \geq P(x, y) v_{0}(x, y), \quad \forall v(\cdot) \in U_{a d}
$$

almost everywhere on $G$, since

$$
P(x, y) v(x, y) \geq \inf _{w \in Y} P(x, y) w=P(x, y) v_{0}(x, y)
$$

almost everywhere on $G$. Then

$$
\iint_{G} P(x, y) v(x, y) d x d y \geq \iint_{G} P(x, y) v_{0}(x, y) d x d y
$$

for any $v(\cdot) \in U_{a d}$.
Thereby the equivalence of (21) and (22) is proved. Hence the necessity of conditions (22) for optimality of pair ( $u_{0}, v_{0}$ ) directly follows.

To prove sufficiency, let (22) be valid. Let us prove that ( $u_{0}, v_{0}$ ) is an optimal pair. From equivalence of (21) and (22) follows that (21) is valid, and hence we get:

$$
\begin{gathered}
I=\iint_{G}\left(2 v_{0}-a(x, y) \Psi\right)\left(v-v_{0}\right) d x d y+ \\
+\varepsilon^{2} \iint_{G}\left[\left(\frac{\partial\left(u-u_{0}\right)}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial\left(u-u_{0}\right)}{\partial y}\right)^{2}+\left(v-v_{0}\right)^{2}\right] d x d y \geq 0
\end{gathered}
$$

i.e., $\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)$ is the optimal pair.

For this problem (1)-(2), (17), when $V$ some open subset from $\Re$, the condition (22) has the following form:

$$
2 v_{0}-a(x, y) \Psi=0
$$

Now consider the optimal control problem (1)-(3) when $V$ convex set. Similarly we conclude, that if $\Psi$ is the solution of (10-11), then taking into account (18) from (12) we have:

$$
\iint_{G}\left(2 N(x, y) v_{0}-a(x, y) \Psi\right)\left(v-v_{0}\right) d x d y \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in U_{a d}
$$

which is equivalent to this:

$$
\inf _{v \in J}\left[\left(2 N(x, y) v_{0}-a(x, y) \Psi\right) v-\left(2 N(x, y) v_{0}-a(x, y) \Psi\right) v_{0}\right] \geq 0
$$

The maximum principle has been obtained.
Theorem 2. Let the cost functional $I(v)$ be given by formula (17) (or (3)). Then a necessary and sufficient condition for the pair $\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)$ to be optimal is that the following relations: (1), (2), (9), (20) (or (1), (2), (10), (11)) and
$\iint_{G}\left(2 v_{0}-a(x, y) \Psi\right)\left(v-v_{0}\right) d x d y \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in U_{a d}$
(or $\iint_{G}\left(2 N(x ; y) v_{0}-a(x, y) \Psi\right)\left(v-v_{0}\right) d x d y \geq 0$,
$\forall v \in U_{a d}$ ) almost everywhere on $G$, hold.
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