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#### Abstract

We study here a cooperative elliptic system defined on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$; we obtain necessary or sufficient conditions for having the Maximum Principle and the existence of a positive solution for linear systems involving Schrödinger operators. Then we deduce existence of positive solutions for semilinear systems.


## RESUMEN

En este trabajo se estudia un sistema cooperativo definido sobre todo $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ dado por operatores de tipo Schrödinger. Se dan condiciones necesarias o suficientes para la validez del principio del máximo. Finalmente, se analiza la existencia de una solución positiva de tal sistema.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Given an integer $N>1$, we consider the following system (in its variational form), for any $1 \leq i \leq N$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{(1 . i) \quad L_{q_{i}} u_{i}:=\left(-\Delta+q_{i}\right) u_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{j=N} a_{i j} u_{j}+f_{i} \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n}\right. \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $a_{i j}$ are given numbers such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i j}>0 \text { for } i \neq j ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left\{\begin{array}{l}q_{i} \text { is a positive continuous function, larger than } 1 ; \\ q_{i} \text { tending to }+\infty \text { at infinity; }\end{array}\right.$

Hypothesis on $f_{i}$, which are given functions, will be specified later.

Such systems where (2) is satisfied are called cooperative. They appear in some physical and biological problems. They have been studiend on $\Omega$, bounded open set of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and when $q_{1}=q_{i} \equiv 0$ in [1] to [14]... Only few papers deal with systems defined on unbounded domains [15] to [17]. Here, System (1), which involves Shrödinger operators and which is defined on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, appears for example in laser theory. It has been studied, when $N=2$ and $f_{2} \equiv 0$, in [15] or [16]; we extend here some of these results when $f_{2} \not \equiv 0$.

We say that System (1) satisfies a Maximum Principle if any nonnegative data: $f_{i} \geq 0, \forall 1 \leq i \leq N$, implies that any solution $u:=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{i}, \ldots u_{N}\right)$ is nonnegative: $u_{i} \geq 0$. The proofs here are often analoguous to that of [5], [6]; we do not use the decoupling method as in $[3,4,15]$ or [16].

Our paper is organized as follow: In Section 2 we recall some results on Schrödinger equations, $N=1$; then we study linear systems of $N$ equations in Section 3, and semilinear systems in Section 4. For all these cases we study necessary or sufficient conditions for having the Maximum Principle and for existence of solutions. Note that, generally our necessary conditions are different from the sufficient ones, and only for the case $q_{1}=q_{2}=\ldots=q_{N}$, we have been able to obtain necessary and sufficient conditions (e.g. as in [5, 6, 7]).

## 2. RECALLS ON THE SCALAR CASE

We first recall some results on the scalar, case.
Let $h \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) ; a$ is a given number and $q$ is a continuous function such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exists c>0, \quad 0<c<q(x), \quad q(x) \rightarrow+\infty \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We study here the equation, in its variational form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{q} u:=(-\Delta+q) u=a u+h \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \tag{E}
\end{equation*}
$$

The associated variational space is $V_{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ : the completion of $\mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{R}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ with respect to the norm

$$
\|u\|_{q}:=\left[\int_{I R^{\prime \prime}}|\nabla u|^{2}+q|u|^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

Since, e.g. by [18], the embedding of $V_{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ into $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is compact, $L_{q}$ considered as an operator in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is positive, selfadjoint, with compact inverse. Hence its spectrum is discrete; it consists in an infinite sequence of positive eigenvalues, tending to $+\infty$; moreover, the smallest one, denoted by $\lambda(q)$ is simple and is associated with an eigenfunction $\varphi$ which does not change sign in $\mathbb{R}^{\prime \prime}$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
L_{q} \varphi=\lambda(q) \varphi \quad \mathbb{R}^{n} ; \\
\varphi(x) \rightarrow 0 \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty ; \varphi>0 \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Such an eigenvalue is usually referred as «principal eigenvalue». It is characterized by:
$\lambda(q) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|u|^{2} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+q|u|^{2}\right) \quad \forall u \in V_{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
The equality in (5) holds if and only if $u=k \varphi, k \in \mathbb{R}$.
Proposition 1 (Maximum Principle) For any $a<\lambda(q)$, $L_{q}-a$ is with compact inverse and therefore, for any $h \in L^{2}$ $\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, there exists a unique $u \in V_{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ solution of $(E) ; 0 \leq$ $h \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, implies $u \geq 0$ if and only if $a<\lambda(q)$. Moreover $u>0$ if $h \not \equiv 0$.

This is the (strong) Maximum Principle, that can be found in [19].

## 3. LINEAR SYSTEMS

We consider here system (1) with $N$ equations, $N \geq 2$. We assume that Hypothesis (2), and (3) are verified; set: $q:=$ $\max \left(q_{i}\right), 1 \leq i \leq N$ and $\lambda(q)$ the associated principal eigenvalue. Note that, since $q \rightarrow \lambda(q)$ increases with $q, \lambda(q) \geq$ $\lambda\left(q_{i}\right), \forall i \in\{1, \ldots, N\}$. We seek weak solutions in $V_{q 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ $\times \ldots \times V_{q n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Indeed, if the potentials are such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|q_{1}-q_{i}\right| \leq \text { A. } q_{1} \text { with } 0<A<1 \tag{3'}
\end{equation*}
$$

the variational spaces $V_{q 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=V_{q n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=V_{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ are the same.
First let us recall some notions about nonsingular M-matrices.

## Definition 1 Any matrix $M$ of the form

$$
M=s I-B, \quad s>0, \quad B \geq 0
$$

for wich $s>\rho(B)$, the spectral radius of $B$, is called a nonsingular M-matrices.

Proposition 2 If $M$ is with negative entries outside the diagonal, each of the following conditions is equivalent to the statement: «M is a nonsingular M-matrix»:
(P1) $M$ is semipositive; that is there exists $X \gg 0$ with $M X \gg 0$.
(P2) $M$ is inverse-positive; that is, $M^{-1}$ exists and $M^{-1} \geq 0$.
(P3) There exists a positive diagonal matrix $D$ such that $M D+D M^{t}$ is positive definite.
$(P 4)$ All the principal minors of $M$ are positive.
For more details about M-matrices and the proof of the proposition see [20].

The system (1) could be written:

$$
L U=A U+F
$$

with $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)_{\leq \leq i j \leq v}$ and

$$
U=\left(\begin{array}{c}
u_{1} \\
\cdot \\
u_{N}
\end{array}\right), F=\left(\begin{array}{c}
f_{1} \\
\cdot \\
f_{N}
\end{array}\right), L=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
-\Delta+q_{1} & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\
0 & \cdot & 0 & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & 0 & \cdot & 0 & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & 0 & \cdot & 0 \\
0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & -\Delta+q_{N}
\end{array}\right)
$$

For all $1 \leq i \leq N$, denote by $\varphi_{i}$ the principal positive eigenfunction of $L_{q i}=-\Delta+q_{i}$ wich is associated with the principal eigenvalue $\lambda\left(q_{i}\right)$ and $\Lambda$ the matrix:

$$
\Lambda=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
\lambda\left(q_{i}\right) & 0 & . & . & 0 \\
0 & \cdot & 0 & . & 0 \\
\cdot & 0 & \cdot & 0 & \cdot \\
. & \cdot & 0 & \cdot & 0 \\
0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & \lambda\left(q_{N}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

Note that since the system is cooperative, the matrix $\Lambda$ $A$ is with negative entries outside the diagonal.

Theorem 1. We suppose that (2), (3), and (3') are satisfied; assume that for all $1 \leq i \leq N, f_{i}$ are in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$; if the Maximum Principle holds for (1), then neccesarly
$\left\{(a) \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq N \quad a_{i i}<\lambda\left(q_{i}\right)\right.$
$\{$ (b) $\lambda(q) I-A$ is a nonsingular $M$-matrix
Remark: For $N=2,(6 . b)$ is $\left(\lambda(q)-a_{11}\right)\left(\lambda(q)-a_{22}\right)>$ $a_{12} a_{21}$.

Proof of Theorem 1: Assume that $\forall 1 \leq i \leq N, f_{i} \geq 0, f_{i}$ $\not \equiv 0$, and that any solution ( $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{N}$ ) is such that $u_{i} \geq 0, \forall 1$ $\leq i \leq N$.

Multiplying (1.i) by the principal eigenfunction $\varphi_{i}$ associated with the principal eigenvalue $\lambda\left(q_{i}\right)$, integrating over $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, we obtain:

$$
\left\{\left(\lambda\left(q_{i}\right)-a_{i i}\right) \int u_{i} \varphi_{i}-\sum_{j \neq i} a_{i j} \int u_{j} \varphi_{i} \geq 0\left(>0 \text { if } f_{i} \not \equiv 0\right)\right.
$$

Since by hypothesis, the Maximum Principle holds, $\int_{u_{i} \varphi_{i}}$, $\int_{u_{j}, \varphi_{i}}$, are nonnegative; moreover $a_{i j}>0, \forall i \neq j$; therefore it follows that $a_{i i}<\lambda\left(q_{i}\right)$.

Then we rewrite (1.i) as follows:

$$
\left\{\left(1^{\prime} . i\right) \quad L_{q} u_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{j=N} a_{i j} u_{j}+\left(q-q_{i}\right) u_{i}+f_{i}\right.
$$

Denote by $\varphi$ the principal eigenfunction, associated with the principal eigenvalue $\lambda(q)$. Multiplying (1',i) by $\varphi$ and integrating over $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, we get:

$$
\left\{\lambda(q) \int u_{i} \varphi-\sum_{j=1}^{j=N} a_{i j} \int u_{j} \varphi=\int\left[\left(q-q_{i}\right) u_{i}+f_{i}\right] \varphi \geq 0\left(>0 \text { if } f_{i} \not \equiv 0\right)\right.
$$

Since by hypothesis, the Maximum Principle holds, we get $(\lambda(q) I-A) X=G \gg 0$ with $X \gg 0$, where $X_{i}$, the i-th component of $X$, is: $X_{i}=\int u_{i} \varphi$. $B y(P I),(\lambda(q) I-A)$ is a nonsingular M-matrix.

Theorem 2. Assume that for all $1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq N, f_{i}$ are in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and that (2) and (3) are satisfied. If $(\Lambda-A)$ is a nonsingular M-matrix then the Maximum Principle holds for (1).

Proof of Theorem 2: Assume that for all $1 \leq i \leq N, f_{i} \geq$ 0 . For any solution ( $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{N}$ ) of (1), we multiply (1.i) by $u_{i}^{-}$ $:=\max \left(0,-u_{i}\right)$ and integrate. We have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int\left(\nabla u_{i}\right)\left(\nabla u_{i}^{-}\right)+q_{i} u_{i} u_{i}^{-}=-\int\left[\left|\nabla u_{i}^{-}\right|^{2}+q_{i}\left|u_{i}^{-}\right|^{2}\right]= \\
a_{i j} \int u_{i} u_{i}^{-}+\sum_{j \neq i} a_{i j} \int\left(u_{j}^{+}-u_{j}^{-}\right) u_{i}^{-}+\int f_{i} u_{i}^{-}
\end{gathered}
$$

so that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int\left[\left|\nabla u_{i}^{-}\right|^{2}+q_{i}\left|u_{i}^{-}\right|^{2}\right]= \\
& a_{i i} \int\left|u_{i}^{-}\right|^{2}-\sum_{j \neq i} a_{i j} \int u_{j}^{+} u_{i}^{-}+\sum_{j \neq i} a_{i j} \int u_{j}^{-} u_{i}^{-}-\int f_{i} u_{i}^{-} \\
& \leq a_{i i} \int\left|u_{i}^{-}\right|^{2}+\sum_{j \neq i} a_{i j} \int u_{j}^{-} u_{i}^{-} \\
& \leq a_{i i} \int\left|u_{i}^{-}\right|^{2}+\sum_{j \neq i} a_{i j}\left[\int\left|u_{j}^{-}\right|^{2} \int\left|u_{i}^{-}\right|^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from the variational characterization of $\lambda\left(q_{i}\right)(5)$, that

$$
\left\{\left(\lambda\left(q_{i}\right)-a_{i i}\right)\left[\int\left|u_{i}^{-}\right|^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} \leq \sum_{j \neq i} a_{i j}\left[\left|u_{j}^{-}\right|^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\right.
$$

or equivalently

$$
(\Lambda-A) X \leq 0 \text { with } X=\binom{\left[\int\left|u_{1}^{-}\right|^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}}{\left[\int\left|u_{N}^{-}\right|^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}} \geq 0
$$

Since $(\Lambda-A)$ is a nonsingular M-matrix, by $(P 2)$ we have

$$
X=(\Lambda-A)^{-1}[(\Lambda-A) X] \leq 0
$$

It follows that $u_{i} \equiv 0$, for all $1 \leq i \leq N$.
We are now concerned with the proof of existence of positive solutions. We use Lax-Milgram theorem for proving:

Theorem 3. Assume that (2) and (3) are satisfied. If ( 1 A) is a nonsingular M-matrix, and if $f_{i} \geq 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq N$, then System (1) has a unique solution which is nonnegative, Conversely, if, in addition, (3') is satisfied and if System (1) has a unique solution which is nonnegative for all $F \geq 0$, then (6) holds.

Proof of Theorem 3: Note that, if $(\Lambda-A)$ is a nonsingular M-matrix, by ( $P 2$ ) $(\Lambda-A)^{t}$ is a nonsingular M-matrix too. So by $(P 3)$ there exists a positive diagonal matrix $D$ such that $(\Lambda-A)^{t} D+D(\Lambda-A)$ is positive definite.

$$
D=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
d_{1} & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\
0 & \cdot & 0 & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & 0 & \cdot & 0 & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & 0 & \cdot & 0 \\
0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & d_{N}
\end{array}\right) \text { and } \forall 1 \leq i \leq N \quad d_{i}>0
$$

We first rewrite (1.i) and (1.2) as:
$\left\{(7 . i) d_{i}\left(L_{q_{j}}+m\right) u_{i}=d_{i}\left(a_{i i}+m\right) u_{i}+\sum_{j \neq i} d_{i} a_{i j} u_{j}+d_{i} f_{i}\right.$ in $I R^{n}$ where $m$ is large enough so that $a_{i i}+m>0, \forall 1 \leq i \leq N$.

We define a map $l$ from $\left[\left(V_{q_{1}}\left(I R^{n}\right)\right) \times \ldots \times\left(V_{q N}\left(I R^{n}\right)\right)\right] \times$ $\left[\left(V_{q 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right) \times \ldots \times\left(V_{q \mathrm{~N}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)\right.$ in $\mathbb{R}$, by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l(U, V)=\sum_{i=1}^{i=N} d_{i} \int_{I R^{n}}\left(\nabla u_{i} \cdot \nabla v_{i}+\left(m+q_{i}\right) u_{i} v_{i}\right) \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{i=N} d_{i}\left(a_{i i}+m\right) \int_{I R_{n}} u_{i} v_{i}-\sum_{j \neq i} d_{i} a_{i j} \int_{I R_{n}} u_{j} v_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to check that $l$ is a continuous bilinear form. Moreover we prove that if $\Lambda-A$ is a nonsingular M-matrix, then $l$ is coercive. First, by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and by (5), we have:
$l(U, U)=$
$=\sum_{i=1}^{i=N} d_{i} \int\left[\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{2}+\left(m+q_{i}\right)\left|u_{i}\right|^{2}\right]-\sum_{i=1}^{i=N} d_{i}\left(a_{i j}+m\right) \int u_{i}^{2}$

$$
-\sum_{j \neq i} d_{i} a_{i j} \int u_{j} u_{i}
$$

$\geq \sum_{i=1}^{i=N} d_{i}\left(1-\frac{a_{i j}+m}{\lambda\left(q_{i}\right)+m}\right) \int\left[\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{2}+\left(m+q_{i}\right) u_{i}^{2}\right]$

$$
-\sum_{j \neq i} d_{i} a_{i j}\left(\int\left|u_{j}\right|^{2} \int\left|u_{i}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

$\geq \sum_{i=1}^{i=N} d_{i}\left(\lambda\left(q_{i}\right)-a_{i i}\right) \frac{\|u\|_{q_{i}}^{2}+m}{\lambda\left(q_{i}\right)+m}-\sum_{j \neq i} d_{i} a_{i j} \frac{\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{q_{i}+m}\left\|u_{i}\right\|_{q_{i}+m}}{\left[\left(\lambda\left(q_{j}\right)+m\right)\left(\lambda\left(q_{i}\right)+m\right)\right]^{1 / 2}}$
where $\|u\|_{q+m}^{2}:=\int\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+(m+q)|u|^{2}\right)$.
Setting $X=\left(x_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq N}$ with $x_{i}=\frac{\left\|u_{i}\right\|_{q_{i}+m}}{\sqrt{\lambda\left(q_{i}\right)+m}}$, we get

$$
l(U, U) \geq X^{t} D(\Lambda-A) X
$$

Since $\left.X^{r} D(\Lambda-A) X=\frac{1}{2} X^{r}((\Lambda-A))^{t} D+D(\Lambda-A)\right) X$ and $(\Lambda-A)^{\prime} D+D(\Lambda-A)$ is positive definite, $l$ is coercive and this implies that (7) has a unique solution for any $f_{i}$, $1 \leq i \leq N$ in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{\prime \prime}\right)$. This solution is positive whenever, $f_{i} \geq$ 0 , for all $1 \leq i \leq N$, by the Maximum Principle.

## 4. SEMINILEAR SYSTEMS

Now we prove existence of solutions when $f_{i}$ depends on $x, u_{i}$ and $u_{j}$ by using sub and super solutions.

Hypotheses: Assume that for all $1 \leq i \leq N$ there exist $\vartheta_{i}$ $\in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{\prime \prime}\right), \vartheta_{i}>0, \exists M>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\Lambda-A-M I) \text { is a nonsingular M-matrix }  \tag{8}\\
& \left\{0 \leq f_{i}\left(x,\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{N}\right)\right) \leq M u_{i}+\vartheta_{i} \text { for } u_{1} \geq 0, \ldots, u_{N} \geq 0\right.  \tag{9}\\
& f_{i} \text { are lipschitz w.r.t. }\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{N}\right) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 4. If (2), (3) and (8) to (10) are satisfied, then (1) has at least a positive solution.

Proof of Theorem 4: We use the method of sub-super solutions.

Construction of a super-solutions: consider
$\left\{\left(L_{q_{i}}+m\right) u=\left(m+a_{i i}\right) u_{i}+\sum_{j \neq i} a_{i j} u_{j}+M u_{i}+\vartheta_{i}\right.$ in $I R^{n}$
It follows from the section 2 and from (8) that (11) admits a positive solution $U^{0}:=\left(u_{1}^{0}, \ldots, u_{N}^{0}\right)$. By (9), $\left(u_{1}^{0}, \ldots, u_{N}^{0}\right)$ is a super solution, i.e.:
$\left\{L_{\pi_{i}} u_{i}^{0}:=\left(-\Delta+q_{i}\right) u_{i}^{0} \geq a_{i i} u_{i}^{0}+\sum_{j \neq i} a_{i j} u_{j}^{0}+f_{i}\left(x,\left(u_{1}^{0}, \ldots, u_{N}^{0}\right)\right)\right.$ in $I R^{n}$
Obviously by (9), $U_{0}:=(0, \ldots, 0)$ is a subsolution, i.e.:
$\left\{L_{q_{i}} u_{i 0}:=\left(-\Delta+q_{i}\right) u_{i 0} \leq a_{i i} u_{i 0}+\sum_{j \neq i} a_{i j} u_{j 0}+f_{i}\left(x,\left(u_{10}, ., u_{N 0}\right)\right)\right.$ in $I R^{n}$
Definition of a compact operator: choose $m>0$ so that for all $1 \leq i \leq N, m+a_{i i}>0$.
$\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Then we define } T: U \in\left[U_{0} ; U^{0}\right] \rightarrow W=\left[\begin{array}{c}w_{1} \\ \cdot \\ w_{N}\end{array}\right]:=T U\end{aligned} \quad=\left(L^{2}\left(I R^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)^{N}$ by:
$\left\{\left(L_{q_{i}}+m\right) w_{i}=\left(m+a_{i i}\right) u_{i}+\sum_{i \neq j} a_{i j} u_{j}+f_{i}\left(x,\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{N}\right)\right)\right.$ in $I R^{n}$ which can also be written:

$$
\left\{w_{i}=\left(L_{q_{i}}+m\right)^{-1}\left[\left(m+a_{i i}\right) u_{i}+\sum_{j \neq i} a_{i j} u_{j}+f_{i}\left(x,\left(u_{1}, \ldots,+u_{N}\right)\right)\right]\right.
$$

It follows from (9) and from the scalar case that $T U$ is well defined; we prove now that it is continuous and hence by (10) and the compactness of the operators $(L+m)^{-1}, T$ is compact.

Let $\left(U_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, be convergent sequences in $\left(L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)^{N}: \forall 1 \leq$ $i \leq N, u_{i k} \rightarrow u_{i}$. Set $T\left(U_{k}\right)=\left(W_{k}\right)$ and $T(U)=(W)$.
$\left(L_{q_{i}}+m\right)\left(w_{i k}-w_{i}\right)=\left(m+a_{i i}\right)\left(u_{i k}-u_{i}\right)+\sum_{j \neq i} a_{i j}\left(u_{u j}-u_{j}\right)$ $+f_{i}\left(x,\left(u_{1 k}, ., u_{N k}\right)\right)-f_{i}\left(x,\left(u_{1}, ., u_{N}\right)\right)$.

We multiply each equation by ( $w_{i k}-w_{i}$ ) and integrate over $\mathbb{R}^{n}$; we get:
$\left\|w_{i k}-w_{i}\right\|_{q_{t+m}}^{2} \leq\left\|w_{i k}-w_{i}\right\|_{L^{2}}\left[\left(m+a_{i i}\right)\left\|u_{i k}-u_{i}\right\|_{L^{2}}\right.$
$\left.+\sum_{j \neq i} a_{i j}\left\|u_{j k}-u_{j}\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|f_{i}\left(x,\left(u_{1 k},,, u_{N k}\right)\right)-f_{i}\left(x,\left(u_{i}, ., u_{N}\right)\right)\right\|_{L^{2}}\right]$.

By (10):

$$
\left\|w_{i k}-w_{i}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C\left[\sum_{i=1}^{i=N}\left\|u_{i k}-u\right\|_{L^{2}}\right] \rightarrow 0 \text { as } k \rightarrow+\infty .
$$

[ $\left.U_{0} ; U^{0}\right]$ is an invariant set for $T: T\left(\left[U_{0} ; U^{0}\right]\right) \subseteq\left[U_{0 ;} U^{0}\right]$. It follows from (9) that $1 \leq i \leq N, f_{i}\left(x,\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{N}\right)\right) \geq 0$ for $u_{1} \geq, 0, \ldots, u_{N} \geq 0$. Therefore, for $U \geq U_{0}$ we have

$$
\left[\left(m+a_{i i}\right) u_{i}+\sum_{j \neq i} a_{i j} u_{j}+f_{i}\left(x,\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{N}\right)\right)\right] \geq 0
$$

Then applying the Maximum Principle for the scalar case we obtain that $T U \geq U_{0}=(0, \ldots, 0)$.

We show now that $0 \leq U \leq U^{0}$ implies that $T U \leq U^{0}$. We substract (14) from (11):

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(L_{q_{i}}+m\right)\left(u_{i}^{0}-w_{i}\right)=\left(m+a_{i i}\right)\left(u_{i}^{0}-u_{i}\right)+\sum_{\mathrm{j} \neq i} a_{i j}\left(u_{j}^{0}-u_{j}\right) \\
+M u_{i}^{0}+\vartheta_{i}-f_{i}\left(x,\left(u_{i}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Of course $\left(m+a_{i i}\right)\left(u_{i}^{0}-u_{i}\right)+\sum_{j \neq i} a_{i j}\left(u_{j}^{0}-u_{j}\right) \geq 0$. By (9), $0 \leq f_{i}\left(x,\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{N}\right)\right) \leq M u_{i}+\vartheta_{i} ;$ therefore $\left(L_{q i}+m\right)\left(u_{i}^{0}-w_{i}\right)$ $\geq 0$. By the scalar case, $u_{i}^{0}-w_{i} \geq 0$. Hence $\left[U_{0} ; U^{0}\right]$ is invariant by $T$.

Since $\left[U_{0} ; U^{0}\right]$ is convex, bounded and closed in $\left(L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)^{N}$, we can apply Schauder Fixed Point Theorem which gives the existence of at least one solution in $\left[U_{0} ; U^{0}\right]$.

To prove uniqueness, we assume there exists a concave function

$$
\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{N}\right) \rightarrow H\left(x, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{N}\right)
$$

such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{f_{i}\left(x, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{N}\right)=\frac{\partial H}{\partial u_{i}}\left(x, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{N}\right)\right. \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 5. If (2), (3), (8) to (10) and (15) are satisfied, then (1) has a unique positive solution.

Proof of Theorem 5: By (P1), if ( $\Lambda-A-M I$ ) in a nonsingular M-matrix, $(\Lambda-A)$ is a nonsingular M-matrix too. So, as in the proof of the theorem 3, we consider the positive diagonal matrix $D$ such that $(\Lambda-A)^{t} D+D(\Lambda-A)$ is positive definite. Assume that $U=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{N}\right)$ and $V=\left(v_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $v_{N}$ ) are solutions of (1); set $W:=U-V$. Then:

$$
\left\{\left(-\Delta+q_{i}\right) w_{i}=a_{i i} w_{i}+\sum_{j=1} a_{i j} w_{j}+\left[\frac{\partial H}{\partial u_{i}}\left(u_{1}, ., u_{N}\right)-\frac{\partial H}{\partial v_{i}}\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{N}\right)\right] \text { in } I R^{n}\right.
$$

Multiplying each equation by $d_{i} w_{i}$ and adding the $N$ equations, we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sum_{i=1}^{i=N} d_{i} \int\left(\left|\nabla w_{i}\right|^{2}+q_{i} w_{i}^{2}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{i=N} d_{i} a_{i i} \int w_{i}^{2}+\sum_{j \neq i} d_{i} a_{i j} \int w_{i} w_{j} \\
+\sum_{i=1}^{i=N} d_{i} \int\left[\frac{\partial H}{\partial u_{i}}\left(u_{1}, ., u_{N}\right)-\frac{\partial H}{\partial v_{i}}\left(v_{1}, ., v_{N}\right)\right]\left(w_{i}\right)
\end{array}
$$

By (15), we have:
$\sum_{i=1}^{i=N} d_{i}\left(\lambda\left(q_{i}\right)-a_{i i}\right) \int w_{i}^{2} \leq \sum_{j \neq i} d_{i} a_{i j} \int w_{i} w_{j} \leq \sum_{j \neq i} d_{i} a_{i j} \int w_{i}^{2} \int w_{j}^{2}$
Setting $X=\left(x_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq N}$ with $x_{i}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} w_{i}^{2}$, we get $X^{\prime} D(\Lambda-A) X$ $\leq 0$, or equivalently

$$
X^{t}\left((\Lambda-A)^{t} D+D(\Lambda-A)\right) X \leq 0
$$

Since $(\Lambda-A)^{t} D+D(\Lambda-A)$ is positive definite, it follows $X=0\left(w_{i}=0 \forall 1 \leq i \leq N\right)$.

This work was supported by European network-contract ERBCHRXCT 930409. the authors thank M. and T. Hoffman-Ostenhoff for remarks on Schrödinger operators.

## BIBLIOGRAFÍA

1. Cosner, C., Hernández, I. \& Mitidieri, E. Maximum principles and application to reaction-diffusion systems Birkhauser, Boston, (in preparation).
2. Figueiredo, D.G. de \& Mitidieri, E. (1986) A maximum principle for an elliptic system and applications to semilinear problems. S.I.A.M. J. Math. Anal., 17, 836-849.
3. Figueiredo, D.G. de \& Mitidieri, E. (1990) Maximum principle for cooperative elliptic systems Comptes Rendus Acad. Sc. Paris, 310, 49-52.
4. Figueiredo, D.G. de \& Mitidieri, E. (1988) Maximum principle for linerar elliptic systems. Quaterno Matematico 177, Dip. Sc. Mat., Univ. Trieste.
5. Fleckinger, J., Hernández, J. \& Thélin, F. de. (1992) Principe du maximum pour un sytème elliptique non linéaire. Comptes Rendus Acad. Sc. Paris, 314, Ser. I, 665-668.
6. Fleckinger, J., Hernández, J. \& Thélin, F. de. (1995) On maximum principles and existence of positive solutions for some cooperative elliptic systems. Diff and Int Eq., 8, (1) 69-85.
7. Fleckinger, J., Hernández, J. \& Thélin, F. de. (1992) A maximum principle for linear cooperative elliptic systems. Mathematics in Science and Engineering. Edited by: William F. Ames, Vol. 192. Differential Equations with Applications to Mathematical Physics, pp. 79-86.
8. Hernández, J. (1981) Some existence and stability results for solutions of reaction-diffusion systems with nonlinear boundary conditions. Eds.: Mottoni, P. de \& Salvadori, L.
In: Non linear differential equations : Invariance, Stability and Bifurcation. New York, Acad. Press, pp. 161-173.
9. Hernández, J. (1990) Maximum principles and decoupling for positive solutions of reaction-diffusion systems with. In: ReactionDiffusion Equations. Eds.: Brown, K.J. \& Lacey, A.A. Clarendon Press, Oxford, pp. 199-224.
10. Sweers, G. (1992) Strong positivity in $C(\mathbb{R n})$ for elliptic systems. Math. Z., 209, 251-271.
11. Boccardo, L., Fleckinger, J. \& Thélin, F. de. (1994) Existence of solutions for some nonlinear cooperative systems and some applications. Diff and Int Eq., 7, (3), 689-698.
12. López-Gómez, J. \& Molina, M. (1994) The maximum principle for cooperative weakly coupled elliptic systems and some applications. Diff and Int Eq., 7, (2).
13. Caristi, G. \& Mitidieri, E. (1990) Maximum principles for a class of non coperative elliptic systems. Delft Progress Rep., 14, 33-56.
14. Clément, P. \& Egberst, P. (1990) On the sum of two maximal monotone operators. Diff. and Int. Eqs. 3, 1127-1138.
15. Abakhti-Mchachti, A. (1993) Systèmes semilinéaires d'équations de Schrödinger. Thèse numéro 1338, Université Toulouse 3.
16. Abakhti-Mchachti, A. \& Fleckinger-Pellé, J. Existence of solutions for non cooperative semilinear elliptic systems defined on an unbounded domain. Pitman Research Notes in Maths, 266, 92-106.
17. Fleckinger, J. \& Serag, H. (1995) Semilinear cooperative systems on $\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Rendiconti di Matematica, serie VII, Volume 15, Roma, 89-108.
18. Fleckinger, J. (1981) Estimate of number of eigenvalues for an operator of Schrödinger type. Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh, 89A, 355-361.
19. Reed, M. \& Simon, B. (1979) Methods of modern mathematical physics. Volume IV, Analysis of Operators, Academic Press, New York.
20. Bermann, A. \& Plemmons, R.J. (1979) Nonnegative Matrices in the Mathematical Sciences. Academic Press, New York.
