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Several generalisations of the classical Laplace transform have been given by various mathematicians from time to time. In 1950 Erdélyi gave a generalisation and Joshi studied various properties of this generalisation including an Abelian theorem. The object of this present work is to discuss Abelian theorems of the initial-value and final-value type for the above generalisation in the classical sense and extend the results to a certain class of distributions.

## 1. Introduction

Erdelyi [2] gave a generalisation of the classical Laplace transform in the following way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}(x)=\mathrm{L} f(y)=\frac{\Gamma(a)}{\Gamma(b)} \int_{0}^{\infty}(x y)^{\beta}{ }_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1}(a ; b ;-x y) f(y) d y \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
a=\beta+\eta+1 ; \quad b=\alpha+\beta+\eta+1
$$

$\alpha, \beta$ and $\eta$ being complex. (1) reduces to the well-known classical Laplace transform
(2)

$$
F(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x y} f(y) d y
$$

for $\alpha=\beta=0$. We define the generalised Laplace transform (1) as. ${ }_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1}$-transform of $f(y)$. An initial-value theorem relates the asymptotic behaviour of $f(y)$ as $y \longrightarrow 0^{+}$to the asymptotic behaviour of $\mathrm{F}(x)$ as $x \longrightarrow \infty$ and the final-value theorem relates the asymptotic behaviour of $f(y)$ as $y \longrightarrow \infty$ to the asymptotic behaviour of $\mathrm{F}(x)$. as $x \longrightarrow 0^{+}$. In this paper it is proposed to prove theorems of this nature and extend them to distributions.

Widder [9] and Doetsch [1] had given theorems of the aboye type for (2). Zemanian [10] recently extended some Abelian theorems relating to (2) in the bilateral case to distributions. Joshi [3] gave initial-value and final-value theorems for the generalised Lapla-ce-Stieltjes transform

$$
\mathrm{F}(x)=\frac{\Gamma(a)}{\Gamma(b)} \int_{0}^{\infty}(x y)^{\beta}{ }_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1}(a ; b ;-x y) d \Psi(y)
$$

where $a$ and $b$ have the same meaning as before. Misra [5] had recently proved some Abelian theorems for distributional Stieltjes transformation. In another paper [6a] the author has recently given Abelian theorems for a generalized Stieltjes transform in the: distributional sense.

## 2. Preliminaries

I denote the open interval $(0, \infty) ; x$ and $y$ are real variables: restricted to I . $\mathscr{D}(\mathrm{I})$ denotes the space of smooth functions defined' over I 'whose supports are compact subsets of I. We assign to $\mathscr{D}$ (I) the topology that makes its dual $\mathscr{D}^{\prime}(\mathrm{I})$ the space of Schwartz distributions on I.
(a) Testing function space $E(I): E(I)$ is the space of all com-plex-valued smooth functions on $I$. We have $\mathscr{D}(\mathrm{I}) \subset E(I) . \mathrm{E}^{\prime}(\mathrm{I})$ is the dual of $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{I}) . \mathrm{E}^{\prime}(\mathrm{I})$ is a subspace of $\mathscr{D}^{\prime}(\mathrm{I})[12$, p. 36, 37].
(b) A boundedness property of distributions in $\mathrm{E}^{\prime}(\mathrm{I})$ :

For every $f \in \mathrm{E}^{\prime}(\mathrm{I})$, there exists a nonnegative integer $r$ such that if $\varphi \in E$ (I), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|<f, \varphi>\left|\leq\left|C \max _{0 \leq k \leq r} \sup _{t \in \mathrm{~J}}\right| \psi^{(k)}(t)\right|\right. \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J$ is any bounded open subset of $I$ containing the sup-
port of f . This follows in view of the result [10, p. 84] and the fact that

$$
\langle f, \varphi\rangle=\langle f, \lambda \varphi\rangle
$$

where $\lambda(t) \in \mathscr{D}(\mathrm{I})$ and is equal to 1 over J and zero outside a larger interval.
(c) Value of a distribution at a point due to Lojasiewicz [4]:

Let $f$ be a distribution defined in a neighbourhood of a point ; then $f$ is said to have a value N at $x_{0}$ namely $f\left(x_{0}\right)=\mathrm{N}$ if the distributional

$$
\operatorname{limit}_{\lambda \rightarrow 0+}^{\operatorname{limit}} f\left(x_{0}+\lambda x\right)
$$

exists in a neighbourhood of zero and if it is a constant function N. We will also have a need to use a convention stated in [11, p. 1255].

Throughout this paper it will be assumed that $\operatorname{Re} \beta>0, \operatorname{Re} n>0$ and that $\operatorname{Re} b$ is not zero or a negative integer unless otherwise stated. Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}=\frac{\Gamma(a)}{\Gamma(b)} \\
& (a)_{n}=a(a+1) \ldots(a+n-1) \\
& \mathrm{K}(x y)=\mathrm{P}(x y)^{\beta} \quad \mathrm{F}_{1}(a ; b ;-x y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. The Classical initial-value theorem for the ${ }_{1} F_{1}$-transform:

Theorem 1.-Let the complex-valued function $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{y})$ satisfy the following two conditions:
(i) $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{y}) \rightarrow 0$ as $\mathrm{y} \rightarrow \infty$,
(ii) $\frac{\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{y}}{\mathrm{y}^{\gamma+\alpha-\beta}}$
( $\gamma$ is any real number greater than or equal to zero) is absolutely. continuous on $0 \leqslant y<\infty$.

Let there exist a complex number $\mu$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{y \rightarrow 0+} \frac{f(y)}{y^{\gamma+a-\beta}}=\mu . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then
(5)

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} C F(x) x^{\gamma+a-\beta+1}=\mu
$$

where

$$
C=\left|\frac{\Gamma(\beta+\eta-\gamma)}{\Gamma(\gamma+a+1) \Gamma(\beta+\eta-\gamma-\alpha)}\right|
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\mathrm{x})=L \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{y})=\int_{0}^{\infty} K(\mathrm{x} y) \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{y}) \mathrm{d} \mathrm{y} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the conditions

$$
\operatorname{Re}(\beta+\eta)>\gamma+\operatorname{Re} \alpha>-1 \text { and } \operatorname{Re}(\beta+\eta)-\gamma>0 .
$$

Proof.-For convenience let us put

$$
\gamma+\alpha-\beta=\mathbf{E} .
$$

From the result [8, p. 48],

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{l-1}{ }_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1}(a ; b ;-x) d x=\frac{\Gamma(l) \Gamma(a-l) \Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(b-l) \Gamma(a)} \quad(0<\operatorname{Re} l<\operatorname{Re} a)
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{\mathrm{E}+1 \mathrm{~F}(x)-\frac{\mu \Gamma(\gamma+\alpha+1) \Gamma(\beta+\eta-\gamma-\alpha)}{\Gamma(\beta+\eta-\gamma)}=} \begin{array}{c}
=x^{\mathrm{E}+1}\left\{\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{K}(x y) f(y) d y-\mu \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~K}(x y) d y\right\}= \\
=x^{\mathrm{E}+1}\left\{\int_{0}^{\infty}\left[f(y)-\mu y^{\mathrm{E}}\right] \mathrm{K}(x y) d y\right\} .
\end{array},
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\lvert\, x^{\left.\mathrm{B}+1 \mathrm{~F}(x)-\frac{\mu \Gamma(\gamma+\alpha+1) \Gamma(\beta+\eta-\gamma-\alpha)}{\Gamma(\beta+\eta-\gamma)} \right\rvert\, \leqslant}\right. \\
& \quad \leq x^{\mathrm{E}+1}\left\{\int_{0}^{t}\left|f(y)-\mu y^{\mathrm{E}}\right| \mathrm{K}(x y) d y+\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\int_{t}^{\infty}\left|f(y)-\mu y^{\mathrm{B}}\right| \mathrm{K}(x y) d y\right\}=\mathrm{I}_{1}+\mathrm{I}_{2}, t>0\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left|\mathrm{I}_{2}\right| \leq \sup _{t \leq y<\infty}\left|x^{\mathrm{E}+1} \int_{t}^{\infty}\right| \frac{f(y)}{y^{\mathrm{B}}}-\mu \right\rvert\, y^{\mathrm{E} \mathrm{~K}(x y) d y \mid \leq} \\
& \quad \leq \sup _{t \leq y<\infty}\left|\frac{f(y)}{y^{\mathrm{B}}}-\mu\right|\left|x^{\mathrm{E}+1} \int_{t}^{\infty} y^{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{~K}(x y) d y\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

But

$$
\left|x^{\mathrm{R}+1} y^{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{P}(x y)^{\beta}{ }_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1}(a ; b ;-x y)\right| \leq \mathrm{C}^{\prime}(x y)^{\mathrm{E}-\eta-1} x
$$

by the asymptotic estimate of ${ }_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ function [8, p. 60] where $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ is an appropriate constant $\left(\mathrm{C}^{\prime}>0\right)$. Hence

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\mathrm{I}_{2}\right| \leq \sup _{t \leq y<\infty}\left|\frac{f(y)}{y^{\mathrm{E}}}-\mu\right| \mathrm{C}^{\prime}\left|x^{\mathrm{B}}-\eta\right| \int_{t}^{\infty} y^{\mathrm{E}-\eta-1} d y \leq \\
\leq \sup _{t \leq y<\infty}\left|\frac{f(y)}{y^{\mathrm{E}}}-\mu\right| \mathrm{C}^{\prime}\left|\frac{x^{\mathrm{B}}-\eta}{\eta-\mathrm{E}}\right|(t)^{\mathrm{E}-\eta \rightarrow 0 \text { as }} \\
x \rightarrow \infty \text { since } \operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{E}-\eta)<0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $\frac{f(y)}{y^{\mathrm{E}}}$ is absolutely continuous on $0 \leqslant y<\infty$,

$$
\left|\frac{f(y)}{y^{\mathrm{Z}}}-\mu\right|
$$

is bounded for $t \leqslant y$. By (4), for any arbitrary $\varepsilon>0$, there exists :a $\delta>0$ such that

$$
\left|\frac{f(y)}{y^{\mathrm{E}}}-\mu\right|<\varepsilon \text { on } 0 \leq y<\delta .
$$

Having fixed $\delta$ such that $y<\delta$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\mathrm{I}_{1}\right| \leq \varepsilon\left|x^{\mathrm{B}+1} \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{~K}(x y) y^{\mathrm{E}} d y\right|= \\
=\varepsilon\left|\mathrm{P} \int_{0}^{\infty}(x y)^{\gamma+a}{ }_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1}(a ; b ;-x y) x d y\right|= \\
=\varepsilon\left|\frac{\Gamma(\gamma+\alpha+1) \Gamma(\beta+\eta-\gamma-\alpha)}{\Gamma(\beta+\eta-\gamma)}\right|=\frac{\varepsilon}{\mathrm{C}} \text { by }[8, p .48] .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus

$$
\varlimsup_{x \rightarrow \infty}\left|I_{1}+I_{2}\right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{C}
$$

which proves (5).
To extend this theorem to a class of distributions, we shall use the ideas of the value of a distribution at a point due to Lojasiewicz (section 2 (c)) and Shiraishi Risai [7].

## 4. Initial-value theorem for the distributional ${ }_{1} F_{1}$-transform

Theorem 2.-Let

$$
\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{y}) \in E^{\prime}(I) \text { and } \frac{\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{y})}{\mathrm{y} E} \rightarrow \mu \text { as } \mathrm{y} \rightarrow 0+
$$

in the sense of Lojasiewicz.
Then as $\mathrm{x} \longrightarrow \mathbf{\infty}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|<\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{y})-\mu \mathrm{y}^{\gamma+a-\beta}, K(\mathrm{xy})>\right| \leq \varepsilon . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof.-We use a theorem [10, p. 92] that a finite-order derivative of a continuous function is a distribution. From Shiraishi Risai [7] we have

$$
f(t)-\mu t^{\gamma+u-\beta}=D^{k} F
$$

where $F$ is a continous function in a neighbourhood of zero and $D^{k} F$ is a finite-order derivative of a continuous function. Hence the left side of (7) is equal to

$$
\mid<f(y), \mathrm{K}(x y)>1
$$

which proves that $f(y)$ is a distribution. By (b) of section 2, we have-

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|<f(y), \mathrm{K}(x y)>\left|\leq \max _{0 \leq k \leq r} \sup _{t \in \mathrm{~J}}\right| \mathrm{D}_{x}^{k} \mathrm{~K}(x y)\right|= \\
=\max _{0 \leq k \leq r} \sup _{t \in \mathrm{~J}} \left\lvert\, \mathrm{P} y^{\beta} \sum_{n=0}^{k}\binom{k}{n} \times\left[{ }_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1}(a ; b ;-x y)\right]_{(n)}^{(x)_{(k-n)}^{\beta} \mid}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

A typical term in the summation on the right side is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y y^{\beta+n} Q_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1}(a+n ; b+n ;-x y) x^{\beta-k+n} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
Q=P\binom{k}{n}(-1)^{n} \frac{(a)_{n}}{(b)_{n}} \beta(\beta-1) \ldots(\beta-k+n+1) .
$$

The expression in (8) is asymptotic to

$$
Q y-\eta-1 x-k-\eta-1
$$

by using the asymptotic property of ${ }_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ function $[8, \mathrm{p} .60]$ so that this term $\longrightarrow 0$ as $x \longrightarrow \infty$ since $\operatorname{Re} \eta+k+1>0$ and $\operatorname{Re} \eta>0$. Hence

$$
|<f(y), k(x y)>| \leq \varepsilon
$$

which proves (7).

## 5. A classical final-value theorem for the ${ }_{1} F_{1}$-transform

Theorem 3.-If $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{y})$ be a measurable function on $0<\mathrm{y}<\infty$ and if there exist a complex number $\mu$ and a real number $\nu$ greater than: or equal to zero, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(y)}{y^{\gamma+\alpha-\beta}}=\mu \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, with the conditions

$$
\operatorname{Re}(\beta+\eta)>\gamma+\operatorname{Re} \alpha>-1
$$

and
(10)

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow 0+} C F(x) x^{\gamma+\alpha-\beta+1}=\mu
$$

where $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x})$ is given by (6) and $C$ as in Theorem 1.
Proof.-Let us put again $\nu+\alpha-\beta=\mathrm{E}$.
From (9) it is clear that $f(y)$ is a function of slow-growth.
Proceeding as in Theorem 1 we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|x^{\mathrm{B}+1} \mathrm{~F}(x)-\frac{\mu \Gamma(\gamma+\alpha+1) \Gamma(\beta+\eta-\gamma-\alpha)}{\Gamma(\beta+\eta-\gamma)}\right|= \\
=x^{\mathrm{E}+1}\left|\int_{0}^{\infty}\left[f(y)-\mu y^{\mathrm{E}}\right] \mathrm{K}(x y) d y\right| \leq \ldots
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ldots \quad \leq x^{\mathrm{E}+1} \int_{0}^{t}\left|f(y)-\mu y^{\mathrm{B}}\right| \mathrm{K}(x y) d y+ \\
& +x^{\mathrm{E}+1} \int_{t}^{\infty}\left|f(y)-\mu y^{\mathrm{B}}\right| \mathrm{K}(x y) d y=\mathrm{I}_{1}+\mathrm{I}_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (9) we can choose $t$ so large that, for any arbitrary positive $\epsilon$

$$
\left|\frac{f(y)}{y^{\mathrm{E}}}-\mu\right|<\varepsilon \quad \text { for all } y>t .
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\mathrm{I}_{2}\right| \leq \varepsilon\left|x^{\mathrm{E}+1} \int_{t}^{\infty} y^{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{~K}(x y) d y\right|= \\
=\varepsilon\left|\int_{t x}^{\infty} z^{\gamma+\alpha}{ }_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1}(a ; b ;-z) d z\right|(z=x y)< \\
<\varepsilon\left|\frac{\Gamma(\gamma+\alpha+1) \Gamma(\beta+\eta-\gamma-\alpha)}{\Gamma(\beta+\eta-\gamma)}\right| \text { by }[8, p, 48] \cdot=\frac{\varepsilon}{\mathrm{C}}
\end{gathered}
$$

provided that $\operatorname{Re}(\beta+\eta)>v^{i}+\operatorname{Re} \alpha>-1$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\beta+\eta)-v>0$. As for $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ in the range $0<y \leqslant t$, we have

$$
\left|\frac{f(y)}{y^{\mathrm{Z}}}-\mu\right| \leq M(a \text { constant }) .
$$

Further

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\mathrm{I}_{1}\right| \leq \mathrm{M}\left|\int_{0}^{t} x^{\mathrm{E}+1} y^{\mathrm{E} \mathrm{~K}(x y) d v}\right|= \\
=\mathrm{MP}\left|\int_{0}^{t} x^{\mathrm{B}+1} y^{\mathrm{E}}{ }_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1}(a ; b ;-x y)(x y)^{\beta} d y\right| .
\end{gathered}
$$

As $x \longrightarrow 0^{+}$, for any $y \leqslant t$, we have

$$
{ }_{1} \mathrm{P}_{1}(a ; b ;-t)=0(1)(t \rightarrow 0+)[8, p .60]
$$

Hence

$$
\left|\mathrm{I}_{1}\right| \leq \text { MP }\left|x^{\gamma+a+1} \int_{0}^{t} y^{\gamma+a} d y\right|=\text { MP }\left|\frac{x^{\gamma+a+1} t^{\gamma+a+1}}{\gamma+a+1}\right|
$$

since

$$
\gamma+1+\operatorname{Re} \alpha>0
$$

Consequently as

$$
x \rightarrow 0+, \mathrm{I}_{1} \rightarrow 0
$$

We have therefore

$$
\varlimsup_{x \rightarrow 0+}\left|I_{1}+I_{2}\right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{C}
$$

Therefore (10) is proved.
In the next section we shall extend this classical result to distributions by using again the boundedness property of distributions in $E^{\prime}(I)$.

## 6. Final-value theorem for the distributional ${ }_{1} F_{1}$-transform

Theorem 4.-Let

$$
\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{f}_{1}+\mathrm{f}_{2}
$$

where $\mathrm{f}_{1}$ is an ordinary function satisfying the hypothesis of Theorem 3 and $\mathrm{f}_{2}$ is a distribution in $E^{\prime}(I)$. Let $F(\mathrm{x})$ be the distributional ${ }_{1} F_{1}$-transform of f and $C$ be as given Theorem 1. Let $R e \beta>\mathrm{k}$ where k is a positive integer and

$$
\gamma+\operatorname{Re}(\alpha-\beta)+\mathrm{k}+1>0
$$

Then
(11) $\quad \lim _{x \rightarrow 0+} x^{\gamma+a-\beta+1} F(x)=\frac{1}{C} \lim _{y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(y)}{y^{\gamma+a-\beta}}$.

Proof.-In [6] it has been proved that

$$
\mathrm{F}_{2}(x)=\mathrm{L} f_{2}(y)=\left\langle f_{2}(y), \mathrm{K}(x y)\right\rangle
$$

is a smooth function on $0<x<\infty$. Let, as is (b) of section 2, $\lambda(t) \in \mathscr{D}(\mathrm{I})$ and be equal to 1 over J and zero outside a larger
interval where $J$ is any bounded open subset of I containing the support of $f$. By (3) of section 2,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\mathrm{F}_{2}(x)\right| \leq 1<f_{2}(y), \lambda(y) \mathrm{K}(x y)>\mid \leq \\
\leq \operatorname{Max}_{0 \leq k \leq r} \sup _{y \in \mathrm{I}}\left|\mathrm{D}_{y}^{k}[\lambda(y) \mathrm{K}(x y)]\right|= \\
=\operatorname{Max}_{0 \leq k \leq r} \sup _{y \in \mathrm{I}} \sum_{m=0}^{k}\binom{k}{m}\left|\mathrm{D}_{y}^{k-m} \lambda(y)\right| \times\left|x^{m} \mathrm{D}_{z}^{m}\left[z^{\beta}{ }_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1}(a ; b ;-z)\right]\right| \\
\text { where } z=x y .
\end{gathered}
$$

We have by the asymptoticity of ${ }_{1} F_{1}$ function

$$
\mathrm{D}_{z}^{m}\left[z^{\beta}{ }_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1}(a ; b,-z)\right]=0(1) \text { as } z \rightarrow 0+
$$

provided $\operatorname{Re} \beta>m$ for $m=0, \ldots, k$.
and

$$
\mathrm{D}_{z}^{m}\left[z^{\beta}{ }_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1}(a ; b ;-z)\right]=0(1) \quad \text { as } \quad z \rightarrow \infty
$$

because of the following.

$$
\mathrm{D}_{z}^{m}\left[z^{\beta}{ }_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1}(a ; b ;-z)\right]=\sum_{l=0}^{m} \mathrm{~L} z^{\beta-m+l}{ }_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1}(a+l ; b+l,-z)
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{L}=\binom{m}{l} \beta(\beta-1) \ldots(\beta-m+l+1)(-1)^{l} \frac{(a)_{l}}{(b)_{l}} .
$$

A typical term of this summation, by considering asymptotic order of ${ }_{1} F_{1}$ function, is

$$
\mathrm{L} z^{\beta-m+l} z^{-l-\beta-\eta-1}=\mathrm{L} z^{-\eta-1-m} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } z \rightarrow \infty \text { since } \operatorname{Re} \eta>0 \text {. }
$$

Also

$$
\mathrm{D}_{z}^{m}\left[z^{\beta}{ }_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1}(a ; b ;-z)\right]
$$

is continuous on $0<z<\infty$. It now follows that it is also bounded there whenever $m=0,1, \ldots, k$.

Hence

$$
\mathrm{F}_{2}(x)<\mathrm{K} x^{k}
$$

for some sufficiently large constant K so that

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow 0+} x^{\gamma+\alpha-\beta+1} \mathrm{~F}_{2}(x)<\lim _{x \rightarrow 0+} \mathrm{K} x^{\gamma+\alpha-\beta+1+k}=0
$$

since

$$
\gamma+k+1+\operatorname{Re}(\alpha-\beta)>0
$$

Also the support of $f_{2}(y) \in \mathrm{E}^{\prime}(\mathrm{I})$ is a compact subset of $0<y<\infty$. Hence by the convention stated in [11, p. 1255] we have

$$
\lim _{y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_{2}(y)}{y^{\gamma+\alpha-\beta}}=0 .
$$

But since

$$
\mathrm{F}(x)=\mathrm{F}_{1}(x)+\mathrm{F}_{2}(x)
$$

the result (11) follows from Theorem 3.
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