

REVISTA MATEMATICA de la  
Universidad Complutense de Madrid  
Volumen 8, número 1: 1995

# *The Initial Value Problem for the Equations of Magnetohydrodynamic Type in Non-Cylindrical Domains*

M.A. ROJAS-MEDAR and R. BELTRAN-BARRIOS

**ABSTRACT.** By using the spectral Galerkin method, we prove the existence of weak solutions for a system of equations of magnetohydrodynamic type in non-cylindrical domains.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In several situations the motion of incompressible electrical conducting fluids can be modelled by the so called equations of magnetohydrodynamics, which correspond to the Navier-Stokes' equations coupled with the Maxwell's equations. In the case where there is free motion of

---

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35Q10, 76D99, 35D05

Servicio publicaciones Univ. Complutense. Madrid, 1995.

heavy ions, not directly due to the electric field (see Schlüter [14] and Pikelner [12]), these equations can be reduced to the following form:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \frac{\eta}{\rho_m} \Delta u + u \cdot \nabla u - \frac{\mu}{\rho_m} h \cdot \nabla h = f - \frac{1}{\rho_m} \nabla(p^* + \frac{\mu}{2} h^2), \\ \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{\mu\sigma} \Delta h + u \cdot \nabla h - h \cdot \nabla u = -\text{grad } \omega, \\ \text{div } u = 0, \\ \text{div } h = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

together with suitable boundary and initial conditions.

Here,  $u$  and  $h$  are respectively the unknown velocity and magnetic fields;  $p^*$  is the unknown hydrostatic pressure;  $\omega$  is an unknown function related to the motion of heavy ions (in such way that the density of electric current,  $j_0$ , generated by this motion satisfies the relation  $\text{rot } j_0 = -\sigma \nabla \omega$ );  $\rho_m$  is the density of mass of the fluid (assumed to be a positive constant);  $\mu > 0$  is the constant magnetic permeability of the medium;  $\sigma > 0$  is the constant electric conductivity;  $\eta > 0$  is the constant viscosity of the fluid;  $f$  is an given external force field.

In this paper we will consider the problem of existence of weak solutions for the problem (1.1) in a time-dependent domain of  $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T)$ ,  $n \geq 2$ ,  $0 < T < +\infty$ .

To (1.1) we append the following initial and boundary conditions

$$u|_{\partial Q} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad h|_{\partial Q} = 0 \quad \text{for all } t, \quad (1.1)$$

$$u(0) = u_0 \quad \text{and} \quad h(0) = h_0 \quad \text{in } Q(0), \quad (1.2)$$

where  $u_0$  and  $h_0$  are given functions. In (1.1), the differential operator  $\nabla$  and  $\Delta$  are the usual gradient and Laplace operator, respectively.

The main goal in this paper is to show existence of weak solutions for the initial value problem (1.1)-(1.3). Our strategy for setting this question consists of transforming problem (1.1)-(1.3) into another initial boundary-value problem in a cylindrical domain whose sections are not time-dependent. This is done by means of a suitable change of variable.

Next, this new initial value problem is treated using Galerkin approximation. We conclude returning to  $Q$  using the inverse of the above change of variable. This technicality was introduced by Dal Passo and Ughi [4] to study a certain class of parabolic equations in non-cylindrical domains.

We feel that it is appropriate to cite some earlier works on the initial value problem (1.1)-(1.3) and to locate our contribution therein. The cylindrical case of (1.1)-(1.3) has been studied by some authors. Among them, let us mention the paper of Lassner [7], Boldrini and Rojas-Medar [2], Rojas-Medar and Boldrini [13].

Lassner [7] by making the use of semigroup techniques as the ones in Fujita and Kato [5] to show the local existence and uniqueness of strong solution. The more constructive spectral Galerkin method was used by Boldrini and Rojas-Medar [2], [13] to obtain local, global existence and uniqueness of strong solutions. The above authors working in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $n = 2$  or  $3$ .

A mathematical study of the problem (1.1)-(1.3) in a non-cylindrical domain was not done, however, it has to be pointed out that similar time-dependent problem but for the Navier-Stokes and Boussinesq problems have been studied by many different authors. This is the case, for instance of the works Lions [9], [8], Fujita and Sauer [6], Ōeda [10], Ôtani and Yamada [11], Conca and Rojas-Medar [3]. In particular, we would like to emphasize that the arguments of the mentioned authors demand that  $Q(t)$  be nondecreasing with respect to  $t$  (see Lions [9], problem 11.9, p. 426); except the work of Conca and Rojas-Medar [3].

In this work, we will adapt the technique by [3] to the system (1.1)-(1.3).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce various functional spaces and state the theorems. Section 3 and 4 deal with their proofs.

## 2. FUNCTION SPACES AND PRELIMINAIRES

The functions in the paper are either  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -valued and we will not distinguish these two situations in our notations. To which case we refer will be clear from the context.

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) with smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . We write simply  $\|u\|$  for  $L^2(\Omega)$ -norm. The inner product in  $L^2(\Omega)$  is denoted by  $(u, v)$ . The solenoidal function space is defined as usual

$$C_{0,\sigma}^\infty(\Omega) = \{\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega) \mid \operatorname{div} \varphi = 0\},$$

$H(\Omega)$  = the completion of  $C_{0,\sigma}^\infty(\Omega)$  under the  $L^2(\Omega)$  - norm,

$V_s(\Omega)$  = the completion of  $C_{0,\sigma}^\infty(\Omega)$  under the  $H^s(\Omega)$  - norm

where  $H^s(\Omega)$  denote the usual Sobolev's space with  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ .

The norm and inner product in  $H(\Omega)$  and  $V_s(\Omega)$  are:

$$(f, g) = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} f_i g_i dx, \quad \|f\| = (f, f)^{1/2}$$

and

$$(u, v)_s = \sum_{i=1}^n (u_i, v_i)_{H^s}, \quad \|u\|_s = (u, u)_s^{1/2}$$

where  $(u_i, v_i)_{H^s}$  is the standard inner product of  $H^s(\Omega)$ ;  $(V_s(\Omega))'$  denotes the topological dual of  $V_s(\Omega)$ .

In particular, we denote

$$V_1(\Omega) = V(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\|_1 = \|\nabla u\|.$$

We will use other standard notations and terminology; for them, we refer to Adams[1] and Temam [15].

Let  $r$  be a real-valued function which is of  $C^1$ -class on the interval  $[0, T]$  such that,

$$r(t_0) = \min\{r(t) \mid 0 \leq t \leq T\} > 0 \quad (2.1)$$

(this condition is essential).

The time-dependent space domain  $Q(t)$  is a bounded set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  defined by

$$Q(t) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |x| < r(t), 0 \leq t \leq T\}$$

where  $|\cdot|$  denotes the usual norm in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Its boundary is

$$\partial Q(t) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |x| = r(t), 0 \leq t \leq T\}.$$

It is worth noting that such domains  $Q(t)$   $0 \leq t \leq T$ , generate a non-cylindrical time-dependent domain of  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ :

$$Q = \bigcup_{0 < t < T} Q(t) \times \{t\}.$$

In such conditions, we can now define a notion of weak solution for (11)-(13):

**Definition 2.1.** *We shall say that a couple of functions  $(u, h)$  defined in  $Q$  is a weak solution of (1.1)-(1.3) iff*

(i)  $u, h \in L^2(0, T; V(Q(t))) \cap L^\infty(0, T; H(Q(t)))$

(ii)  $-\int_Q [\alpha u_t \varphi_t - \nu \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla u_i \nabla \varphi_i + \alpha \sum_{i,j=1}^n u_j \frac{\partial \varphi_i}{\partial x_j} u_i - \sum_{i,j=1}^n h_j \frac{\partial \varphi_i}{\partial x_j} h_i] dx dt = \alpha \int_Q f \varphi dx dt,$

(iii)  $-\int_Q [h_t \bar{\varphi}_t - \gamma \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla h_i \nabla \bar{\varphi}_i + \sum_{i,j=1}^n u_j \frac{\partial \bar{\varphi}_i}{\partial x_j} h_i - \sum_{i,j=1}^n h_j \frac{\partial \bar{\varphi}_i}{\partial x_j} u_i] dx dt = 0$

for all  $\varphi, \bar{\varphi} \in C_0^1(Q)$  with  $\text{div } \varphi = \text{div } \bar{\varphi} = 0$ , the suffix  $t$  denotes the operator  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$  (derivatives with respect to  $t$  will sometimes also be denoted by  $a'$  or simply by  $d/dt$ ).

(iv)  $u(0) = u_0, \quad h(0) = h_0.$   
 where put  $\alpha = \frac{\rho m}{\mu}, \nu = \frac{\eta}{\mu}$  and  $\gamma = \frac{1}{\mu \sigma}.$

**Remark 2.2.** In this definition the initial conditions (iv) have the usual meaning; see for example, Lions [8].

The main result of our article is

**Theorem 2.3.** *If  $f \in L^2(Q)$ ,  $u_0, h_0 \in H(Q(0))$ , then there exists a weak solution of problem (1.1)-(1.3) for any time interval  $[0, T]$ .*

**Theorem 2.4.** *If  $n = 2$ , the solution  $(u, h)$  obtained in the Theorem 2.3 is unique. Moreover  $u$  and  $h$  are almost everywhere equal to functions continuous from  $[0, T]$  into  $H$  and*

$$u(t) \rightarrow u_0 \quad \text{in } H, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.2)$$

$$h(t) \rightarrow h_0 \quad \text{in } H, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.3)$$

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.3.

Let us introduce the transformation  $\tau : Q \rightarrow U$ , given by

$$\tau(x, t) = \left( \frac{x}{r(t)}, t \right)$$

where  $U \equiv D \times (0, T)$  and  $D = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |x| \leq 1\}$ . Since  $r(t)$  is a  $C^1$ -function which verifies (2.1), we see easily that  $\tau$  is a diffeomorphism and that its inverse  $\tau^{-1} : U \rightarrow Q$  satisfies

$$\tau^{-1}(y, t) = (r(t)y, t). \quad (3.1)$$

We also define

$$\begin{aligned} v(y, t) &= u(yr(t), t), \\ b(y, t) &= h(yr(t), t), \\ q(y, t) &= p(yr(t), t), \\ \xi(y, t) &= \omega(yr(t), t), \\ J(y, t) &= f(yr(t), t), \\ v_0(y) &= u_0(yr(0)), \\ b_0(y) &= h_0(yr(0)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

By using (3.2), the system on  $Q$  (1.1)-(1.3) is transformed into the system:

$$\alpha v' - \frac{\nu}{r(t)} \Delta v + \frac{\alpha}{r(t)} \sum_{i=1}^h v_i \frac{\partial v}{\partial y_i} - \frac{1}{r(t)} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \frac{\partial b}{\partial y_i} =$$

(3.3)

$$\alpha J + \frac{\mu}{r(t)} \nabla q + \alpha \frac{r'(t)}{r(t)} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial v}{\partial y_i} y_i,$$

$$b' - \frac{\gamma}{r(t)} \Delta b + \frac{1}{r(t)} \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \frac{\partial b}{\partial y_i} - \frac{1}{r(t)} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \frac{\partial v}{\partial y_i} =$$

(3.4)

$$\frac{r'(t)}{r(t)} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial b}{\partial y_i} y_i + \frac{1}{r(t)} \nabla \xi$$

$$v(0, y) = v_0(y) \tag{3.5}$$

$$b(0, y) = b_0(y) \tag{3.6}$$

on the cylindrical domain  $U = D \times (0, T)$ .

On the other hand, let us set

$$c(v, w) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_D \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial y_j} y_j w_i dy$$

$$a(v, w) = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_D \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial w_i}{\partial y_i}$$

$$B(u, v, w) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_D u_j \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial y_j} w_i dy$$

for vector-valued functions  $u, v$  and  $w$  for which the integrals are well-defined.

The notation of weak solution for (3.3)-(3.6) is completely similar to the ones for (1.1)-(1.3).

To prove the existence of solutions of the transformed system (3.3)-(3.6) we will use the spectral Galerkin method. That is, we fix  $s = \frac{n}{2}$  and we consider the Hilbert special basis  $\{w^i(x)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  of  $V_s(D)$ , whose elements we will choose as the solution of the following spectral problem

$$(w^i, v)_s = \lambda_i(w^i, v) \quad \forall v \in V_s(D).$$

Let  $V^k$  be the subspace of  $V_s(D)$  spanned by  $\{w^1, \dots, w^k\}$ . For every  $k \geq 1$ , we define approximations  $v^k, b^k$  of  $v$  and  $b$ , respectively, by means of the following finite expansions:

$$v^k = \sum_{i=1}^k c_{ik}(t) w^i(x) \in V^k \quad t \in (0, T)$$

and

$$b^k = \sum_{i=1}^k d_{ik}(t) w^i(x) \in V^k \quad t \in (0, T)$$

where the coefficients  $(c_{ik})$  and  $(d_{ik})$  will be calculated in such a way that  $v^k$  and  $b^k$  solve the following approximations of system (3.3)-(3.6):

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(v_t^k, \phi) + \frac{\nu}{[r(t)]^2} a(v^k, \phi) + \frac{\alpha}{r(t)} B(v^k, v^k, \phi) - \frac{1}{r(t)} B(b^k, b^k, \phi) \\ = \alpha(J, \phi) + \alpha \frac{r'(t)}{r(t)} c(v^k, \phi) \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (b_t^k, \psi) + \frac{\gamma}{[r(t)]^2} a(b^k, \psi) + \frac{1}{r(t)} B(v^k, b^k, \psi) - \frac{1}{r(t)} B(b^k, v^k, \psi) \\ = \frac{r'(t)}{r(t)} c(b^k, \psi) \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$



for all  $\phi, \psi \in V^k$ ,

$$v^k(0) = v_0^k; \quad b^k(0) = b_0^k \tag{3.9}$$

where  $v_0^k \rightarrow v_0$  and  $b_0^k \rightarrow b_0$  in  $H(D)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

Equations (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9) is a system of ordinary differential equations for the coefficient functions  $c_{ik}(t)$  and  $d_{ik}(t)$ , which defines  $v^k$  and  $b^k$  in a interval  $[0, t_k[$ . We will show some a priori estimates independent of  $k$  and  $t$ , in order to take  $t_k = T$ . Also, we will prove that  $(v^k, b^k)$  converges in appropriate sense to a solution  $(v, b)$  of (3.3)-(3.6). We prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** *The transformed system (3.3)-(3.6) admits at least one weak solution  $(v, b)$  in  $L^2(0, T; V(D)) \cap L^\infty(0, T; H(D))$ .*

**Proof.** Setting  $\phi = v^k$  and  $\psi = b^k$  in (3.7) and (3.8), respectively, we have

$$\frac{\alpha}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|v^k\|^2 + \frac{\nu}{[r(t)]^2} \|\nabla v^k\|^2 =$$

$$\alpha(J, v_k) + \frac{1}{r(t)} B(b^k, b^k, v^k) + \frac{\alpha r'(t)}{r(t)} c(v^k, v^k)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|b^k\|^2 + \frac{\gamma}{[r(t)]^2} \|\nabla b^k\|^2 = \frac{1}{r(t)} B(b^k, v^k, b^k) + \frac{r'(t)}{r(t)} c(b^k, b^k)$$

since  $B(v, w, w) = 0$  for  $w \in V^k$ .

Adding the above inequalities and observing that  $\frac{1}{r(t)} [B(b^k, b^k, v^k) + B(b^k, v^k, b^k)] = 0$ , we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\alpha \|v^k\|^2 + \|b^k\|^2) + \frac{1}{[r(t)]^2} (\nu \|\nabla v^k\|^2 + \gamma \|\nabla b^k\|^2)$$

$$= \alpha(J, v^k) + \frac{r'(t)}{r(t)} [\alpha c(v^k, v^k) + c(b^k, b^k)]$$

$$\equiv F^k.$$

Now, we use Hölder and Young inequalities to estimate the right-hand side of the above inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |F^k| &\leq \frac{1}{2\alpha} \|J\|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|v^k\|^2 + C_\varepsilon |r'(t)|^2 \alpha^2 \|y\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|v^k\|^2 \\ &\quad + C_\delta |r'(t)|^2 \|y\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|b^k\|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{[r(t)]^2} \|\nabla v^k\|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{\delta}{[r(t)]^2} \|\nabla b^k\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

whence, taking  $\varepsilon = \nu/2$  and  $\delta = \gamma/2$ , we arrive at the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} (\alpha \|v^k\|^2 + \|b^k\|^2) + \frac{1}{[r(t)]^2} (\nu \|\nabla v^k\|^2 + \gamma \|\nabla b^k\|^2) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\alpha} \|J\|^2 + \left( C |r'(t)|^2 \alpha^2 \|y\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) \|v^k\|^2 + C |r'(t)|^2 \|y\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|b^k\|^2 \\ &\leq C \|J\|^2 + C (\alpha \|v^k\|^2 + \|b^k\|^2), \end{aligned}$$

where  $C$  is a positive constant that depends only  $\max \{|\gamma'(t)| \mid 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\|y\|_{L^\infty}^2$ .

By integrating the above inequality between 0 and  $t$  with  $0 \leq t \leq T$ , we conclude:

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha \|v^k(t)\|^2 + \|b^k(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t \frac{1}{[r(s)]^2} (\nu \|\nabla v^k(s)\|^2 + \gamma \|\nabla b^k(s)\|^2) ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^t \|J(s)\|^2 ds + C \int_0^t (\alpha \|v^k(s)\|^2 + \|b^k(s)\|^2) ds + \\ &\quad \alpha \|v^k(0)\|^2 + \|b^k(0)\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Due to the choice of  $v_0^k$  and  $b_0^k$ , there exists  $C$  independent of  $k$  such that  $\|v_0^k\| \leq C\|v_0\|$ ,  $\|b_0^k\| \leq C\|b_0\|$ , moreover  $\int_0^t \|J(s)\|^2 ds$  is finite for  $0 \leq t \leq T$ , we conclude, by using Gronwall's inequality, that

$$\alpha \|v^k(t)\|^2 + \|b^k(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t \frac{1}{[r(s)]^2} (\nu \|\nabla v^k(s)\|^2 + \gamma \|\nabla b^k(s)\|^2) ds \leq C_1.$$

Thus, for all  $k$  we have that  $v^k$  and  $b^k$  exists globally in  $t$  and  $(v^k)$  and  $(b^k)$  remains bounded in  $L^\infty(0, T; H(D)) \cap L^2(0, T; V(D))$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . The next step of the proof consists of proving that  $(v_t^k)$  and  $(b_t^k)$  are bounded in  $L^2(0, T; (V_s(D))')$ . To this end, let us fix some notations

$$\langle A(t)v, u \rangle = \frac{1}{[r(t)]^2} a(v, u)$$

$$\langle C(t)v, u \rangle = \frac{r'(t)}{r(t)} c(v, u)$$

$$\langle E(t)v, u \rangle = \frac{1}{r(t)} B(v, v, u)$$

We will prove that  $(A(t)v^k)$ ,  $(C(t)v^k)$ ,  $(E(t)v^k)$  and  $(E(t)b^k)$  are bounded in  $L^2(0, T; (V_s(D))')$ .

Indeed, for all  $u \in V_s$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |A(A(t)v^k, u)| &= \frac{1}{[r(t)]^2} |a(v^k, u)| \\ &\leq \frac{C}{[r(t)]^2} \|\nabla v^k\| \|u\|_s, \end{aligned}$$

whence, we have

$$\|A(t)v^k\|_{(V_s(D))'} = \sup_{\|u\|_s \neq 0} \frac{|\langle A(t)v^k, u \rangle|}{\|u\|_s} \leq \frac{C}{[r(t)]^2} \|\nabla v^k\|,$$

consequently

$$\int_0^T \|A(t)v^k\|_{(V_s(D))'}^2 dt \leq \int_0^T \frac{C}{[r(t)]^2} \|\nabla v^k(t)\|^2 dt.$$

Since  $\frac{1}{[r(t)]^2} \in C([0, T])$  and  $(v^k)$  is bounded in  $L^2(0, T; V(D))$ , we deduce that

$$\int_0^T \frac{C}{[r(t)]^2} \|\nabla v^k(t)\|^2 dt \leq L$$

and  $(A(t)v^k)$  is therefore bounded in  $L^2(0, T; (V_s(D))')$ . Analogously, we show that  $(C(t)v^k)$  is bounded in  $L^2(0, T; V_s(D))'$ . To prove the boundedness of  $(E(t)v^k)$  in the space  $L^2(0, T; (V_s(D))')$  we will use the following interpolation result whose proof can be found in Lions [8]:

**Lemma 3.2.** *If  $(v^k)$  is bounded in*

$$L^2(0, T; V(D)) \cap L^\infty(0, T; H(D)),$$

*then  $(v^k)$  is also bounded in  $L^4(0, T; L^p(D))$ , where  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2n}$ .*

Using this Lemma, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle E(t)v^k, u \rangle| &\leq \frac{1}{r(t)} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \|v_i^k\|_{L^p} \|v_j^k\|_{L^p} \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial y_i} \right\|_{L^n} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{r(t)} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \|v_i^k\|_{L^p} \|v_j\|_{L^p} \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial y_i} \right\|_{H^{s-1}} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{r(t)} \|v^k\|_{L^p}^2 \|u\|_s \end{aligned}$$

since  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{n} = 1$  and the Sobolev embedding  $H^{s-1}(D) \subseteq L^n(D)$ . This imply

$$\int_0^T \|E(t)v^k\|_{(V_s(D))'}^2 dt \leq \int_0^T \frac{C}{[r(t)]^2} \|v^k(t)\|_{L^p}^4 dt.$$

Since  $\frac{1}{[\tau(t)]^2} \in C([0, T])$ , we can conclude that  $(E(t)v^k)$  is bounded in  $L^2(0, T; (V_s(D))')$ . Similary, we prove that  $(E(t)b^k)$  is bounded in  $L^2(0, T; (V_s(D))')$ .

Now, we consider  $P_k : H \rightarrow V^k$  defined by

$$P_k u = \sum_{i=1}^k (u, \omega^i) \omega^i$$

since  $V_s(D) \subset H$  and  $V^k \subset V_s(D)$ , we can consider  $P_k : V_s(D) \rightarrow V_s(D)$ . It is easy to see that  $P_k \in L(V_s(D), V_s(D))$ ,  $(L(X, Y))$  denote the space of the bounded operator of  $X$  into  $Y$  hence

$$P_k^* : (V_s(D))' \rightarrow (V_s(D))'$$

defined by  $\langle P_k^*(v), \omega \rangle = \langle v, P_k(\omega) \rangle$  lies in  $L((V_s(D))', (V_s(D))')$  and  $\|P_k^*\| \leq \|P_k\| \leq 1$ .

We also observe that the autofunctions  $\omega^i$  are invariants by  $P_k$ , i.e.,

$$P_k(\omega^i) = \omega^i.$$

From it and (3.7) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(v_t^k, \omega^i) &= \langle (-\nu A(t) - \alpha E(t) - \alpha C(t))v^k + \alpha J + E(t)b^k, \omega^i \rangle \\ &= \langle P_k^*((-\nu A(t) - \alpha E(t) - \alpha C(t))v^k + \alpha J + E(t)b^k), \omega^i \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

whence, for all  $\omega \in V_k$ , we have

$$\alpha(v_t^k, \omega) = \langle P_k^*((-\nu A(t) - \alpha E(t) - \alpha C(t))v^k + \alpha J + E(t)b^k), \omega \rangle.$$

Hence, by taking  $\omega = P_k u$ , for  $u \in V_s(D)$ , we obtain

$$\alpha(v_t^k, u) = \langle P_k^*((-\nu A(t) - \alpha E(t) - \alpha C(t))v^k + \alpha J + E(t)b^k), u \rangle,$$

and, consequently

$$\alpha v_i^k = P_k^*((-\nu A(t) - \alpha E(t) - \alpha C(t))v^k + \alpha J + E(t)b^k)$$

belong to  $L^2(0, T; (V_s(D))')$  thanks to the previous estimates and  $\|P_k^*\| \leq 1$ .

Working as before, we have

$$b_i^k = P_k^*((-\gamma A(t) - C(t))b^k + H(v^k, b^k) - H(b^k, v^k)),$$

where  $H(u, \omega) = -\frac{1}{r(t)} \tilde{E}(u, \omega)$  and  $\langle \tilde{E}(u, \omega), h \rangle = B(u, \omega, h)$ . Consequently, it is sufficient to show that  $H(v^k, b^k)$  and  $H(b^k, v^k)$  belong to  $L^2(0, T; (V_s(D))')$  to conclude that  $b_i^k$  is bounded in  $L^2(0, T; (V_s(D))')$ . We observe that

$$\int_0^T \|H(v^k, b^k)\|_{(V_s(D))'}^2 dt = \int_0^T \frac{1}{r(t)} \|\tilde{E}(v^k, b^k)\|_{(V_s(D))'}^2 dt.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \tilde{E}(v^k, b^k), h \rangle| &\leq \sum_{i,j} \|v_j^k\|_{L^p} \|b_i^k\|_{L^p} \left\| \frac{\partial h}{\partial y_i} \right\|_{L^n} \\ &\leq C \|v^k\|_{L^p} \|b^k\|_{L^p} \|h\|_s, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\|\tilde{E}(v^k, b^k)\|_{(V_s(D))'}^2 \leq C \|v^k\|_{L^p}^2 \|b^k\|_{L^p}^2$$

this imply

$$\int_0^T \frac{1}{r(t)} \|\tilde{E}(v^k, b^k)\|_{(V_s(D))'}^2 \leq C \left( \int_0^T \frac{1}{[r(t)]^2} \|v^k\|_{L^p}^4 \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_0^T \frac{1}{[r(t)]^2} \|b^k\|_{L^p}^4 \right)^{1/2} \leq C$$

Similarly, we prove that  $H(b^k, v^k)$  is bounded in  $L^2(0, T; (V_s(D))')$ .

Therefore, arguing as in the book of Lions [8, p. 76] and making use of the Aubin-Lions Lemma with  $B_0 = V(D)$ ,  $p_0 = 2$ ,  $B_1 = (V_s(D))'$ ,  $p_1 = 2$  and  $B = H(D)$  (see Theorem 1.5.1 and Lemma 1.5.2 of the above book, p. 58), we can conclude that there exist  $v, b \in L^2(0, T; V(D))$  such that, up to a subsequence which we shall denote again by the suffix  $k$ , there hold

$$\left. \begin{matrix} v^k \rightarrow v \\ b^k \rightarrow b \end{matrix} \right\} \text{ in } L^2(0, T; V(D)) \text{ and } L^\infty(0, T; H(D)) \text{ weakly and}$$

$$\left. \begin{matrix} v^k \rightarrow v \\ b^k \rightarrow b \end{matrix} \right\} \text{ in } L^2(0, T; H(D)) \text{ strongly, as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\left. \begin{matrix} v_t^k \rightarrow v_t \\ b_t^k \rightarrow b_t \end{matrix} \right\} \text{ in } L^2(0, T; (V_s(D))') \text{ weakly, as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Now, the next step is to take the limit. But, once the above convergence results have been established, this is standard procedure and it follows the same patter as in Lions [8, p. 76-77]. Consequently, we

shall omit it and we will directly deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \int_0^T \alpha(v, \phi') + \int_0^T \frac{\nu}{[r(t)]^2} a(v, \phi) + \int_0^T \frac{\alpha}{r(t)} B(v, v, \phi) - \\
 & \int_0^T \frac{1}{r(t)} B(b, b, \phi) = \int_0^T \alpha(J, \phi) + \int_0^T \alpha \frac{r'(t)}{r(t)} c(v, \phi)
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \int_0^T \alpha(v, \psi') + \int_0^T \frac{\gamma}{[r(t)]^2} a(b, \psi) + \int_0^T \frac{1}{r(t)} B(v, b, \psi) - \\
 & \int_0^T \frac{1}{r(t)} B(b, v, \psi) = \int_0^T \frac{r'(t)}{r(t)} c(b, \phi)
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

for all  $\phi, \psi \in C^1(U)$  such that  $\operatorname{div} \phi = \operatorname{div} \psi = 0$ . So, the Lemma is proved.

To conclude the proof of Theorem, let us consider a test function  $\varphi \in C_0^1(U)$  such that  $\operatorname{div} \varphi = 0$ , and define

$$\phi(y, t) = [r(t)]^n \varphi(yr(t), t).$$

Integrating by parts,

$$- \int_0^T \alpha(v, \phi') - \int_0^T \alpha \frac{r'(t)}{r(t)} c(v, \phi) = - \int_0^T \alpha [r(t)]^n (v, \varphi')$$



and also

$$\int_0^T \frac{\nu}{[r(t)]^2} \alpha(v, \phi) = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^T \int_D \nu \frac{[r(t)]^n}{r(t)} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y_i};$$

$$\int_0^T \frac{\alpha}{r(t)} B(v, v, \phi) = - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_0^T \int_D \alpha [r(t)]^n v_i \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial y_i} v_j$$

$$\int_0^T \frac{1}{r(t)} B(b, b, \phi) = - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_0^T \int_D [r(t)]^n b_i \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial y_i} b_j.$$

By using the above identities in (3.11), we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_0^T \int_D \alpha [r(t)]^2 v(y, t) \varphi'(yr(t), t) + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^T \int_D \nu \frac{[r(t)]^n}{r(t)} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y_i} \\ & - \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^T \int_D \alpha [r(t)]^n v_i v_j \frac{\partial \varphi_j}{\partial y_i} + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^T \int_D [r(t)]^n b_i b_j \frac{\partial \varphi_i}{\partial y_i} \\ & = \int_0^T \int_D \alpha [r(t)]^n J \varphi. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Analogously, we obtain for  $b$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_0^T \int_D [r(t)]^n b(y, t) \tilde{\varphi}'(yr(t), t) + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^T \int_D \gamma \frac{[r(t)]^n}{r(t)} \frac{\partial b}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial \tilde{\varphi}}{\partial y_i} \\ & - \int_0^T \int_D [r(t)]^n v_i b_j \frac{\partial \tilde{\varphi}_j}{\partial y_i} + \int_0^T \int_D [r(t)]^n b_i v_j \frac{\partial \tilde{\varphi}_i}{\partial y_i} = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

where  $\tilde{\varphi} \in C_0^1(U)$  with  $\text{div } \tilde{\varphi} = 0$ .

Let us now consider the transformation  $\tau^{-1} : U \rightarrow Q$  which is defined by (3.1). We observe that its Jacobian is  $[r(t)]^n$ . Consequently, by change of variables in the integrals, (3.13) and (3.14) become

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_Q \alpha u \varphi' + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_Q \nu \nabla u_i \nabla \varphi_i - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_Q u_j \frac{\partial \varphi_i}{\partial x_j} u_i \\ + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_Q h_j \frac{\partial \varphi_i}{\partial x_j} h_i = \int_Q \alpha f \cdot \varphi \end{aligned}$$

and

$$- \int_a \tilde{h} \cdot \tilde{\varphi}' + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_Q \gamma \nabla h_i \nabla \tilde{\varphi}_i - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_Q u_j \frac{\partial \tilde{\varphi}_i}{\partial x_j} h_i + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_Q h_j \frac{\partial \tilde{\varphi}_i}{\partial x_j} u_i = 0$$

which proves that  $(u, h)$  is a weak solution of the problem; since the mappings

$$L^2(0, T; V(D)) \rightarrow L^2(0, T; V(Q(t)))$$

$$v(y, t) \rightarrow u(x, t) = v\left(\frac{x}{r(t)}, t\right),$$

$$b(y, t) \rightarrow h(x, t) = h\left(\frac{x}{r(t)}, t\right),$$

and

$$L^2(0, T; H(D)) \rightarrow L^2(0, T; H(Q(t)))$$

$$v(y, t) \rightarrow u(x, t) = v\left(\frac{x}{r(t)}, t\right),$$

$$b(y, t) \rightarrow h(x, t) = b\left(\frac{x}{r(t)}, t\right)$$

are smooth bijections of class  $C^1$ , it follows that

$$u, h \in L^2(0, T; V(Q(t))) \cap L^\infty(0, T; H(Q(t))).$$

Finally, a standard arguments shows that  $u(0) = u_0$  and  $h(0) = h_0$  (see remark 2.2). This finished the proof of the Theorem.

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.4.

We first prove the regularity result. We observe that the proof of the above theorem shown that  $u' \in L^2(0, T; V')$ ; consequently, applying Lemma 1.2 in Temam [15], p. 260, we obtain that  $u$  is almost everywhere equal to a function continuous from  $[0, T]$  into  $H$ .

Thus,

$$u \in C([0, T]; H)$$

and (2.2) follows easily. Analogously it is proved the continuity of  $h$  and (2.3).

We also recall that Lemma 1.2 in Temam [15], p. 260-261, asserts that the equations below holds:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u(t)\|^2 = 2\langle u'(t), u(t) \rangle,$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|h(t)\|^2 = 2\langle h'(t), h(t) \rangle.$$

These results will be used in the following proof of uniqueness which we will start now.

Consider that  $(u_1, h_1)$  and  $(u_2, h_2)$  are two solutions of the problem (1.1)-(1.3) with the same  $f$  and  $u_0, h_0$  and define the differences  $\omega =$

$u_1 - u_2$  and  $v = h_1 - h_2$ . They satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\omega_t, \phi) + \nu a(\omega, \phi) &= -\alpha B(\omega, u_1, \phi) - \alpha B(u_2, \omega, \phi) \\ &\quad + B(v, h_1, \phi) + B(h_2, v, \phi) \\ (v_t, \psi) + \gamma a(v, \psi) &= -B(u_1, v, \psi) - B(\omega, h_2, \psi) \\ &\quad + B(v, u_1, \psi) + B(h_2, \omega, \psi) \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\phi, \psi \in V$ ; also  $\omega(0) = v(0) = 0$ .

By the proof of Theorem 2.3,  $w_t$  and  $v_t$  belong to  $L^2(0, T; V')$ ; consequently by setting  $\phi = w$  and  $\psi = v$  in the above inequalities, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\alpha}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\omega\|^2 + \nu a(\omega, \omega) &= -\alpha B(\omega, u_1, \omega) + B(v, h_1, \omega) + B(h_2, v, \omega) \\ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|v\|^2 + \gamma a(v, v) &= -B(\omega, h_2, v) + B(v, u_1, v) + B(h_2, \omega, v) \end{aligned}$$

thanks to the above remark.

Adding the above identities, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\alpha \|\omega\|^2 + \|v\|^2) + \nu \|\omega\|_1 + \gamma \|v\|_1 \\ = -\alpha B(\omega, u_1, \omega) + B(v, h_1, \omega) - B(\omega, h_2, v) + B(v, u_1, v) \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

since  $B(h_2, v, \omega) + B(h_2, \omega, v) = 0$ .

Now, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha B(\omega, u_1, \omega) &\leq \alpha C \|\omega\|_{\mathcal{L}^4}^2 \|u_1\|_1 \\ &\leq \alpha^2 C \|\omega\| \|\omega\|_1 \|u_1\|_1 \\ &\leq \frac{\nu}{6} \|\omega\|_1^2 + C_\nu(\alpha) \alpha \|\omega\|^2 \|u_1\|_1^2\end{aligned}$$

where we used the Lemma 3.3 in Temam [15], p. 291, together with Hölder and Young inequalities.

Analogously, we can prove

$$\begin{aligned}B(v, u_1, v) &\leq \frac{\gamma}{6} \|v\|_1^2 + C_\gamma \|v\|^2 \|u_1\|_1^2, \\ B(v, h_1, \omega) &\leq \frac{\nu}{6} \|\omega\|_1^2 + \frac{\gamma}{6} \|v\|_1^2 + C_{\nu, \gamma}(\alpha) (\|v\|^2 + \alpha \|\omega\|^2) \|h_1\|_1^2 \\ B(\omega, h_2, v) &\leq \frac{\nu}{6} \|\omega\|_1^2 + \frac{\gamma}{6} \|v\|_1^2 + C_{\nu, \gamma}(\alpha) (\|v\|^2 + \alpha \|\omega\|^2) \|h_2\|_1^2.\end{aligned}$$

By using the above inequalities in (4.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt} (\alpha \|\omega\|^2 + \|v\|^2) + \nu \|\omega\|_1^2 + \gamma \|v\|_1^2 \\ \leq C(\alpha \|\omega\|^2 + \|v\|^2) (\|u_1\|_1^2 + \|h_1\|_1^2 + \|h_2\|_1^2),\end{aligned}$$

where  $C$  is a positive constant that only depend on  $\nu, \gamma, \alpha$ .

By integrating in time, the use of Gronwall's inequality, we obtain

$$\alpha \|\omega(t)\|^2 + \|v(t)\|^2 \leq (\alpha \|\omega(0)\|^2 + \|v(0)\|^2) e^{\varphi(t)}$$

where  $\varphi(t) = C \int_0^t (\|u_1\|_1^2 + \|h_1\|_1^2 + \|h_2\|_1^2) ds < +\infty$ , for every  $t \in [0, T]$ . This last inequality, implies  $\omega(t) = v(t) = 0$ . Hence  $u_1 = u_2$  and  $h_1 = h_2$  and the uniqueness is proved. This completes the proof of the Theorem.

## References

- [1] Adams, R.A.: *Sobolev Spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [2] Boldrini, J.L. and Rojas-Medar, M.A.: *On a system of evolution equations of magnetohydrodynamic type*. To appear in *Matemática Contemporánea*.
- [3] Conca, C. and Rojas-Medar, M.A.: *The initial value problem for the Boussinesq equations in a time-dependent domain*, Informe Interno, M.A.-993-B-402, Universidad de Chile, Chile, 1993, submitted.
- [4] Dal Passo, R. et Ughi, M.: *Problème de Dirichlet pour une classe d'équations paraboliques non linéaires dans des ouverts non cylindriques*, C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris Série I, 308 (1989), 555-558.
- [5] Fujita, H. and Kato, T.: *On the Navier-Stokes initial value problem*, I, Arch Rational Mech. Anal., 16 (1964), 269-315.
- [6] Fujita, H. and Sauer, N.: *Construction of weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in a non-cylindrical domain*, bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 75 (1969), 465-468.
- [7] Lassner, G.: *Über ein rand-anfangswert-problem der magnetohydrodynamik*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 25 (1967), 388-405.
- [8] Lions, J.L.: *Une remarque sur les problèmes d'évolution non linéaires dans des domaines non cylindriques*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl., 9 (1964), 11-18.
- [9] Lions, J.L.: *Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non-linéaires*, Dunod Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
- [10] Ōeda, K.: *On the initial problem for the heat convection equation of Boussinesq approximation in a time-dependent domain*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A. Math Sci., 25 (1988), 143-146.
- [11] Ôtani, M. and Yamada, Y.: *On the Navier-Stokes equations in non-cylindrical domains: An approach by the subdifferential operator theory*, Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect IA, 25 (1978), 185-204.

- [12] Pikelner, S.B.: *Grundlander der Kosmischen elektrodynamic*, Moscou, 1966.
- [13] Rojas-Medar, M.A. and Boldrini, J.L.: *Global strong solutions of equations of magnetohydrodynamic type*, Relatório de Pesquisa, R.P. 53, IMECC-UNICAMP, Brazil, 1993, submitted.
- [14] Schlüter, A.: *Dynamic des plasmas*, I and II, Z. Naturforsch. 5a, (1950), 72-78; 6a., (1951), 73-79.
- [15] Temam, R.: *Navier-Stokes equations*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, Rev. Edit., 1979.

UNICAMP IMECC, CP6065  
13081-970, Campinas, SP  
Brazil

Departamento de Matemática,  
Universidad de Tarapacá  
Casilla 7D, Arica  
Chile

Recibido: 27 de Octubre de 1993