# ON RELATIVELY CONTRACTIVE RELATIONS IN PAIRS OF GENERALIZED UNIFORM SPACES

by

VICTOR M. ONIEVA ALEINANDRE and JAVIER RUIZ FERNANDEZ
DE PINEDO

#### ABSTRACT

J. C. Mathews and D. W. Curtis, [4], have introduced some structures which generalize structures of usual uniform types to the product of two sets, and they obtain a generalized version of Banach's contraction mapping theorem. In this note we prove that these structures are obtained from the usual analogues by means of a particular bijection; hence we have not a meaningful generalization. For example, this bijection provides, from a result of A. S. Davis, [1], an analogue of Banach's well-known contraction mapping theorem which trivially implies the main result of [4]. AMS (MOS) Codes: 54 E 15, 54 H 25.

## 0. Introduction

In all that follows, A and B will be nonvoid sets,  $F \subset A \times B$  a fixed multifunction on A onto B,  $R_A$  on A and  $R_B$  on B the canonical equivalences associated with a relation  $R \subset A \times B$ , that is,  $x R_A x'$  and  $y R_B y'$  if and only if R(x) = R(x') and  $R^{-1}(y) = R^{-1}(y')$ , respectively.  $F_a$  will be the difunctional closure of F, [7]. Of course  $F_a$  is a Riguet's multifunction, that is, a multifunction on A onto B such that

$$F_d \circ F_d^{-1} \circ F_d = F_d$$
.

The Riguet's multifunctions G are characterized by

$$G^{-1} \circ G = G_A$$
 and  $G \circ G^{-1} = G_B$ .

We begin by recalling the F-dependent analogues of the inverse and composition of relations, which we shall term F-inverse and F-composition. If U,  $V \subset A \times B$  define

$$U_{-1} := F \circ U^{-1} \circ F$$
 and  $U * V := U \circ F^{-1} \circ V$ .

U is called an F-connector if  $F \subset U$ , and U is said to be a relation F-enlarged if

$$U_{-2} := (U_{-1})_{-1} = U, [4],$$

or equivalently

$$U = \bigcup \{U_{-2n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

If F a Riguet's multifunction, it is clear that U F-enlarged is equivalent to  $U = F_B \circ U \circ F_A$ . Further, some notations will be used without explanation because their meanings are obvious; for instance, if  $\mathcal{U}$  is a family of subsets of A  $\times$  B, we write

$$F^{-1} \circ \mathcal{U} := \{F^{-1} \circ U : U \in \mathcal{U}\}.$$

We can replace the terms connector, composition and inverse by its F-dependent analogues in the axioms of quasi-uniformities and uniformities. This is a natural way to define the F-quasi-uniform and F-uniform structures. An F-quasi-uniformity (F-uniformity) has an Fenlarged (F-symmetric) base, that is, a base of F-enlarged (F-symmetric) F-connectors; this fact enables to place, in this natural context, the «generalized quasi-F-uniformities» and «F-uniformities» considered by Curtis and Mathews, which are, respectively, the F-enlarged bases of all F-enlarged F-connectors of F-quasi-uniformities and F-uniformities, [2], here denominated canonical bases. On the other hand, for an F-(quasi-)uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$ , the families  $F^{-1} \circ \mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{U} \circ F^{-1}$  are bases of (quasi-)uniformities  $\mathcal{U}_{A}$  on A and  $\mathcal{U}_{B}$  on B, respectively.

This paper consists of two parts. Firts we prove that F-structures are obtained from the usual analogues by means of a particular bijection; here, the word «usual» applies to the case A = B and F the diagonal D of A × A. Thus, F-structures cannot be considered as a meaningful generalization. Secondly we use the above bijection to obtain a result from a theorem of A. S. Davis, [1], which trivially implies theorem 2 of [4].

#### 1. F-STRUCTURES: THE BASIC BIJECTION

Let  $\mathcal U$  be an F-enlarged filter on  $A \times B$ , that is,  $\mathcal U$  is a filter with an F-enlarged base. We consider the following F-axioms:

- (1)  $F \subset U$  for every  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ .
- (2) For each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  there exists  $V \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $V * V \subset U$ .
- (3)  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  implies  $U_{-1} \in \mathcal{U}$ .

Lemma.—Let  $\mathcal U$  be a nonvoid family of subsets of  $A \times B$ . Then  $\mathcal U$  is an F-enlarged filter if and only if  $\mathcal U$  is an F<sub>a</sub>-enlarged filter; in this case, the canonical base of  $\mathcal U$  with respect to F and F<sub>a</sub> is the same; this base  $\mathcal H$  satisfies

$$F^{-1} \circ \mathcal{H} = F_d^{-1} \circ \mathcal{H}$$

and

$$\mathcal{H} \circ F^{-1} = \mathcal{H} \circ F_{\mathbf{d}}^{-1}.$$

Further, being  $\mathcal{U}$  still an F-enlarged filter, the F-axiom (j) is valid for  $\mathcal{U}$  if and only if the  $F_a$ -axiom (j) is also valid, where j = 1, 2, 3.

Proof.—First we can see that

$$F_d \circ F^{-1} = F_d \circ F_d^{-1} = F_{dB}$$
 and  $F^{-1} \circ F_d = F_d^{-1} \circ F_d = F_{dA}$ ;

moreover, if  $R \subset A \times B$ , we have

$$F_{dB} \circ R \circ F_{dA} = \bigcup \{ (F \circ F^{-1})^m : m \in N \} \circ R \circ \bigcup \{ (F^{-1} \circ F)^n : n \in N \} =$$

$$= \bigcup \{ (F \circ F^{-1})^n \circ R \circ (F^{-1} \circ F)^n : n \in N \} = \bigcup \{ R_{-2n} : n \in N \}.$$

Hence R is F-enlarged if and only if R is  $F_a$ -enlarged.

Now let  $U \subset A \times B$  be F-enlarged. Then

$$F^{-1} \circ U = F^{-1} \circ F_d \circ F_d^{-1} \circ U = F_{dA} \circ F_d^{-1} \circ U = F_d^{-1} \circ U$$
;

likewise  $U \circ F^{-1} = U \circ F_a^{-1}$ . Therefore U is F-connector if and only if it is  $F_a$ -connector, and  $U_{-1} = U_{-1d}$  where  $U_{-1d}$  is the  $F_a$ -inverse of U. Hence the lemma follows easily.

From now on, by virtue of the result just proved, without restricting the generality we may suppose that F is a Riguet's multifunction, so we have  $F_A = F^{-1} \circ F$  and  $F_B = F \circ F^{-1}$ .

The basic bijection

We use P(S) for the power set of the set S, and p for the natural map of A onto  $\Lambda/F_A$ . Let the mapping

$$b_0: P(A \times B) \longrightarrow P(A/F_A \times A/F_A)$$

be defined by  $b_0(R) := p \circ F^{-1} \circ R \circ p^{-1}$ . Given  $R_c$  in  $P(A/F_A \times A/F_A)$ we have

$$b_0^{-1}(R_c) = \{ R \in P (A \times B) : F_B \circ R \circ F_A = F \circ p^{-1} \circ R_c \circ p \}.$$

Hence  $b_0$  is surjective and  $F \circ p^{-1} \circ R_c \circ p$  is the unique F-enlarged relation in  $b_0^{-1}(R_c)$ . Thus, the restriction b of  $b_0$  to the set  $E(A \times B; F)$  of all F-enlarged relations is a bijection of  $E(A \times B; F)$ onto  $P(A/F_A \times A/F_A)$ , such that  $b(F) = D_c$  where  $D_c$  is the diagonal of  $A/F_A \times A/F_A$ . We say that b is the basic bijection.

Theorem.—(i) The collection of the canonical bases of the Fenlarged filters on  $A \times B$  is one-to-one mapped by b onto the collection of the filters on the set  $A/F_A \times A/F_A$ .

- (ii) Let  ${\mathcal U}$  be an F-enlarged filter with  ${\mathcal H}$  as its canonical base. Then  ${\mathcal U}$  satisfies the F-axiom (j) if and only if  ${
  m b}({\mathcal H})$  satisfies the usual axiom (j), where j = 1, 2, 3. Therefore  $\mathcal{U}$  is F-(quasi-)uniformity if and only if b (H) is (quasi-)uniformity.
- (iii) Let  ${\mathcal U}$  be an F-quasi-uniformity,  ${\mathcal H}$  its canonical base,  ${\mathcal C}_{\rm A}$ the topology on A induced by  $\mathcal{U}_{A'}$   $\mathcal{C}_{Ac}$  the quotient topology by  $F_{\rm A}$ , and  $G_{\rm c}$  the topology on  $A/F_{\rm A}$  induced by the quasi-uniformity b  $(\mathcal{H})$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}_{c}=\mathcal{C}_{Ac}$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{A}$  is the coarsest topology on A such that Co is its quotient topology by FA. Further, being CB the topology on the set B induced by  $\mathcal{U}_{B}$ , we have  $\mathcal{C}_{B} = \{F(G) : G \in \mathcal{C}_{A}\}, [3].$

Proof.—(i) It suffices to note that if  $\mathcal{U}_c$  is a filter on  $\Lambda/F_{\Lambda}$  ×  $\times$  A/F<sub>A</sub>, then  $b^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_c)$  satisfies:

(a) If  $U, V \in b^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_c)$  then  $U \cap V \in b^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_c)$ .

- (b) If  $U \in b^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_c)$ , V F-enlarged and  $U \subset V$ , then  $V \in b^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_c)$ ; but these conditions characterize the canonical base of an F-enlarged filter.
- (ii) We first note that  $\mathcal{U}$  satisfies (j) if and only if  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfies (j). On the other hand, for  $U, V \in E(A \times B; F)$  we have

$$b (U * V) = p \circ F^{-1} \circ U \circ F^{-1} \circ V \circ p^{-1} = b (U) \circ b (V),$$
  
 $b (U_{-1}) = p \circ F^{-1} \circ F \circ U^{-1} \circ F \circ p^{-1} = b (U)^{-1}.$ 

Hence (ii) follows easily.

(iii) It suffices to observe that each open G in  $\mathcal{C}_A$  is  $F_A$ -saturated because  $F^{-1} \circ F = F_A$  and an F-quasi-uniformizable topology on A satisfies  $F^{-1} \circ F$  (G) = G for each open set G, [3].

## 2. Contractions and fixed points

First we recall some definitions of [4] with our terminology.

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an F-quasi-uniformity with  $\mathcal{H}$  as its canonical base,  $\mathcal{B}$  an F-enlarged base of  $\mathcal{U}$ , r and s positive integers such that r < s, and R a multifunction on A into B. For  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $U^n$  denotes the F-composition of n terms equal to U. Then:

R F-admissible: R F-enlarged and  $R \circ R^{-1} \circ F \subset F$ .

R r/s-map relative to  $\mathcal{B}$ :  $U^s \subset R_{-1} * U^r * R$  for each  $U \in \mathcal{B}$ .

R r/s-contractive relative to  $\mathcal{B}: R \circ F^{-1} \circ U^s \circ R^{-1} \circ F \subset U^r$  for  $U \in \mathcal{B}$ .

If R is F-admissible, then R r/s-map relative to  $\mathcal B$  is equivalent to R r/s-contractive relative to  $\mathcal B$ . Moreover, the conditions « $\mathcal H$  chains A» and «A  $\mathcal H$ -complete» of [4] mean «(A,  $\mathcal U_A$ ) well-chained» and «(A,  $\mathcal U_A$ ) sequentially complete» with the terminology of Davis in [1].

THEOREM.—Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an F-quasi-uniformity on  $A \times B$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  an F-enlarged base of  $\mathcal{U}$  and R a multifunction on A into B. Assume that  $(A, \mathcal{U}_A)$  is a sequentially complete well-chained space,

$$F_{\mathbf{A}} = \bigcap \{ U_{\mathbf{A}} \cap U_{\mathbf{A}}^{-1} \colon U_{\mathbf{A}} \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{A}} \}$$

and R is F-admissible and r/s-contractive relative to B. Then there is  $a \in A$  such that

$$F \cap R = F_{\Lambda}$$
 (a)  $\times F$  (a)

and  $(u, v) \in F \cap R$  implies

$$(\overline{\mathbf{u}}, \overline{\mathbf{v}}) = \overline{F_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{a})} \times \overline{F(\mathbf{a})}.$$

Proof.—By observing that given  $U \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $x, a \in A$ , we have  $x \in F^{-1} \circ U(a)$  if and only if  $p(x) \in b(U)(p(a)) = p \circ F^{-1} \circ U(a)$ , it is easy to see that if one of (A,  $\mathcal{U}_{\Lambda}$ ) or (A/F\_{\Lambda}, b ( $\mathcal{H}$ )) is sequentially complete well-chained then both are.

By means of elementary operations,

$$F_A = \bigcap \{ U_A \cap U_A^{-1} \colon U_A \in \mathcal{U}_A \}$$

is characterized by  $\bigcap \{b(U): U \in \mathcal{H}\}$  antisymmetric, and this is equivalent to  $(A/F_{\Lambda}, b(\mathcal{H}))$   $T_0$ -space.

R multifunction on A into B F-admissible is equivalent to R Fenlarged such that  $F \subset R_{-1} * R$  and  $R * R_{-1} \subset F$ , that is, to b(R) is a map. Moreover, R F-admissible and r/s-contractive relative to  $\mathcal{B}$ is obviously equivalent to b(R) r/s-map relative to  $b(\mathcal{B})$ .

Therefore, from theorem 2 of Davis in [1], b(R) has a unique fixpoint, that is, there is a unique  $p(a) \in A/F_A$  such that  $(p(a), p(a)) \in$  $\in D_c \cap b$  (R), or equivalently such that

$$F \circ p^{-1} \circ (p(a), p(a)) \circ p = (F_A(a, F(a)) = F \cap R.$$

On the other hand, for each  $(u, v) \in (F_{\Lambda}(a), F(a))$  we have [3],

$$(\overline{u,v}) = (\overline{u}) \times (\overline{v}) = \overline{F_A(a)} \times \overline{F(a)}$$
.

We note that F closed in A × B is equivalent to

$$F \,=\, \boldsymbol{\mathsf{\Omega}}\,\,\{\boldsymbol{U}_{\scriptscriptstyle{-1}} *\,\boldsymbol{U}\,:\,\boldsymbol{U} \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}\}$$

which characterizes to  $(A/F_A, b(\mathcal{H}))$  as  $T_2$ -space. Thus, theorem 2 of [4] is a particular case of the above result.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Davis, A. S.: Fixpoint theorem for contractions of a well-chained topological space. «Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.», 14 (1963), 981-985.
- [2] GRACIA, J. M. and ONIEVA, V. M.: Sobre estructuras preuniformes y uniformes generalizadas. Actas VI Jornadas de Matemáticas Hispano-Lusas. Santander, 1979. «Revista Univ. Santander», 2 (1979), 521-547.
- [3] Gracia, J. M., Onieva, V. M. and Ruiz, J.: Sobre estructuras uniformes generalizadas. «Collect. Math.», to appear.
- [4] Mathews, J. C. and Curtis, D. W.: Relatively contractive relations in pairs of generalized uniform spaces. «J. London Math. Soc.», 44 (1969), 100-106.
- [5] MURDESHWAR, M. G. and NAIMPALLY, S. A.: Quasi-uniform topological spaces. Sijthoff & Noordhoff, Alphen aan den Rijn, 1966
- [6] RIGUET, J.: Relations binaires, fermetures, correspondances de Galoir. «Bull. Soc. Math. France», 76 (1948), 114-155.
- [7] RIGUET, J.: Quelques propriétés des relations difonctionnelles. «C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris», 230 (1950), 1999-2000.

Facultad de Ciencias Universidad de Santander Santander (Spain) Instituto «Jorge Juan» C. S. I. C. Madrid (Spain)