

## Polynomial Systems: a Lower Bound for the Weakened 16th Hilbert Problem

CHENGZHI LI<sup>1</sup>, WEIGU LI<sup>1</sup>, JAUME LLIBRE<sup>2</sup>, ZHIFEN ZHANG<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Department of Mathematics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China*

<sup>2</sup> *Dept. de Matemàtiques, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193 Bellaterra, Spain*

(Research paper)

AMS Subject Class. (2000): 58F14, 58F21, 34C05

Received June 6, 2001

In this paper we provide the greatest lower bound about the number of (non-infinitesimal) limit cycles surrounding a unique singular point for a planar polynomial differential system of arbitrary degree.

We prove that for  $m$  and  $n$  odd the maximum number  $b_{m,n}$  of isolated zeros (taking into account their multiplicity) of the Abelian integral  $I(h) = \int_{H(x,y)=h} y\bar{Q}(x,y)dx$ , where  $H(x,y) = \frac{1}{2}y^2 + \frac{1}{m+1}x^{m+1}$ , and  $\bar{Q}$  and arbitrary polynomial of degree at most  $n-1$  is

$$\frac{(n+1)(n+3)}{8} - 1 \quad \text{if } n \leq m, \quad \frac{(m+1)(2n-m+3)}{8} - 1 \quad \text{if } n \geq m.$$

Moreover, there are perturbations of the Hamiltonian system  $\dot{x} = -\partial H/\partial y$ ,  $\dot{y} = \partial H/\partial x$ , such that the indicated maximum number  $b_{m,n}$  of continuous families of limit cycles can be made to emerge from a corresponding number of arbitrarily prescribed periodic orbits within the period annulus of the center. Consequently,

$$b_{m,n} \leq N(m,n) \leq H_{\max\{m,n\}}.$$

This result provides the greatest lower bound about the number of (non-infinitesimal) limit cycles surrounding a unique singular point for a planar polynomial differential system of arbitrary degree  $m = n$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND THE MAIN RESULT

We consider two-dimensional differential systems

$$\dot{x} = P(x, y), \quad \dot{y} = Q(x, y), \quad (1)$$

where  $P$  and  $Q$  are real polynomials in the variables  $x$  and  $y$ . In his address to the International Congress of Mathematics in Paris in 1900, Hilbert raised the question of the number of limit cycles of these differential systems. It remains one of the most difficult open questions in the qualitative theory of planar polynomial differential systems.

Let  $H_m$  be the maximum possible number of limit cycles of (1) when  $P$  and  $Q$  are of degree at most  $m$ . The  $H_m$  are the *Hilbert numbers*, and it is still an open problem whether  $H_m$  is finite, even for the simplest case of quadratic polynomial differential systems ( $m = 2$ ). Probably the best result in that direction has been the proof of *Dulac's Conjecture* by Il'yashenko [14] and Ecalle [9] using different methods. This result states that a given polynomial system cannot have infinitely many limit cycles. Note that this does not imply that the  $H_m$  are finite.

On the other hand there has been some success in finding lower bounds for  $H_m$ . Thus it is known that  $H_2 \geq 4$  (see Shi [27]) and  $H_3 \geq 11$  (see Li and Li [16]). Several authors have established that  $H_m$  grows at least as fast as  $m^2$  with  $m$ . Thus, Il'yashenko [13] proved that

$$H_m \geq \frac{1}{2}(m^2 + m - 2);$$

Basarab–Horwath and Lloyd [2] shown that

$$H_m \geq \frac{1}{4}(m - 1)(m + 2);$$

Christopher and Lloyd [5] proved that

$$H_m \geq \frac{1}{2}(m + 1)^2 (\log_2(m + 1) - 3) + 3m.$$

In these last three results the limit cycles occur in several nests, i.e., they are not surrounding a unique singular point.

Let  $H(x, y)$  be a real polynomial of degree  $m + 1$ , and let  $P(x, y)$  and  $Q(x, y)$  be real polynomials of degree at most  $n$ . The problem of finding an

upper bound  $N(m, n, H, P, Q)$  for the number of isolated zeros of the Abelian integrals

$$I(h) = \int_{\Gamma_h} Q(x, y)dx - P(x, y)dy, \quad (2)$$

where  $\Gamma_h$  varies in the compact components of  $H^{-1}(h)$  is called the *weakened 16th Hilbert problem*. It was posed by Arnold in [1].

The weakened 16th Hilbert problem is closely related to the problem of determining an upper bound for the number of limit cycles of the perturbed Hamiltonian system

$$\dot{x} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial y} + \varepsilon P(x, y), \quad \dot{y} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial x} + \varepsilon Q(x, y), \quad (3)$$

where  $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ . The relationship between both problems comes from the following two facts:

- (i) If  $I(h^*) = 0$  and  $I'(h^*) \neq 0$ , then there exists a hyperbolic limit cycle  $L_{h^*}$  of system (3) such that  $L_{h^*} \rightarrow \Gamma_{h^*}$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ; and conversely, if there exists a hyperbolic limit cycle  $L_{h^*}$  of system (3) such that  $L_{h^*} \rightarrow \Gamma_{h^*}$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , then  $I(h^*) = 0$ .
- (ii) The total number of isolated zeros of (2) (taking into account their multiplicity) is an upper bound for the number of limit cycles of system (3) with  $\varepsilon > 0$  tending to some periodic orbit  $\Gamma_h$  of system (3) with  $\varepsilon = 0$  when  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

Khovansky [15] and Varchenko [28] proved independently that  $N(m, n, H, P, Q)$  is finite, but an explicit expression for  $N(m, n, H, P, Q)$  is unknown. Many authors have contributed to estimate or to give upper bounds for the numbers  $N(m, n, H, P, Q)$ , usually they fix  $H$  and take arbitrary polynomials  $P$  and  $Q$  with  $n$  fixed or not. In this last case the upper bounds that they obtain are linear functions in  $n$ ; see for instance Bogdanov [3] and [4], Petrov [24] and [25], Cushman and Sanders [6], Dumortier, Roussarie and Sotomayor [8], Drachman, van Gils and Zhang [7], Li and Rousseau [20], Gavrilov [10], Gavrilov and Horozov [11], Horozov and Iliev [12], Li, Llibre and Zhang [17] and [18], Li and Zhang [21], Novikov and Yakovenko [23], Zholadek [29], ...

Let  $N(m, n)$  be the supremum of  $N(m, n, H, P, Q)$  when  $H$  varies inside the class of all polynomials of degree at most  $m + 1$ , and  $P$  and  $Q$  vary inside the class of all polynomials of degree at most  $n$ .

This paper is concerned with the rate of growth of  $N(m, n)$ , and since  $N(m, n) \leq H_{\max\{m, n\}}$  we also provide a lower bound of  $H_{\max\{m, n\}}$ . Our result is the following.

For  $m$  odd let

$$H(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}y^2 + \frac{1}{m+1}x^{m+1}, \quad (4)$$

and let

$$P(x, y) \equiv 0, \quad Q(x, y) = y\bar{Q}(x, y), \quad (5)$$

be polynomials with degree of  $\bar{Q}$  at most  $n-1$ . Then we consider the perturbed Hamiltonian system

$$\dot{x} = -y, \quad \dot{y} = x^m + \varepsilon y\bar{Q}(x, y). \quad (6)$$

**THEOREM.** *For  $m$  and  $n$  odd the maximum number  $b_{m,n}$  of isolated zeros (taking into account their multiplicity) of the Abelian integral (2) with  $H$ ,  $P$  and  $Q$  given by (4) and (5) is*

$$\frac{(n+1)(n+3)}{8} - 1 \quad \text{if } n \leq m, \quad \frac{(m+1)(2n-m+3)}{8} - 1 \quad \text{if } n \geq m.$$

Moreover, there are perturbations of system (6) such that the indicated maximum number  $b_{m,n}$  of continuous families of limit cycles can be made to emerge from a corresponding number of arbitrarily prescribed periodic orbits within the period annulus of the center. Consequently,

$$b_{m,n} \leq N(m, n) \leq H_{\max\{m,n\}}.$$

## 2. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

The key point in the proof of this theorem is that, by using Green's Theorem, we will compute the Abelian integral through a double integral. These double integrals for system (6) are very easy to compute in comparison with the usual single Abelian integral. As far as we know this technique applied to Abelian integrals was used by first time in [19]. The proof of the theorem is given in the next section.

We write the function  $\bar{Q}(x, y)$  of system (6) as follows

$$\bar{Q}(x, y) = \sum_{0 \leq i+j \leq n-1} a_{i,j} x^i y^j,$$

and a periodic orbit of the unperturbed system (6) for  $\varepsilon = 0$  as

$$H(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}y^2 + \frac{1}{m+1}x^{m+1} = h.$$

By using Green's Theorem the Abelian integral (2) goes over to

$$\begin{aligned}
 I(h) &= \int \int_{H(x,y) \leq h} \frac{\partial(y\bar{Q})}{\partial y} dx dy \\
 &= \sum_{0 \leq i+j \leq n-1} \int \int_{H(x,y) \leq h} (j+1)a_{i,j}x^i y^j dx dy \\
 &= \sum_{0 \leq 2i+2j \leq n-1} (2j+1)a_{2i,2j} \int \int_{H(x,y) \leq h} x^{2i} y^{2j} dx dy \\
 &= \sum_{0 \leq 2i+2j \leq n-1} 2a_{2i,2j} \int_{-\bar{x}}^{\bar{x}} x^{2i} \left(2h - \frac{2}{m+1}x^{m+1}\right)^{j+\frac{1}{2}} dx \\
 &= \sum_{0 \leq 2i+2j \leq n-1} C_{ij} h^{\alpha_{ij}}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{x} &= [(m+1)h]^{\frac{1}{m+1}}, \\
 x &= [(m+1)h]^{\frac{1}{m+1}} y, \\
 C_{ij} &= 2^{j+\frac{3}{2}} a_{2i,2j} (m+1)^{\frac{2i+1}{m+1}} \int_{-1}^1 y^{2i} (1-y^{m+1})^{j+\frac{1}{2}} dy, \\
 \alpha_{ij} &= \frac{2i+1}{m+1} + j + \frac{1}{2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We note that the number of  $\alpha_{ij}$  that appear as exponents in the powers of  $h$  inside the last expression of the Abelian integral  $I(h)$  is equal to

$$\frac{(n+1)(n+3)}{8} \quad \text{if } n \leq m, \quad \text{or to} \quad \frac{(m+1)(2n-m+3)}{8} \quad \text{if } n \geq m.$$

Therefore the Theorem follows.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are partially supported by a DGICYT grant number PB96-1153. Chengzhi Li, Weigu Li and Zhifen Zhang want to thank to the Centre de Recerca Matemàtica and to the Department of Mathematics of the Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona for their support and hospitality during the period in which this paper was written. They are partially supported by the NSFC and the DEPT of China.

## REFERENCES

- [1] ARNOLD, V.I., Loss of stability of self-oscillation close to resonance and versal deformations of equivariant vector fields, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **11** (1977), 1–10.
- [2] BASARAB–HORWATH, P., LLOYD, N.G., Co-existing fine foci and bifurcating limit cycles, *Neieuw Arch. Wisk.* **6** (1988), 295–302.
- [3] BOGDANOV, R.I., Bifurcation of the limit cycle of a family of a planar vector fields, *Selecta Math. Soviet* **1** (1981), 373–387.
- [4] BOGDANOV, R.I., Versal deformation of a singularity of a vector field on the plane in the case of zero eigenvalues, *Selecta Math. Soviet* **1** (1981), 389–421.
- [5] CHRISTOPHER, C.J., LLOYD, N.G., Polynomial systems: a lower bound for the Hilbert numbers, *Proc. Royal Soc. London, Serie A*, **450** (1995), 219–224.
- [6] CUSHMAN, R., SANDERS, J.A., A codimension two bifurcation with a third order Picard–Fuchs equation, *J. Differential Equations* **59** (1985), 243–256.
- [7] DRACHMAN, B., VAN GILS, S.A., ZHANG, Z., Abelian integrals for quadratic vector fields, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **382** (1987), 165–180.
- [8] DUMORTIER, F., ROUSSARIE, R., SOTOMAYOR, J., Generic 3-parameter families of vector fields on the plane, unfolding a singularity with nilpotent linear part. The cusp case, *Ergod. Th. & Dyn. Sys.* **7** (1987), 375–413.
- [9] ECALLE, J., “Introduction aux Fonctions Analysables et Preuve Constructive de la Conjecture de Dulac”, *Actualités Mathématiques*, Hermann, Paris, 1992.
- [10] GAVRILOV, L., Abelian integrals related to Morse polynomials and perturbations of plane Hamiltonian vector fields, *preprint* (1998).
- [11] GAVRILOV, L., HOROZOV, E., Limit cycles and zeros of abelian integrals satisfying third order Picard–Fuchs equations, in *Lect. Notes in Math.* 1455, Springer–Verlag, 1990, pp. 160–196.
- [12] HOROZOV, E., ILIEV, I.D., On the number of limit cycles in perturbations of quadratic Hamiltonian systems, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **69** (1994), 198–224.
- [13] IL’YASHENKO, YU.S., The origin of limit cycles under perturbations of the equation  $dw/dz = -R_z/R_w$  where  $R(z, w)$  is a polynomial, *Math. USSR–Sb.* **7** (1969), 353–364.
- [14] IL’YASHENKO, YU.S., “Finiteness Theorems for Limit Cycles”, *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*, Vol. 94, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991.
- [15] KHOVANSKY, A.G., Real analytic manifolds with finiteness properties and complex Abelian integrals, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **18** (1984), 119–128.
- [16] JIBIN, L., CHUNFU, L., Global bifurcations of planar disturbed Hamiltonian systems and distributions of limit cycles of cubic systems, *Acta Math. Sinica* **28** (1985), 509–521.

- [17] LI, C., LLIBRE, J., ZHANG, Z., Weak focus, limit cycles and bifurcations for bounded quadratic systems, *J. Differential Equations* **115** (1995), 193–223.
- [18] LI, C., LLIBRE, J., ZHANG, Z., Abelian integrals of quadratic Hamiltonian vector fields with an invariant straight line, *Publicacions Matemàtiques* **39** (1995), 355–366.
- [19] LI, C., LI, W., LLIBRE, J., ZHANG, Z., Bifurcation of limit cycles from quadratic isochronous centers, *preprint* (1998).
- [20] LI, C., ROUSSEAU, C., A system with three limit cycles appearing in a Hopf bifurcation and dying in a homoclinic bifurcation: The cusp of order 4, *J. Differential Equations* **79** (1989), 132–161.
- [21] LI, B., ZHANG, Z., A note on a result of G.S. Petrov about the weakened 16-th Hilbert problem, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **190** (1995), 489–516.
- [22] MARDESCIC, P., The number of limit cycles of polynomials deformations of a Hamiltonian vector field, *Ergodic Theory & Dynamical Systems* **10** (1990), 523–529.
- [23] NOVIKOV, D., YAKOVENKO, S., Simple exponential estimate for the number of real zeros of complete abelian integrals, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **45** (1995), 897–927.
- [24] PETROV, G.S., Number of zeros of complete elliptic integrals, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **18** (1988), 148–149.
- [25] PETROV, G.S., The Chebyshev property of elliptic integrals, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **22** (1988), 72–73.
- [26] SHAFER, D.S., ZEGELING, A., Bifurcation of limit cycles from quadratic centers, *J. Differential Equations* **122** (1995), 48–70.
- [27] SONGLING, S., A concrete example of the existence of four limit cycles for plane quadratic systems, *Sci. Sinica* **A23** (1980), 153–158.
- [28] VARCHENKO, A.N., Estimate of the number of zeros of an Abelian integral depending on a parameter and limit cycles, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **18** (1984), 98–108.
- [29] ZOLADEK, H., Quadratic systems with centers and their perturbations, *J. Differential Equations* **109** (1994), 223–273.