# A Note on Bisexual Galton-Watson Branching Processes with Immigration\*

M. González, M. Molina, M. Mota

Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de Extremadura, 06071 Badajoz, Spain e-mail: mvelasco@unex.es, mmolina@unex.es, mota@unex.es

(Research paper)

AMS Subject Class. (2000): 60J80

Received November 10, 2000

### 1. Introduction

Recently, from the branching model introduced in [1], new bisexual Galton-Watson branching processes allowing immigration have been developed in [2] and some probabilistical analysis about them has been obtained. In particular, for the bisexual Galton-Watson process allowing the immigration of females and males, it has been proved (see [3]) that, under certain conditions, the sequence representing the number of mating units per generation converges in distribution to a positive, finite and non-degenerate random variable. The aim of this paper is to provide, through a different methodology, an alternative proof of this limit result. In this new, and more technical proof, we make use of the underlying probability generating functions. In Section 2, a brief description of the probability model is considered and some basic definitions and results are given. Section 3 is devoted to prove the asymptotic result previously indicated.

#### 2. The Probability Model

The bisexual Galton-Watson process with immigration of females and males (BGWPI) denoted by  $\{(F_n^*, M_n^*), n = 1, 2, ...\}$  is defined, see [2], in the form:

<sup>\*</sup>Research supported by the Consejería de Educación y Juventud de la Junta de Extremadura and the Fondo Social Europeo grant IPR00A056.

$$Z_0^* = N , \quad (F_{n+1}^*, M_{n+1}^*) = \sum_{i=1}^{Z_n^*} (f_{ni}, m_{ni}) + (F_{n+1}^I, M_{n+1}^I) ,$$

$$Z_{n+1}^* = L(F_{n+1}^*, M_{n+1}^*) , \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

$$(1)$$

where N is a positive integer and the empty sum is considered to be (0,0).  $\{(f_{ni},m_{ni})\}$  and  $\{(F_n^I,M_n^I)\}$  are independent sequences of i.i.d. non-negative integer-valued random variables with mean vectors  $\mu=(\mu_1,\mu_2)$  and  $\mu^I=(\mu_1^I,\mu_2^I)$ , respectively. Intuitively  $f_{ni}$   $(m_{ni})$  represents the number of females (males) produced by the *i*th mating unit in the *n*th generation and  $F_n^I$   $(M_n^I)$  may be viewed as the number of immigrating females (males) in the *n*th generation. The mating function  $L: \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  is non-decreasing in each argument, integer-valued for integer-valued arguments and such that  $L(x,y) \leq xy$ . Consequently, from an intuitive outlook,  $F_n^*$   $(M_n^*)$  will be the number of females (males) in the *n*th generation, which form  $Z_n^* = L(F_n^*, M_n^*)$  mating units. These mating units reproduce independently through the same offspring distribution for each generation.

It can be shown that  $\{Z_n^*\}$  and  $\{(F_n^*, M_n^*)\}$  are Markov chains with stationary transition probabilities. We denote by  $p_{kl} = P[(f_{01}, m_{01}) = (k, l)], k, l = 0, 1, \ldots$  and assume that  $\mu$  and  $\mu^I$  are finite.

DEFINITION 2.1. A BGWPI is said to be superadditive if the mating function L is superadditive, i.e. satisfies, for every positive integer n, that

$$L\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_{i},y_{i})\right) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n}L(x_{i},y_{i}), \quad x_{i},y_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}, \quad i=1,\ldots,n.$$

DEFINITION 2.2. For a BGWPI and each positive integer k, we define the average reproduction rate per mating unit, denoted by  $r_k^*$ , as:

$$r_k^* = k^{-1} E[Z_{n+1}^* \mid Z_n^* = k], \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

For a superadditive BGWPI with finite mean vector  $\mu$  and mating function verifying that  $L(x,y) \leq x+y$  it is derived (see [3]) that  $\lim_{k\to\infty} r_k^* = r$  being r the named asymptotic growth rate (or growth rate).

DEFINITION 2.3. A superadditive BGWPI is said to be subcritical, critical or supercritical if r is <, = or > 1, respectively.

# 3. A limit result for the sequence $\{Z_n^*\}$

In this section we consider a subcritical and superadditive BGWPI defined by (1) and, considering as a tool the underlying probability generating functions, we provide an alternative proof to theorem 3.2 in [3]. Previously it will be necessary to introduce the following lemma:

LEMMA 3.1. Let  $\psi$  a positive, non decreasing and continuous function on [0,1] such that  $\psi(1)=1$  and  $\psi'(1^-)\in(0,\infty)$ . Then for  $\delta\in(0,1)$  it is verified that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - \psi(1 - \delta^k)) < \infty.$$

Proof. Consider  $h(x)=1-\psi(1-\delta^x), x\in\mathbb{R}^+$ . It is clear that h is a positive, non increasing and continuous function. Moreover, it follows that  $\lim_{x\to\infty}h(x)=0$ . Then, from the integral criteria for convergence of series, it will be sufficient to prove that  $\int_1^\infty h(x)dx<\infty$ . Making use of the transformation  $s=1-\delta^x$  we get that  $\int_1^\infty h(x)dx$  is proportional to  $\int_0^1 (1-s)^{-1}(1-\psi(s))ds$  which is convergent taking into account that  $\psi'(1^-)<\infty$  and  $(1-s)^{-1}(1-\psi(s))$  is bounded on [0,1].

THEOREM 3.1. If  $E[L(f_{01},m_{01})]>0$ ,  $E[L(F_1^I,M_1^I)]>0$ ,  $p_{00}>0$  and there exists  $\alpha>0$  and  $N_0\geq 1$  such that for  $k>N_0$ ,  $r_k^*\leq r+k^{-1}\alpha$  then  $\{Z_n^*\}$  converges in distribution to a positive and finite random variable  $Z^*$  as  $n\to\infty$ .

*Proof.* Under the considered assumptions, it can be proved in [2] that  $\{Z_n^*\}$  is an irreducible Markov chain. If  $k_0 = \inf\{k : P[L(F_1^I, M_1^I) = k] > 0\}$  then, using that L is non decreasing in each argument, it is derived that  $P[Z_n^* \geq k_0] = 1, n = 1, 2, \ldots$ , and therefore if  $k^*$  is an essential state it is obtained that  $k^* \geq k_0$ .

Thus, if  $f_n^*$  and  $h_k^*$  denote the probability generating functions associated with  $Z_n^*$  and with the kth row of the transition matrix of  $\{Z_n^*\}$ , respectively, i.e.  $f_n^*(s) = E[s^{Z_n^*}]$  and  $h_k^*(s) = E[s^{Z_{n+1}^*} \mid Z_n^* = k], s \in [0,1]$ , then it is followed that:

$$f_n^*(s) = \sum_{j=k_0}^{\infty} s^j P[Z_n^* = j]$$
 and  $h_k^*(s) = \sum_{j=k_0}^{\infty} s^j P[Z_{n+1}^* = j \mid Z_n^* = k]$ .

From Jensen's inequality we obtain:

$$(h_k^*(s))^{1/k} \ge \varphi_k(s), \qquad s \in [0,1],$$
 (2)

where

$$\varphi_k(s) = E\left[s^{k^{-1}L(\sum_{i=1}^k (f_{ni}, m_{ni}) + (F_{n+1}^I, M_{n+1}^I))}\right].$$

Since, for some  $\xi \in (s, 1)$ :

$$\varphi_k(s) = 1 - r_k^*(1 - s) + \frac{\varphi_k''(\xi)}{2}(1 - s)^2$$

we have for  $k > N_0$ , that

$$\varphi_k(s) \ge a(s) \left( 1 - \frac{(1-s)\alpha}{ka(s)} \right)$$
(3)

being a(s) = 1 - r(1 - s). Now

$$0 \le \frac{(1-s)\alpha}{ka(s)} \le (1-r)^{-1}\alpha, \qquad s \in [0,1].$$

Therefore for  $k > N_1 > \max\{N_0, (1-r)^{-1}\alpha\}$ , taking into account (2) and (3), it is deduced that:

$$h_k^*(s) \le (a(s))^k \left(1 - \frac{(1-s)\alpha}{ka(s)}\right)^k \le (a(s))^k A(s), \quad s \in [0,1]$$

where 
$$A(s) = \left(1 - \frac{(1-s)\alpha}{N_1 a(s)}\right)^{N_1}$$
.

It is clear that A is a positive, non decreasing and continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  verifying that A(1)=1 and  $A'(1)=\alpha$ . Let u(s) be an arbitrary probability generating function such that  $u'(1)<\alpha$  (for example the probability generating function of a Poisson distribution with mean  $\lambda < \alpha$ ) and for  $s \in [0,1]$  we define the function:

$$\widehat{h}_k(s) = \begin{cases} (a(s))^k u(s) & \text{if } k = 1, \dots, N_1, \\ h_k^*(s) & \text{if } k > N_1 + 1. \end{cases}$$

If  $\psi(s) = \min\{u(s), A(s)\}\$ , it follows that

$$\hat{h}_k(s) \ge (a(s))^k \psi(s), \qquad s \in [0, 1], \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

and from the comparison theorem for Markov chains (see [4], p.45) it will be sufficient to prove that  $k_0$  is a positive recurrent state for the Markov chain with transition matrix rows associated to  $\hat{h}_k(s)$ . If we denote this Markov chain by  $\{\hat{Z}_n\}$  then, without loss of generality, it may be assumed that  $k_0 = 0$ .

Let

$$\widehat{f}_m(s) = E[s^{\widehat{Z}_{n+m}} \mid \widehat{Z}_n = 0], \qquad m = 0, 1, \dots$$

It is not difficult to verify that:

$$\widehat{f}_m(s) \ge \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \psi(a_j(s)), \qquad s \in [0,1],$$
 (4)

where  $a_j$  denotes the j times composition of the function a and  $a_0(s) = s$ . Consequently, if  $p_{00}^{(m)}$  represents the m step transition probability from 0 to 0, taking into account (4) we deduced that

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} p_{00}^{(m)} = \lim_{m \to \infty} \hat{f}_m(0) \ge \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi(1 - r^j)$$

and therefore 0 will be a positive recurrent state if the limit above is positive or, equivalently, if  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 - \psi(1 - r^j)) < \infty$  which holds as a consequence of Lemma 1. From Markov chains theory we deduce that  $\{Z_n^*\}$  converges in distribution to a positive and finite random variable  $Z^*$  whose probability distribution will be the corresponding stationary distribution.

## References

- [1] Daley, D., Extinction condition for bisexual Galton-Watson branching processes, Z. Wahrscheinlichtkeitsth 9 (1968), 315–322.
- [2] González, M., Molina, M., Mota, M., Bisexual branching models with immigration, *Journal of the Inter-American Statistical Institute* **51** (1999), 81–107.
- [3] González, M., Molina, M., Mota, M., Limit behaviour for a subcritical bisexual Galton-Watson branching process with immigration, *Statistics and Probability Letters* **49** (2000), 19–24.
- [4] Klebaner, F., On population-size-dependent branching processes, Advances in Applied Probability 16 (1984), 30–55.