Sequentially m-Barrelled Algebras

SIHAM JALAL AL-SAYYAD

Dept. of Mathematics, Fac. of Science, Girls Section, King Abdulaziz University P.O. Box 30305, Jeddah-21477, Saudi Arabia

(Research paper presented by S. Dierolf)

AMS Subject Class. (2000): 46-XX

Received February 22, 2000

1. Introduction

The concept of m-barrelled algebra was introduced in [5]. Using sequential convergence, we introduce, in this paper, sequentially m-barrelled algebras in the same fashion as s-barrelled spaces were introduced in [8].

An analogue of the Banach-Steinhaus theorem is proved. As an application, we obtain an interesting result in orthogonal bases, which is the analogue of the isomorphism theorem.

An algebra which is also a locally convex space is called a locally convex algebra if the multiplication in it is jointly continuous. A subset S of an algebra is called m-convex if it is convex and idempotent (i.e. $SS \subseteq S$). A locally convex algebra E is called a locally m-convex algebra if it has a neighbourhood basis of 0 consisting of closed, circled and m-convex sets [7]. A locally convex algebra E is called an m-barrelled algebra if every m-barrel (closed, circled, m-convex and absorbing set) is a neighbourhood of 0 in E [5]. A locally convex space is called a barrelled space (sequentially barrelled space) if every barrel, i.e. closed, circled, convex, absorbing set, is a neighbourhood of 0 (an S-barrel, i.e. sequentially closed, circled, convex, absorbing set, is a sequential neighbourhood of 0 [8]). A mapping E is a sequential neighbourhood of 0 if every sequence in E is called a sequential neighbourhood of 0 if every sequence in E converging to 0 belongs to E eventually.

A sequence $\{x_i\}$ in a locally convex space E is called a topological basis (or, basis) for E if for each x in E, there is a unique sequence $\{\alpha_i\}$ in \mathbb{K} such

that

$$x = \lim_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i x_i$$

in the topology of E [6]. Each α_i , called expansion coefficient, defined by $\lambda_i(x) = \alpha_i$, defines a linear functional λ_i on E. If each λ_i is continuous (sequentially continuous) then $\{x_i\}$ is called a Schauder basis (S-Schauder basis [4])

Let E and F be locally convex spaces. A sequence $\{x_i\}$ in E is similar to a sequence $\{y_i\}$ in F if for all sequences $\{a_i\} \subset \mathbb{K}$, $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i x_i$ converges (in E) iff $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i y_i$ converges (in F) [2].

A mapping $T:E\to F$ is called sequential topological isomorphism if it is linear, one-one, onto, sequentially continuous and T^{-1} is sequentially continuous.

A basis $\{x_i\}$ in a locally convex algebra E is called orthogonal if $x_ix_j=0$ for $i\neq j$ and $x_i^2=x_i$ [1]. In a Hausdorff locally convex algebra (or even in Hausdorff Topological algebra) an orthogonal basis is a Schauder basis [1]. We always consider vector spaces over the field of complex numbers.

2. Sequentially m-barrelled algebras

In this section we introduce the concept of sequentially m-barrelled algebra with two examples and obtain some results.

DEFINITIONS 2.1. (a) Let E be a locally convex algebra. If a subset A is an S-barrel and idempotent, then it is called a sequentially m-barrel.

(b) If every sequentially m-barrel in E is a sequential neighbourhood of 0, then E is called a sequentially m-barrelled algebra.

Remarks 2.2. (a) Every m-barrel is a sequentially m-barrel.

(b) In a metrizable locally convex algebra, the concepts of m-barrelled algebra and sequentially m-barrelled algebra coincide.

EXAMPLE 2.3. Let C(I) be the Banach algebra of all continuous functions on I = [0, 1] with the norm

$$||f|| = \sup_{t \in I} \{|f(t)|\}, \qquad f \in C(I).$$

Let E be the vector subspace of C(I), consisting of all elements $f \in C(I)$ which vanish in a neighbourhood (depending on f) of t = 0. Let

$$B = \left\{ f \in E : |f(1/n)| \le 1/n \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Then B is a sequentially m-barrel in E. But B is not a sequential neighbourhood of 0 in E [3]. Hence E is not a sequentially m-barrelled algebra. However C(I), being a Banach algebra, is sequentially m-barrelled algebra. Since E is an ideal in C(I), it follows that an ideal of a sequentially m-barrelled algebra need not be of the same sort.

EXAMPLE 2.4. If E is an algebra, the family of all circled, convex, absorbing and idempotent sets is a basis of neighbourhoods of 0 for a locally m-convex topology on E which is the strongest locally m-convex topology on E. Now let E be the subalgebra of $\mathbb{K}[x]$ of all polynomials without constant term. If α is a positive real number, let $V(\alpha)$ be the circled convex envelope of $\{\alpha^m x^m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$. The family $\{V(\alpha)\}$, with α rational and less than one, is a basis of neighbourhoods of 0 for the strongest locally m-convex topology on E. This topology is metrizable. Now, E, with this topology, is a sequentially m-barrelled algebra which is not S-barrelled, since it is metrizable but not barrelled [9].

OPEN PROBLEM 2.5. Is there a sequentially m-barrelled algebra which is not m-barrelled?

PROPOSITION 2.6. Let E be a sequentially m-barrelled algebra and F a locally m-convex algebra. If f is a multiplicative linear mapping of E into F, then f is almost sequentially continuous.

Proof. Let V be a circled m-convex neighbourhood of 0 in F. Then $\overline{f^{-1}(V)}^{\rm S}$, the smallest sequentially closed set containing $f^{-1}(V)$, is a sequential m-barrel in E and hence a sequential neighbourhood of 0 in E. This proves that f is almost sequentially continous.

PROPOSITION 2.7. Let E be a sequentially m-barrelled algebra and F a locally convex algebra. If f is a sequentially continuous and almost sequentially open, multiplicative, linear mapping of E into F, then F is sequentially m-barrelled.

Proof. Let B be sequential m-barrel in F. Then $f^{-1}(B)$ is a sequential m-barrel in E and hence a sequential neighbourhood of 0 in E. Since f is almost sequentially open, it follows that $\overline{f\{f^{-1}(B)\}}^{S}$ is a sequential neighbourhood of 0 in F. But

$$\overline{f\{f^{-1}(B)\}}^{S} \subseteq \overline{B}^{S} = B$$

so that B is a sequential neighbourhood of 0 in F. Hence F is a sequentially m-barrelled algebra.

3. Main results

In this section, we obtain an analogue of Banach-Steinhaus theorem for sets of multiplicative linear mappings on sequentially m-barrelled algebras and we use it to prove an analogue of the isomorphism theorem by using the orthogonal basis.

Let E and F be locally convex spaces. Then a set H of linear mappings from E to F is called equi-sequentially continuous if for each neighbourhood V of 0 in F, $\bigcap_{f\in H}f^{-1}(V)$ is a sequential neighbourhood of 0 in E.

Theorem 3.1. Let E be a sequentially m-barrelled algebra and F any locally m-convex algebra. If H is a simply bounded set of sequentially continuous multiplicative linear mappings, then H is equi-sequentially continuous.

Proof. Let V be a closed, circled and m-convex neighbourhood of 0 in F. Then $\cap_{f \in H} f^{-1}(V)$ is a sequentially m-barrel in E and hence a sequential neighbourhood of 0 in E. Thus H is equi-sequentially continuous.

COROLLARY 3.2. Let E and F be as in 3.1. Suppose $\{f_n\}$ is a pointwise bounded sequence of sequentially continuous multiplicative linear mappings from E to F. Then $\{f_n\}$ is equi-sequentially continuous.

COROLLARY 3.3. Let E and F be as in 3.1. If $\{f_n\}$ is a sequence of sequentially continuous multiplicative linear mappings from E to F such that it converges pointwise to a mapping $f: E \to F$, then f is linear, multiplicative and sequentially continuous.

As an application of 3.3, we have the following analogue of the isomorphism theorem.

THEOREM 3.4. Let E and F be sequentially m-barrelled algebras. Suppose $\{x_i, \lambda_i\}$ and $\{y_i, \mu_i\}$ be orthogonal S-Schauder bases in E and F respectively. Then $\{x_i, \lambda_i\}$ is similar to $\{y_i, \mu_i\}$ if and only if there exists a multiplicative sequentially topological isomorphism $T: E \to F$ such that $T(x_i) = y_i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. If such a T exists, then for all sequences $\{a_i\} \subset C$, $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i x_i$ converges (in E) iff

$$T\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i x_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i T(x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i y_i$$

converges (in F). Hence we get similarity. Conversely, we assume that the bases are similar. For each $x \in E$, $x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i(x) x_i$.

We define T_n by

$$T_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(x) x_i, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and T by

$$T(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i(x) x_i;$$

T is well-defined, one-one, onto, each T_n is sequentially continuous, linear, multiplicative, and $\{T_n\}$ converges pointwise to T. Hence, by 3.3, T is sequentially continuous, linear and multiplicative. Similarly T^{-1} is sequentially continuous. Hence T is multiplicative sequentially topological isomorphism.

COROLLARY 3.5. Suppose E and F in 3.4 are Hausdorff, and $\{x_i, \lambda_i\}$ and $\{y_i, \mu_i\}$ are orthogonal bases in E and F respectively. Then the result of 3.4 follows.

Proof. Since E and F are Hausdorff, $\{x_i, \lambda_i\}$ and $\{y_i, \mu_i\}$ are Schauder bases [1] and hence S-Schauder bases.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is grateful to the Referee for the suggestions.

References

- [1] Husain, T., "Orthogonal Schauder Bases", Marcel Dekker, New York, 1991.
- [2] JONES, O.T., RETHERFORD, J.R., On similar bases in barrelled spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **22** (1969), 330–334.
- [3] Khaleelulia, S.M., Countably m-barrelled algebras, *Tamkang J. Math.* 6 (2) (1975), 185–190.
- [4] Khaleelulia, S.M., Some results in S-barrelled spaces, Tamkang J. Math. 18 (2) (1987), 43–48.
- [5] Mallios, A., On the spectra of topological algebras, *J. Functional Analysis* **3** (1969), 301–309.
- [6] MART, J., "Introduction to the Theory of Bases", Springer Tracts in Natural Philo. Vol. 18, New York, 1969.
- [7] MICHAEL, E.A., "Locally Multiplicatively-Convex Topological Algebras", Memoirs of the A.M.S. Vol. 11, Providence, R.I., 1952.
- [8] SNIPES, R.F., S-barrelled topological vector spaces, Canada Math. Bull. 21 (2) (1978), 221–227.
- [9] WARNER, S., Inductive limits of normed algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 82 (1956), 190–216.