

Composition Operators on Vector-Valued Hardy Spaces

S.D. SHARMA AND U. BHANU

Department of Mathematics, Univ. of Jammu, Jammu-180006 India

(Research paper presented by J. Motos)

AMS Subject Class. (1991): 47B38, 46E22

Received May 13, 1998

1. INTRODUCTION

If ϕ is an analytic self-map of the unit disc D , then as a consequence of Littlewood's subordination theorem the composition transformation C_ϕ defined by $C_\phi f = f \circ \phi$ for f holomorphic in D turns out to be a bounded operator on the classical Hardy space $H^p(D)$, ($1 \leq p < \infty$) and is called composition operator induced by ϕ (see Schwartz [5], Nordgren [3] for estimates of the norms of composition operators and Shapiro and Taylor [6] and Cowen and MacCluer [1] for other properties including compactness of these operators on Hardy classes of complex-valued functions). In this paper we attempt to initiate the study of composition operators on a vector-valued Hardy space.

The plan of the rest of the paper is as follows: Next section is preparatory in nature. In this section we collect some known as well as unknown facts about vector-valued Hardy spaces. We also determine generalized reproducing kernels for these spaces and use these kernel functions in the next section as effective tools to study composition operators on vector-valued Hardy spaces. In section 3 we prove that if $\phi: D \rightarrow D$ is analytic, then C_ϕ is a bounded operator on $H_X^2(D)$. A necessary and sufficient condition for a bounded operator on $H_X^2(D)$ to be a composition operator is given. The condition on ϕ for which C_ϕ^* is also a composition operator is presented in section 4. In this section we also present characterizations of normal, unitary and co-isometric composition operators on vector-valued Hardy space $H_X^2(D)$.

2. BACKGROUND

Let $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C}: |z| < 1\}$ and $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ be a complex Banach space. For $1 \leq p < \infty$, the vector-valued Hardy space $H_X^p(D)$ consists of all $f: D \rightarrow X$

such that $e^* \circ f$ is analytic in D for every $e^* \in X^*$ and

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \|f(re^{i\theta})\|_X^p d\theta < \infty.$$

$H_X^p(D)$ is a Banach space with

$$\|f\|_p^p = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \|f(re^{i\theta})\|_X^p d\theta.$$

Throughout this paper we will assume that $(X, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is a separable Hilbert space and so the radial limit $f^*(e^{i\theta}) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} f(re^{i\theta})$ exists a.e. [4, Theorem A, page 84]. In this case $H_X^2(D)$ becomes a Hilbert space under the inner product $\ll \cdot, \cdot \gg$, defined as

$$\ll f, g \gg = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \langle f^*(e^{i\theta}), g^*(e^{i\theta}) \rangle d\theta.$$

For the sake of convenience, we shall denote $f^*(e^{i\theta})$ simply by $f(e^{i\theta})$. For more details about scalar-valued Hardy spaces we refer to Duren [2], and for vector-valued Hardy spaces consult Rosenblum and Rovnyak [4].

The very first result, which we are listing in the form of a lemma, will be used to find kernel functions for $H_X^2(D)$.

LEMMA 2.1. *If $f \in H_X^2(D)$, then*

$$\|f(z)\|_X \leq \frac{\|f\|_2}{(1 - |z|^2)^{1/2}}$$

Proof follows from the Hölder's inequality and the fact that if

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in H_X^2(D),$$

then $\|f\|_2^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|a_n\|_X^2$.

Let $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and let $\{e_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be an orthonormal basis for X . For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $e_{m,n} : D \rightarrow X$ as

$$e_{m,n}(z) = z^m e_n, \quad \forall z \in D.$$

Then clearly $\{e_{m,n} : m, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an orthonormal subset of $H_X^2(D)$. Further, if $f \in H_X^2(D)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \ll f, e_{m,n} \gg = 0 &\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \langle f(e^{i\theta}), e_{m,n}(e^{i\theta}) \rangle d\theta = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-im\theta} \langle f(e^{i\theta}), e_n \rangle d\theta = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore $\langle f(e^{i\theta}), e_n \rangle = 0$ a.e. for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, hence $f(e^{i\theta}) = 0$ a.e. Taking into account the properties of the integral de Poisson, we conclude that $f \equiv 0$. Hence $\{e_{m,n} : m, n, \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a basis for $H_X^2(D)$.

For each $z \in D$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $E_z^j : H_X^2(D) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as follows: $E_z^j(f) = \langle f(z), e_j \rangle$ for every $f \in H_X^2(D)$. Then $E_z^j \in (H_X^2(D))^*$ and so by Riesz representation theorem, there exists $k_z^j \in H_X^2(D)$ such that

$$E_z^j f = \langle\langle f, k_z^j \rangle\rangle, \quad \forall f \in H_X^2(D).$$

We designate k_z^j 's as generalized reproducing kernels or simply kernel functions whenever there is no confusion. The span of the set $\{k_z^j : (z, j) \in D \times \mathbb{N}\}$ will be denoted by $[k_z^j : (z, j) \in D \times \mathbb{N}]$. We now evaluate these kernel functions.

By Parseval's identity,

$$\begin{aligned} k_z^j(w) &= \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle\langle k_z^j, e_{m,n} \rangle\rangle e_{m,n}(w) \\ &= \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{\langle z^m e_n, e_j \rangle} e_{m,n}(w) \\ &= \frac{e_j}{1 - \bar{z}w} \end{aligned}$$

and $\|k_z^j\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{1-|z|^2}$.

LEMMA 2.2. $[k_z^j : (z, j) \in D \times \mathbb{N}]$ is dense in $H_X^2(D)$.

Proof. Let $f \in [k_z^j : (z, j) \in D \times \mathbb{N}]^\perp$, the orthogonal complement of $[k_z^j : (z, j) \in D \times \mathbb{N}]$. Then $\langle\langle f, g \rangle\rangle = 0$ for all $g \in [k_z^j : (z, j) \in D \times \mathbb{N}]$. In particular, $\langle\langle f, k_z^j \rangle\rangle = 0$ for every $(z, j) \in D \times \mathbb{N}$ and so $f \equiv 0$. This completes the proof. ■

3. COMPOSITION OPERATORS ON $H_X^2(D)$

We begin this section by proving that every analytic self-map of the unit disc induces a composition operator on $H_X^2(D)$.

THEOREM 3.1. Let $\phi : D \rightarrow D$ be analytic. Then C_ϕ is a composition operator on $H_X^2(D)$ and

$$\|C_\phi\|^2 \leq \frac{1 + |\phi(0)|}{1 - |\phi(0)|}.$$

Proof. Since $\phi: D \rightarrow D$ is analytic, for any $r_1 < 1$ there exists $r_2 < 1$ such that $\phi: |z| \leq r_1 \rightarrow |z| \leq r_2$. By [4, Theorem C, p. 89],

$$\langle f(\phi(r_1 e^{i\theta}), e^{i\theta}) \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P(\phi(r_1 e^{i\theta}), r_2 e^{it}) \langle f(r_2 e^{it}), e_j \rangle dt,$$

where

$$P(\phi(r_1 e^{i\theta}), r_2 e^{it}) = \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{r_2 e^{it} + \phi(r_1 e^{i\theta})}{r_2 e^{it} - \phi(r_1 e^{i\theta})} \right]$$

is the Poisson kernel. Since x^2 is a convex function, by Jensen's inequality, we have

$$|\langle f(\phi(r_1 e^{i\theta}), e_j \rangle|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P(\phi(r_1 e^{i\theta}), r_2 e^{it}) |\langle f(r_2 e^{it}), e_j \rangle|^2 dt.$$

Using Parseval's identity, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(\phi(r_1 e^{i\theta}))\|_X^2 &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |\langle f(\phi(r_1 e^{i\theta}), e_j \rangle|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P(\phi(r_1 e^{i\theta}), r_2 e^{it}) \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |\langle f(r_2 e^{it}), e_j \rangle|^2 dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P(\phi(r_1 e^{i\theta}), r_2 e^{it}) \|f(r_2 e^{it})\|_X^2 dt \end{aligned}$$

Integrating with respect to θ , using Fubini's theorem to interchange the order of integration in the double integral and well known property of Poisson kernel that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P(\phi(r_1 e^{i\theta}), r_2 e^{it}) d\theta = P(\phi(0), r_2 e^{it}) \leq \frac{r_2 + |\phi(0)|}{r_2 - |\phi(0)|},$$

we get

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \|f(\phi(r_1 e^{i\theta}))\|_X^2 d\theta \leq \frac{r_2 + |\phi(0)|}{r_2 - |\phi(0)|} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \|f(r_2 e^{it})\|_X^2 dt.$$

If $r_1 \rightarrow 1$, then $r_2 \rightarrow 1$, so that

$$\|C_\phi f\|_2^2 \leq \frac{1 + |\phi(0)|}{1 - |\phi(0)|} \|f\|_2^2.$$

This implies that

$$\|C_\phi\|^2 \leq \frac{1 + |\phi(0)|}{1 - |\phi(0)|},$$

hence the theorem. \blacksquare

We next present a necessary and sufficient condition for an operator A on $H_X^2(D)$ to be a composition operator.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let A be an operator on $H_X^2(D)$. Then A is a composition operator if and only if for each $z \in D$ there exists unique $w \in D$ such that $A^*k_z^j = k_w^j$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. If $A = C_\phi$, a composition operator, then

$$\begin{aligned} \ll f, A^*k_z^j \gg &= \ll C_\phi f, k_z^j \gg = E_z^j C_\phi f \\ &= E_{\phi(z)}^j C_\phi f = \ll f, k_{\phi(z)}^j \gg, \end{aligned}$$

for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Conversely, suppose that for each $z \in D$ there exists unique $w \in D$ such that $A^*k_z^j = k_w^j$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, if we define ϕ as $\phi(z) = w$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(z) &= \langle \phi(z)e_1, e_1 \rangle = E_w^1(e_{11}) \\ &= \ll e_{11}, A^*k_z^1 \gg = \ll Ae_{11}, k_z^1 \gg \\ &= E_z^1(Ae_{11}) = \langle (Ae_{11})(z), e_1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that ϕ is analytic and so, by [4, Theorem C, p. 76], $C_\phi f \in H_X^2(D)$, for every $f \in H_X^2(D)$.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \ll Af, k_z^j \gg &= \ll f, A^*k_z^j \gg = \ll f, k_{\phi(z)}^j \gg \\ &= E_{\phi(z)}^j(f) = E_z^j(C_\phi f) = \ll C_\phi f, k_z^j \gg \end{aligned}$$

for every $(z, j) \in D \times \mathbb{N}$ and every $f \in H_X^2(D)$. Since $[k_z^j : (z, j) \in D \times \mathbb{N}]$ is dense in $H_X^2(D)$, we conclude that $A = C_\phi$. ■

As an application of the above theorem, we obtain a lower bound for the norm of a composition operator.

COROLLARY 3.3. *If ϕ is an analytic self-map of the unit disc, then*

$$\sup_{z \in D} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |\phi(z)|^2} \leq \|C_\phi\|^2.$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.2

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |\phi(z)|^2} &= \frac{\|k_{\phi(z)}^j\|_2^2}{\|k_z^j\|_2^2} = \frac{\|C_\phi^* k_z^j\|_2^2}{\|k_z^j\|_2^2} \\ &\leq \|C_\phi^*\|^2 = \|C_\phi\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for every $(z, j) \in D \times \mathbb{N}$. This implies that

$$\sup_{z \in D} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |\phi(z)|^2} \leq \|C_\phi\|^2. \quad \blacksquare$$

4. NORMAL, UNITARY AND CO-ISOMETRIC COMPOSITION OPERATORS

In general, the adjoint of a composition operator may or may not be a composition operator. We give a necessary and sufficient condition on ϕ for which C_ϕ^* is also composition operator. The scalar-valued version of this result was proved by H.J. Schwartz [5] by using the technique of Fourier coefficients. Our method of proof is based on the generalized reproducing kernels.

THEOREM 4.1. C_ϕ^* , the adjoint of C_ϕ is a composition operator if and only if $\phi(z) = \alpha z$, $|\alpha| \leq 1$.

Proof. We first suppose that $\phi(z) = \alpha z$, $|\alpha| \leq 1$. Let $\psi(z) = \bar{\alpha}z$. Then clearly ψ is an analytic mapping from D into itself. We shall show that $C_\phi^* = C_\psi$. Let $(z, j) \in D \times \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (C_\phi^*)^* k_z^j(w) &= C_\phi k_z^j(w) = k_z^j(\phi(w)) \\ &= k_{\bar{\alpha}z}^j(w) = k_{\psi(z)}^j(w) \end{aligned}$$

for every $w \in D$, i.e., $(C_\phi^*)^* k_z^j = k_{\psi(z)}^j$. Hence, by Theorem 3.2, C_ϕ^* is a composition operator and $C_\phi^* = C_\psi$.

Conversely, suppose that $C_\phi^* = C_\psi$ for some ψ . Let $\phi(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ and $\psi(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$. Since $C_\phi^* = C_\psi$, we have for any j ,

$$\|C_\phi^* k_0^j\|_2^2 = \|C_\psi^* k_0^j\|_2^2 \Rightarrow \|k_{\phi(0)}^j\|_2^2 = 1 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{1 - |\phi(0)|^2} = 1 \Rightarrow \phi(0) = 0 \Rightarrow a_0 = 0$$

Similarly, we can show that $b_0 = 0$. Hence, for any integer k , the first k Fourier coefficients of ϕ^k and ψ^k are zero.

Now for $n \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} b_n &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \psi(e^{i\theta}) e^{-in\theta} d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \langle \psi(e^{i\theta}) e_1, e^{in\theta} e_1 \rangle d\theta \\ &= \langle\langle C_\psi e_{11}, e_{n1} \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle e_{11}, C_\phi e_{n1} \rangle\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \langle e^{i\theta} e_1, \phi^n e_1 \rangle d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \overline{\phi^n e^{-i\theta}} d\theta = \bar{a}_1 \delta_{n1}, \end{aligned}$$

where δ_{n1} is the Kronecker delta. Therefore $\psi(z) = \bar{a}_1 z$.

But $C_\psi^* = C_\phi$, so by first part of the theorem, we have $\phi(z) = a_1 z$. ■

In the next theorem we present a criterion for the normality of a composition operator on $H_X^2(D)$.

THEOREM 4.2. C_ϕ is normal if and only if $\phi(z) = \alpha z$, $|\alpha| \leq 1$.

Proof. Let $\phi(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$. We first suppose that C_ϕ is normal. Then $\|C_\phi^* f\|_2 = \|C_\phi f\|_2$, for every $f \in H_X^2(D)$.

In particular, taking $f = k_0^j$, we get $\phi(0) = 0$. Hence for any integer k , the first k Fourier coefficients of ϕ^k are zero. Since $\{e_{m,n} : m, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $H_X^2(D)$, by Parseval's identity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_\phi^* e_{11}\|_2^2 &= \sum_{m,n} |\langle\langle C_\phi^* e_{11}, e_{m,n} \rangle\rangle|^2 = \sum_{m,n} |\langle\langle e_{11}, C_\phi e_{m,n} \rangle\rangle|^2 \\ &= \sum_{m,n} \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \langle e^{i\theta} e_1, \phi^m e_n \rangle d\theta \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{m,n} \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \overline{e^{-i\theta} \phi^m} \langle e_1, e_n \rangle d\theta \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_m \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \overline{e^{-i\theta} \phi^m} d\theta \right|^2 = \sum_m |\bar{a}_1 \delta_{m1}|^2 = |a_1|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_\phi^* e_{11}\|_2^2 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \|e_{11}(\phi(e^{i\theta}))\|_X^2 d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\phi(e^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$|a_1|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 \Rightarrow a_n = 0 \text{ for } n \geq 2.$$

Hence $\phi(z) = a_1 z$.

Conversely, suppose that $\phi(z) = \alpha z$, $|\alpha| \leq 1$. Then by Theorem 4.1, $C_\phi^* = C_\psi$, where $\psi(z) = \bar{\alpha}z$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} C_\phi C_\psi f(z) &= C_\phi(f(\psi(z))) = C_\phi(f(\bar{\alpha}z)) \\ &= f(\alpha\bar{\alpha}z) = f(\psi(\alpha z)) \\ &= C_\psi f(\phi(z)) = C_\psi C_\phi f(z), \end{aligned}$$

for every $f \in H_X^2(D)$ and every $z \in D$. Hence $C_\phi C_\psi = C_\psi C_\phi$ and C_ϕ is normal. ■

THEOREM 4.3. C_ϕ is hermitian if and only if $\phi(z) = \alpha z$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|\alpha| \leq 1$.

Proof. If C_ϕ is hermitian, then it is normal and hence, by Theorem 4.2 $\phi(z) = \alpha z$, $|\alpha| \leq 1$. Also, by Theorem 4.1, $C_\phi^* = C_\psi$, where $\psi(z) = \bar{\alpha}z$. But $C_\phi^* = C_\phi$, so $\phi = \psi$, which implies that $\alpha = \bar{\alpha}$, i.e., α is real.

Conversely, we suppose that $\phi(z) = \alpha z$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|\alpha| \leq 1$. Then, by Theorem 4.1, $C_\phi^* = C_\psi$, where $\psi(z) = \bar{\alpha}z = \alpha z = \phi(z)$. Thus $C_\phi^* = C_\phi$, i.e., C_ϕ is hermitian. ■

THEOREM 4.4. C_ϕ is a unitary operator if and only if $\phi(z) = \alpha z$, $|\alpha| = 1$.

Proof. We first suppose that $\phi(z) = \alpha z$, $|\alpha| = 1$. Then, by Theorems 4.2 and 4.1, C_ϕ is normal and $C_\phi^* = C_\psi$, where $\psi(z) = \bar{\alpha}z$. Therefore,

$$C_\phi C_\phi^* f(z) = f(\psi(\phi(z))) = f(z),$$

for every $f \in H_X^2(D)$ and every $z \in D$, which implies $C_\phi C_\phi^* = I$, the identity operator. Hence, by normality of C_ϕ , we conclude that C_ϕ is unitary.

Conversely, suppose that C_ϕ is unitary. Then C_ϕ is normal. Hence by Theorem 4.2, $\phi(z) = \alpha z$, $|\alpha| \leq 1$. By Theorem 4.1, $C_\phi^* = C_\psi$, where $\psi(z) = \bar{\alpha}z$, and $C_\phi C_\phi^* = I$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} C_\phi C_\phi^* k_z^j &= k_z^j, \text{ for every } (z, j) \in D \times \mathbb{N} \\ &\Rightarrow k_z^j(\bar{\alpha}\alpha w) = k_z^j(w), \text{ for every } w \in D \\ &\Rightarrow \bar{\alpha}\alpha w = w, \text{ for every } w \in D \\ &\Rightarrow |\alpha| = 1 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\phi(z) = \alpha z$, $|\alpha| = 1$. ■

THEOREM 4.5. C_ϕ^* is an isometry if and only if $\phi(z) = \alpha z$, $|\alpha| = 1$.

Proof. If C_ϕ^* is an isometry, then $\|C_\phi^* f\|_2 = \|f\|_2$, for every $f \in H_X^2(D)$. In particular, taking $f = k_z^j$ and using Theorem 3.2, we obtain $\|k_{\phi(z)}^j\|_2^2 = \|k_z^j\|_2^2$. So

$$\frac{1}{1 - |\phi(z)|^2} = \frac{1}{1 - |z|^2}.$$

This implies that $\phi(z) = \alpha z$, $|\alpha| = 1$.

Conversely, if $\phi(z) = \alpha z$, $|\alpha| = 1$, then, by Theorem 4.1, $C_\phi^* = C_\psi$, where $\psi(z) = \bar{\alpha}z$. Thus, if $f \in H_X^2(D)$ and $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_\phi^* f\|_2^2 &= \|C_\psi f\|_2^2 \quad \text{for every } f \in H_X^2(D) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|a_n\|_X^2 = \|f\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence C_ϕ^* is an isometry. ■

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to thank Professor R.K. Singh for his enthusiastic encouragement throughout the preparation of this paper. They are also obliged to the referee for his comments and suggestions which sharpened and improved some of the results of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] COWEN, C.C., MACCLUER, B.D., "Composition Operators on Spaces of Analytic Functions", CRC Press Boca Raton, New York, 1995.
- [2] DUREN, P.L., "Theory of H^p Spaces", Academic Press, New York, 1970.
- [3] NORDGREN, E.A., Composition operators on Hilbert spaces, Hilbert space operators, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 693, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1978, 37–63.
- [4] ROSENBLUM, M., ROVNYAK, J., "Hardy Classes and Operator Theory", Oxford University Press, 1985.
- [5] SCHWARTZ, H.J., "Composition Operators on H^p ", Thesis, University of Toledo, Toledo, USA, 1969.
- [6] SHAPIRO, J.H., TAYLOR, P.D., Compact, nuclear and Hilbert-Schmidt composition operators on H^p , *Indiana University Math. J.*, **23** (1973), 471–496.