

Note on some Integral Volterra Equations ¹

W. OKRASINSKI

*Institute of Mathematics, University of Wrocław, Pl. Grunwaldzki 2/4,
50–384 Wrocław, Poland and Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad
de Extremadura, Avda. de Elvas s/n, 06071 Badajoz, Spain*

AMS Subject Class. (1991): 45D05, 45E10.

Received May 24, 1993

1. INTRODUCTION

The nonlinear Volterra equation

$$(1.1) \quad u(x) = \int_0^x k(x-s)g(u(s))ds, \quad x \geq 0,$$

has been studied recently with connection to some problems in nonlinear diffusion and shock-wave propagation. In these problems the kernel k is nonnegative and g is an increasing continuous function such that $g(0) = 0$. Obviously, $u \equiv 0$ is the trivial solution to (1.1). From a physical point of view, however, it is especially interesting to know when (1.1) has a nontrivial solution, i.e., continuous function u such that $u(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$. During the last few years some papers concerning the existence of nontrivial solutions have been written (see the list of references). All those papers have as a background Gripenberg's paper [9]. In that paper Gripenberg generalized the famous Osgood condition for integral equation of the type (1.1). This integral condition can be applied to wider classes of kernels k and nonlinearities g ([5], [6], [7], [9], [10], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15]). However there are conditions for the existence of nontrivial solutions which have not got an integral form ([8], [16], [17], [19]). In [16] they have the form of function series. To obtain these conditions it is necessary to assume that the kernel k is an integrable function such that $k > 0$ a.e. and g is a strictly increasing absolutely continuous function such that $g(0) = 0$ and $u/g(u) \rightarrow 0$ as $u \rightarrow 0+$.

In this paper we want to show that conditions presented in [16] can be generalized to wider classes of kernels k and nonlinearities g .

¹ This work was supported by KBN grant no. 2 1018 91 01.

2. STATEMENT OF RESULTS

We shall study equation (1.1) assuming that

- (k) $k : [0, \delta] \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$, $\delta > 0$, is an integrable function such that $K(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$, where $K(x) \equiv \int_0^x k(s) ds$.
- (g) $g : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is a nondecreasing continuous function such that $g(0) = 0$, $g(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$ and $u/g(u) \rightarrow 0$ as $u \rightarrow 0+$.

If f is a continuous nondecreasing continuous function then we can define

$$f_l^{-1}(y) \equiv \min\{x : f(x) = y\} \quad \text{and} \quad f_r^{-1}(y) \equiv \max\{x : f(x) = y\}.$$

For a given function h we define the sequence of functions h^n , $n = 0, 1, \dots$, as follows: $h^0(x) = x$, $h^{n+1} = h^n \circ h$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$.

We formulate the following necessary condition

THEOREM 2.1. *Let (k) and (g) be satisfied. Let ψ be a continuous function such that $\psi(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$ and $\overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow 0+} \{g(x)/\psi(x)\} < 1$. If equation (1.1) has a nontrivial strictly increasing solution on an interval, then the series*

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} K_l^{-1}((g_r^{-1})^n(x)/\psi((g_r^{-1})^n(x)))$$

is convergent on $[0, \delta_0]$, $\delta_0 > 0$.

Moreover, the following sufficient condition holds.

THEOREM 2.2. *Let (k) and (g) be satisfied. Let ϕ be a continuous nondecreasing function on $[0, \delta_0]$, $\delta_0 > 0$, such that $0 < \phi(x) < g(x)$ for $x \in (0, \delta_0]$ and $x/\phi(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow 0+$. If the series*

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} K_l^{-1}((g_l^{-1} \circ \phi)^n(x)/\phi((g_l^{-1} \circ \phi)^n(x)))$$

is convergent at $x_0 \in (0, \delta_0]$, then equation (1.1) has a nontrivial solution on some interval.

3. SOME PRELIMINARIES

On the basis of results presented in [5] we know:

PROPOSITION 3.1. *If equation (1.1) has a nontrivial solution then it is nondecreasing function.*

An integration by parts gives the following:

PROPOSITION 3.2. *If u is a nontrivial solution to (1.1) then u is an absolutely continuous function such that*

$$u(x) = \int_0^x K(x-s) d(g \circ u)(s)$$

on $[0, \delta]$, $\delta > 0$.

We can formulate the corollary.

COROLLARY 3.1. *Let (k) and (g) be satisfied. Let $k > 0$ a.e. or g is strictly increasing. If (1.1) has a nontrivial solution then u is strictly increasing.*

Remark 3.1. For every $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ the equation

$$(3.1) \quad u_\epsilon(x) = \epsilon x + \int_0^x k(x-s) g(u(s)) ds$$

has a unique strictly increasing absolutely continuous solution u_ϵ on an interval $[0, \delta_1]$, where $\delta_1 > 0$ is independent of ϵ . Moreover, $u_{\epsilon_1} \leq u_{\epsilon_2}$ for $\epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon_2$ (see [16]).

We can prove the following lemma:

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $\epsilon \in [0, 1)$. If u_ϵ is the nontrivial strictly increasing solution to (3.1) then the inverse function u_ϵ^{-1} satisfies the equation*

$$(3.2) \quad x = \epsilon u_\epsilon^{-1}(x) + \int_0^x K(u_\epsilon^{-1}(x) - u_\epsilon^{-1}(s)) dg(s)$$

for $x \in [0, u_\epsilon^{-1}(\delta_1)]$.

Proof. Let $\epsilon \geq 0$ and u_ϵ be the solution to (3.1) mentioned in Corollary 3.1 and Remark 3.1. Since u_ϵ is absolutely continuous and strictly increasing then

$$u_\epsilon(x) = \epsilon x + \int_0^{u_\epsilon(x)} k(x - u_\epsilon^{-1}(s)) (u_\epsilon^{-1}(s))' g(s) ds.$$

Integrating by parts we obtain

$$u_\epsilon(x) = \epsilon x + \int_0^{u_\epsilon(x)} K(x - u_\epsilon^{-1}(s)) dg(s).$$

Substituting $u_\epsilon^{-1}(x)$ for x gives (3.2). ■

Remark 3.2. The function $G_\epsilon(x, s) \equiv K(u_\epsilon^{-1}(x) - u_\epsilon^{-1}(s))$ is decreasing with respect to s . Moreover, $G_\epsilon(x, 0) \equiv K(u_\epsilon^{-1}(x))$ and $G_\epsilon(x, x) = 0$.

4. PROOF OF THE NECESSARY CONDITION

First we prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let ψ be a continuous function such that $\psi(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$ and $\overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \{g(x)/\psi(x)\} < 1$. If equation (1.1) has the nontrivial strictly increasing solution u_0 , then*

$$(4.1) \quad u_0^{-1}(x) \geq K_1^{-1}(x/\psi(x)) + u_0^{-1}(g_r^{-1}(x))$$

on an interval $[0, \delta_0]$, $\delta_0 > 0$.

Proof. Let us note that for the proof of (4.1) it is sufficient to show that

$$(4.2) \quad G_0(x, g_r^{-1}(x)) \geq x/\psi(x)$$

for $x \in [0, \delta_0]$ ($\delta_0 > 0$). Suppose (4.2) does not hold. Hence there exists a sequence $x_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$(4.3) \quad G_0(x_n, g_r^{-1}(x_n)) < x_n/\psi(x_n).$$

From (3.2) we obtain

$$(4.4) \quad x_n = \int_0^{g_r^{-1}(x_n)} G_0(x_n, s) dg(s) + \int_{g_r^{-1}(x_n)}^{x_n} G_0(x_n, s) dg(s).$$

Since G_0 is decreasing with respect to s , we get

$$(4.5) \quad x_n \leq K(u_0^{-1}(x_n))g(g_r^{-1}(x_n)) + g(x_n)G_0(x_n, g_r^{-1}(x_n)).$$

By (4.3) and (4.5) we obtain

$$x_n \leq K(u_0^{-1}(x_n))x_n + x_n g(x_n)/\psi(x_n).$$

From the last inequality we get

$$(4.6) \quad 1 \leq K(u_0^{-1}(x_n)) + g(x_n)/\psi(x_n).$$

Since $\overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \{g(x)/\psi(x)\} < 1$ then $g(x)/\psi(x) < 1 - \eta$ ($\eta \in (0, 1)$) for $x > 0$ sufficiently small. Hence we have

$$(4.7) \quad 1 \leq K(u_0^{-1}(x_n)) + 1 - \eta$$

for $n \geq n_0$. If $n \rightarrow \infty$ then $K(u_0^{-1}(x_n)) \rightarrow 0$. From (4.7) we get contradiction. ■

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let ψ satisfy the assumptions of the theorem. If u_0 is the strictly increasing nontrivial solution to (1.1) then inequality (4.1) holds.

We can iterate (4.1). After n iterations we get

$$(4.8) \quad u_{\bar{0}}^{-1}(x) \geq \sum_{i=0}^n K_1^{-1}((g_r^{-1})^i(x)/\psi((g_r^{-1})^i(x))) + u_{\bar{0}}^{-1}((g_r^{-1})^{n+1}(x))$$

on $[0, \delta_0]$. Without loss of generality we can assume $g_r^{-1}(x) < x$ on $(0, \delta_0]$. If $n \rightarrow \infty$ then from (4.8) we get (2.1). ■

5. PROOF OF THE SUFFICIENT CONDITION

The following lemma is true:

LEMMA 5.1. *Let ϕ be a nondecreasing continuous function on $[0, \delta_0]$, $\delta_0 > 0$, such that $x < \phi(x) < g(x)$ for $x \in (0, \delta_0]$ and $x/\phi(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow 0+$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. If u_ϵ is the solution to (3.1) then*

$$(5.1) \quad u_\epsilon^{-1}(x) \leq K_r^{-1}(x/\phi(x)) + u_\epsilon^{-1}((g_1^{-1} \circ \phi)(x))$$

for $x \in [0, u_\epsilon(\delta_1)]$ ($u_\epsilon(\delta_1) < \delta_0$ for $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$).

Proof. On the basis of (3.2) we get

$$(5.2) \quad x \geq \int_0^{(g_1^{-1} \circ \phi)(x)} G_\epsilon(x, s) dg(s).$$

By Remark 3.2 and properties of ϕ we obtain

$$(5.3) \quad \int_0^{(g_1^{-1} \circ \phi)(x)} G_\epsilon(x, s) dg(s) \geq \phi(x) G_\epsilon(x, (g_1^{-1} \circ \phi)(x)).$$

Using (5.2) and (5.3) gives

$$(5.4) \quad x/\phi(x) \geq G_\epsilon(x, (g_1^{-1} \circ \phi)(x)).$$

From (5.4) we obtain (5.1). ■

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Let ϕ be given on $[0, \delta_0]$. Let $\{u_\epsilon : \epsilon \in (0, 1)\}$ denote the family of solutions to (3.1) on $[0, \delta_1]$ mentioned in Remark 3.1. Fixing ϵ we can iterate the inequality (5.1). After n iterations we get

$$(5.5) \quad u_\epsilon^{-1}(x) \leq \sum_{i=0}^n K_r^{-1}((g_1^{-1} \circ \phi)^i(x)/\phi((g_1^{-1} \circ \phi)^i(x))) + u_\epsilon^{-1}((g_1^{-1} \circ \phi)^{n+1}(x))$$

on $[0, u_\epsilon(\delta_1)]$. Since $(g_1^{-1} \circ \phi)(x) < x$ for $x > 0$ then using similar arguments as in [16] we get

$$(5.6) \quad u_\epsilon^{-1}(x) \leq F(x)$$

on $[0, u_\epsilon(\delta_1)]$, where

$$F(x) \equiv \sum_{i=0}^n K_r^{-1} \left((g_1^{-1} \circ \phi)^n(x) / \phi(g_1^{-1} \circ \phi)^n(x) \right)$$

is well defined for $x \leq x_0$ (see [17]). Let us note that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} F(x) = 0$. We can find a strictly increasing continuous function \bar{F} such that $F \leq \bar{F}$. Hence we get

$$(5.7) \quad u_\epsilon(x) \leq \bar{F}^{-1}(x)$$

for $x \in [0, \delta_1]$, where \bar{F}^{-1} is the inverse function to \bar{F} . If $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ then the sequence u_ϵ tends to nontrivial solution u of (1.1) because $u \geq \bar{F}^{-1}$ on $[0, \delta_1]$ (for details see [16]). The theorem is proved. ■

REFERENCES

1. ASKHABOV, S.N., Integral equations of convolution type with power nonlinearity, *Coll. Math.* **62** (1991), 49–65.
2. ASKHABOV, S.N. AND BETILGIRIEV, M.A., Nonlinear convolution type equations, Seminar Analysis, Operator Equat. and Numer. Anal. 1989/90, Karl-Weierstrass-Institut für Mathematik, Berlin 1990, 1–30.
3. ASKHABOV, S.N. AND BETILGIRIEV, M.A., A-priori estimates for the solutions of a class of nonlinear convolution equations, *Z. Anal. Anw.* **10** (1991), 201–204.
4. ASKHABOV, S.N., KARAPETYANTS, N.K. AND YAKUBOV, A.YA., Integral equations with a power nonlinearity and their systems, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **41** (1990), 323–327.
5. BUSHELL, P.J. AND OKRASINSKI, W., Uniqueness of solutions for a class of nonlinear Volterra integral equations with convolution kernel, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **106** (1989), 547–552.
6. BUSHELL, P.J. AND OKRASINSKI, W., Nonlinear Volterra integral equation with convolution kernel, *J. London Math. Soc.* **41** (1990), 503–510.
7. BUSHELL, P.J. AND OKRASINSKI, W., Nonlinear Volterra integral equations and the Apéry identities, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **24** (1992), 478–484.
8. CASTILLO, J.M.F. AND OKRASINSKI, W., Boyd index and nonlinear Volterra integral equations, *Nonlinear Analysis-TMA* **20** (1993), 721–732.
9. GRIPENBERG, G., Unique solutions of some Volterra integral equations, *Math. Scand.* **48** (1981), 59–67.
10. GRIPENBERG, G., On the uniqueness of solutions of Volterra equations, *J. Integral Eq. Appl.* **2** (1990), 421–430.
11. GRIPENBERG, G., LONDEN, S.O. AND STAFFANS, O., Volterra Integral and Functional Equations, in “Encyclopaedia of Mathematics and its Applications”, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1990.
12. MYDLARCZYK, W., Remarks on a nonlinear Volterra equation, *Annal. Polon. Math.* **53** (1991), 227–232.
13. MYDLARCZYK, W., The existence of nontrivial solutions of Volterra equations, *Math. Scand.* **68** (1991), 83–88.
14. OKRASINSKI, W., Remarks on nontrivial solutions of a Volterra integral equation, *Math. Meth. in the Appl. Sci.* **13** (1990), 273–279.

15. OKRASINSKI, W., Nontrivial solutions to nonlinear Volterra integral equations, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **22**(4) (1991), 1007–1015.
16. OKRASINSKI, W., Nontrivial solutions for a class of nonlinear Volterra equations with convolution kernel, *J. Integral Eq. Appl.* **3**(3) (1991), 399–409.
17. OKRASINSKI, W., Note on kernels to some nonlinear Volterra integral equations, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **24** (1992), 373–376.
18. SZWARC, R., Nonlinear integral inequalities of the Volterra type, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **112** (1992), 599–608.
19. SZWARC, R., Attraction principle for nonlinear integral operators of the Volterra type, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **170** (1992), 449–456.