

## The support theorem for the complex Radon transform of distributions

A.B. SEKERIN

*The Orel State University, Komsomolskaya 95, Orel, 302026, Russia*

E-mail: sekerin@orel.ru

Received December 1, 2003. Revised June 19, 2004

### ABSTRACT

The complex Radon transform  $\hat{F}$  of a rapidly decreasing distribution  $F \in \mathcal{O}'_C(\mathbb{C}^n)$  is considered. A compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  is called linearly convex if the set  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus K$  is a union of complex hyperplanes. Let  $\hat{K}$  denote the set of complex hyperplanes which meet  $K$ . The main result of the paper establishes the conditions on a linearly convex compact  $K$  under which the support theorem for the complex Radon transform is true: from the relation  $\text{supp}(\hat{F}) \subset \hat{K}$  it follows that  $F \in \mathcal{O}'_C(\mathbb{C}^n)$  is compactly supported and  $\text{supp}(F) \subset K$ .

If  $f$  is the function defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  ( $\mathbb{C}^n$ ), the classical real (complex) Radon transform  $Rf$  of  $f$  is the function defined on hyperplanes; the value of  $Rf$  at a given hyperplane is the integral of  $f$  over that hyperplane. For the theory of the Radon transform we refer to J. Radon [11], F. John [6], [7], I.M.Gel'fand, M.I.Graev, and N.Ya. Vilenkin [1], S. Helgason [2], [3], D. Ludwig [8], A. Hertle [4]. One of the basic results on the classical Radon transform is Helgason's support theorem [2]: A rapidly decreasing function must vanish outside a ball if its real Radon transform does. This theorem holds for every convex compact set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and remains valid for rapidly decreasing distributions [4].

In the present paper we prove the support theorem for the complex Radon transform of distributions.

**Notations.** For  $z, w \in \mathbb{C}^n$  we write  $\langle z, w \rangle = \sum z_j w_j$ .  $B^n(z, R) := \{w \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid |w - z| < R\}$  denotes the euclidean ball of center  $z$  and radius  $r$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . If  $X$  is a set, we

---

*Keywords:* The Radon transform, complex variables, spaces of distributions.

*MSC2000:* Primary 44A12, Secondary 46F10, 46F12, 30E99.

The author gratefully acknowledges support of the Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst (DAAD) for the visit at Mathematisches Institut der Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf, where this research was carried out.

denote by  $\bar{X}$  the closure of  $X$ . The standard Lebesgue measure in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is  $d\omega_{2n}$ .  $S^{2n-1}$  denotes the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , and  $d\sigma$  is the area element on  $S^{2n-1}$ . For  $n$ -tuples  $p = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$  and  $q = (q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n)$  of non-negative integers, we denote by  $\partial^p \bar{\partial}^q$  the partial derivative

$$\frac{\partial^{|p|+|q|}}{\partial z_1^{p_1} \dots \partial z_n^{p_n} \partial \bar{z}_1^{q_1} \dots \partial \bar{z}_n^{q_n}}$$

of order  $|p| + |q| = p_1 + \dots + p_n + q_1 + \dots + q_n$ . Similarly, for  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$  we write  $z^p = z_1^{p_1} \dots z_n^{p_n}$ ,  $\bar{z}^q = \bar{z}_1^{q_1} \dots \bar{z}_n^{q_n}$ . For a domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{S}(\Omega)$ ,  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ , and  $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  the spaces of rapidly decreasing  $C^\infty$  functions,  $C^\infty$  functions with compact support, and  $C^\infty$  functions, respectively. The dual spaces  $\mathcal{S}'(\Omega)$ ,  $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ , and  $\mathcal{E}'(\Omega)$  are the spaces of tempered distributions, distributions, and distributions with compact support, respectively.

If  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ , the standard complex Radon transform of  $\varphi$  (denoted by  $\hat{\varphi}$ ) is defined by

$$(1) \quad \hat{\varphi}(\xi, s) = \frac{1}{|\xi|^2} \int_{\langle z, \xi \rangle = s} \varphi(z) d\lambda(z),$$

where  $(\xi, s) \in (\mathbb{C}^n \setminus 0) \times \mathbb{C}$ , and  $d\lambda(z)$  is the area element on the hyperplane  $\{z : \langle z, \xi \rangle = s\}$ . For a set  $A \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ , we denote by  $\hat{A}$  the set of all  $(\xi, s) \in (\mathbb{C}^n \setminus 0) \times \mathbb{C}$  such that the hyperplane  $\{z : \langle z, \xi \rangle = s\}$  meets  $A$ . A set  $A \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  is called linearly convex if, for every  $w \notin A$ , there is a complex hyperplane  $\{z : \langle z, \xi \rangle = s\}$  which contains  $w$  and does not meet  $A$  (see Martineau [9]).

We use the approach of Gelfand et al. [1] to introduce the complex Radon transform of distributions. Let  $X = S^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{C}$ , and let  $\mathcal{E}(X)$  be the set of complex-valued functions  $\varphi(w, s)$  on  $S^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{C}$  which satisfy the following conditions:

- (a) Functions  $\varphi(w, s)$  are infinitely differentiable with respect to  $s$ .
- (b) For all  $p, q \geq 0$  the derivatives

$$\frac{\partial^{p+q} \varphi(w, s)}{\partial s^p \partial \bar{s}^q}$$

are continuous on  $S^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{C}$ .

- (c)  $\varphi(we^{i\theta}, se^{i\theta}) = \varphi(w, s)$  for all  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ .

We give  $\mathcal{E}(X)$  the topology defined by the system of seminorms

$$q_k(f) = \max_{k_1+k_2 \leq k} \max_{|s| \leq k} \max_{w \in S^{2n-1}} \left| \frac{\partial^{k_1+k_2} f(w, s)}{\partial s^{k_1} \partial \bar{s}^{k_2}} \right|.$$

By  $\mathcal{D}(X)$  we denote the space of all compactly supported functions in  $\mathcal{E}(X)$ . We give  $\mathcal{D}(X)$  the standard topology of the inductive limit of the spaces

$$\mathcal{D}_m = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{E}(X) : \text{supp}(\varphi) \subset S^{2n-1} \times \{|s| \leq m\}\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{RD}(X)$  be the subspace of  $\mathcal{D}(X)$  formed by the Radon transforms  $\hat{\varphi}$  of functions in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  (the equality  $\hat{\varphi}(we^{i\theta}, se^{i\theta}) \equiv \hat{\varphi}(w, s)$  follows for  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  from the definition of  $\hat{\varphi}$ ). Similarly, we define the subspace  $\mathcal{RS}(X)$  of  $\mathcal{S}(X)$ .

The dual Radon transform is the operator  $R^* : \mathcal{E}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  given by

$$[R^*(f)](z) = \int_{S^{2n-1}} f(w, \langle z, w \rangle) d\sigma(w).$$

It is easy to see that the operator  $R^*$  is continuous. It follows from the definition of the Radon transform that

$$(2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} [R^*(f)](z)\varphi(z) d\omega_{2n}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \int_{S^{2n-1}} f(w, s)\hat{\varphi}(w, s) d\sigma(w)d\omega_2(s)$$

for every function  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ .

Let  $M_{\mathcal{D}}$  be the subspace of  $\mathcal{D}(X)$  formed by the functions

$$(3) \quad \psi(w, s) = \frac{\partial^{2n-2}\hat{\varphi}(w, s)}{\partial s^{n-1}\partial \bar{s}^{n-1}}, \quad \hat{\varphi} \in R\mathcal{D}(X).$$

We give  $M_{\mathcal{D}}$  the topology induced from  $\mathcal{D}(X)$ .

DEFINITION 1. Let  $F \in \mathcal{D}'$ . The Radon transform  $RF$  of  $F$  is the functional on  $M_{\mathcal{D}}$  given by

$$(4) \quad \langle RF, \psi \rangle = \langle F, R^*\psi \rangle.$$

For every function  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  the following inversion formula holds [1, p. 118]:

$$(5) \quad \varphi(z) = (-1)^{n-1}c_n R^* \left( \frac{\partial^{2n-2}\hat{\varphi}(w, s)}{\partial s^{n-1}\partial \bar{s}^{n-1}} \right),$$

where  $\hat{\varphi}(w, s)$  is the Radon transform of  $\varphi$ , and  $c_n > 0$ . It follows from the inversion formula (5) that for each function  $\psi \in M_{\mathcal{D}}$  the function  $R^*(\psi)(z)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . Therefore the functional  $RF$  is well defined.

DEFINITION 2. We say that the Radon transform  $RF$  of a distribution  $F \in \mathcal{D}'$  is defined as a distribution if the functional  $RF$  given by (4) can be extended to a continuous functional on  $\mathcal{D}(X)$ .

It has been shown in [4] that there are distributions in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  whose real Radon transforms are not defined as distributions. It is natural to suppose that there are such examples in the case of the complex Radon transform. If the distribution  $F$  is given by the function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ , then it follows from (5) and (2) that the Radon transform  $RF$  is defined as a distribution and it is given by the function  $\hat{f}(w, s)$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{O}'_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  the space of rapidly decreasing distributions [5, p. 419]. A distribution  $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{C}^n)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{O}'_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  if and only if for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  the distribution  $(1 + |x|^2)^k T$  is integrable; i.e.,

$$(6) \quad (1 + |x|^2)^k T = \sum_{|p|+|q|\leq m(k)} \partial^p \bar{\partial}^q \mu_{pq}(k),$$

where  $m(k) \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\{\mu_{pq}\}(k)$  is a finite family of bounded measures on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . In particular, every distribution with compact support is rapidly decreasing.

Let  $T \in \mathcal{O}'_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . We show that equality (4) defines the extension of the Radon transform  $RT$  to a continuous linear functional on  $\mathcal{D}(X)$ . Let  $h(w, s) \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  be such that  $|h(w, s)| \leq 1$ . There is  $R > 0$  such that  $h(w, s) = 0$  for  $|s| \geq R$ , and we have

$$(7) \quad |[R^*h](z)| \leq \int_{S^{2n-1}} |h(w, \langle z, w \rangle)| d\sigma(w) \leq \int_{|\langle z, w \rangle| \leq R} d\sigma(w) \leq d_n \max \left( 1, \frac{R^2}{|z|^2} \right),$$

where  $d_n > 0$ . Suppose that the sequence  $\{h_N(w, s)\}$  in  $\mathcal{D}(X)$  converges to 0. Then, for every multi-indices  $p$  and  $q$ , we have

$$(8) \quad \partial^p \bar{\partial}^q [R^*(h_N)](z) = \int_{S^{2n-1}} \frac{\partial^{|p|+|q|}}{\partial s^{|p|} \partial \bar{s}^{|q|}} h_N(w, \langle z, w \rangle) w^p \bar{w}^q d\sigma(w).$$

There exists  $R > 0$  such that  $\text{supp}(h_N) \subset S^{2n-1} \times \{s : |s| \leq R\}$  for all  $N$ . Then it follows from (7) and (8) that

$$(9) \quad |\partial^p \bar{\partial}^q [R^*(h_N)](z)| \leq d_n \max \left( 1, \frac{R^2}{|z|^2} \right) \max_{w,s} \left| \frac{\partial^{|p|+|q|}}{\partial s^{|p|} \partial \bar{s}^{|q|}} h_N(w, s) \right|.$$

This means that the functions  $[R^*(h_N)](z)$ , together with derivatives of all orders, vanish at infinity. By the definition of the topology of  $\mathcal{D}(X)$  we have

$$(10) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \max_{w,s} \left| \frac{\partial^{|p|+|q|}}{\partial s^{|p|} \partial \bar{s}^{|q|}} h_N(w, s) \right| = 0.$$

We set  $k = 0$  in (6). Then we obtain from (6) and (4) that

$$\langle RT, h_N \rangle = \langle T, [R^*h_N] \rangle = \sum_{|p|+|q| \leq m} (-1)^{|p|+|q|} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \partial^p \bar{\partial}^q [R^*h_N](z) d\mu_{pq}(z).$$

Since the measures  $\mu_{pq}$  are bounded, it follows from (9) and (10) that  $\langle RT, h_N \rangle \rightarrow 0$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus, for every  $T \in \mathcal{O}'_C(\mathbb{C}^n)$ , the functional  $RT$  is well-defined and continuous on  $\mathcal{D}(X)$ .

**Theorem 1**

Let  $T \in \mathcal{O}'_C(\mathbb{C}^n)$  and let  $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a linearly convex compact set. Suppose that for every  $z \notin K$  there exists a hyperplane  $P = \{\lambda : \langle \lambda, w_0 \rangle = s_0\}$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $P$  contains  $z$ .
- (ii)  $P$  does not meet  $K$ .
- (iii) The set  $\mathbb{C} \setminus K_{w_0}$  is connected, where  $K_{w_0} = \{\langle \lambda, w_0 \rangle\}_{\lambda \in K}$  is the projection of  $K$  on  $w_0$ . Then  $T$  has support in  $K$  if and only if its Radon transform  $RT$  has support in  $\hat{K}$ .

E.T. Quinto [10] has proved the following theorem<sup>1</sup>

**Theorem 2**

Assume the Radon transform  $R$  on complex hyperplanes has a nowhere zero real analytic weight. Let  $A$  be an open connected set of complex hyperplanes. Let  $f \in$

<sup>1</sup> The author's thanks are due to my referee who referred to Quito's article.

$\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{C}^n)$  with  $Rf(H) = 0$  for all  $H \in A$  and assume for some  $H_0 \in A$ ,  $H_0$  is disjoint from  $\text{supp} f$ . Then, for all  $H \in A$ ,  $H$  is disjoint from  $\text{supp} f$ .

If under the hypotheses and notation of Theorem 1 the distribution  $T$  belongs to  $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{C}^n)$ , then the proof of Theorem 1 can be reduced to the Theorem 2. The proof of Theorem 1 is based on the reducing to the case of compactly supported distributions (we use the special case of Theorem 2 which was proved by the author [13] independently of Quinto’s result.) As usual we use the properties of the convolution  $\text{supp} T * \alpha_\varepsilon$  of  $T$  and smooth compactly supported functions  $\alpha_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{D}(B^n(0, \varepsilon))$ . The difficulty is that the compact set

$$K_\varepsilon = \bigcup_{z \in K} \bar{B}^n(z, \varepsilon)$$

may not satisfy the condition (iii) of Theorem 1 which is essential [13]. However it can be shown that  $\text{supp} (T * \alpha_\varepsilon) \subset \bar{K}_\varepsilon$ , where  $\bar{K}_\varepsilon$  is the smallest compact set which contains  $K_\varepsilon$  and satisfies the condition (iii) of Theorem 1. Therefore we have to show that the sets  $\bar{K}_\varepsilon$  are correctly defined and  $\bar{K}_\varepsilon \rightarrow K$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^2$ . However, for our purpose, it is enough to prove a “weak” version of this assertion (Lemma 2 below).

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Suppose that  $T \in \mathcal{O}'_C(\mathbb{C}^n)$  has support in  $K$ . Then  $T \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . Let  $h(w, s) \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  be such that  $\text{supp}(h) \subset X \setminus \hat{K}$ . If  $z \in K$ , then the point  $(w, \langle z, w \rangle)$  belongs to  $\hat{K}$  for every  $w \in S^{2n-1}$ . Therefore the functions

$$[R^*h](z) = \int_{S^{2n-1}} h(w, \langle z, w \rangle) d\sigma(w),$$

$$\partial^p \bar{\partial}^q [R^*(h)](z) = \int_{S^{2n-1}} \frac{\partial^{|p|+|q|}}{\partial s^{|p|} \partial \bar{s}^{|q|}} h(w, \langle z, w \rangle) w^p \bar{w}^q d\sigma(w)$$

vanish on  $K$ . So  $[R^*h](z)$  is an infinitely differentiable function which, together with derivatives of all orders, vanishes on the support of the distribution  $T$ . Then we have  $\langle T, R^*h \rangle = 0$ . Thus, for each  $h \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  with  $\text{supp}(h) \in X \setminus \hat{K}$  we have  $\langle RT, h \rangle = \langle T, [R^*h] \rangle = 0$ . This means that  $\text{supp}(RT) \subset \hat{K}$ .

Before proving the second statement of Theorem 1, we have to show that the dual Radon transform and the convolution operation commute:

**Lemma 1**

Let  $\varphi(z) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . Then for every  $\psi(w, s) \in \mathcal{E}(X)$  the following formula holds:

$$\varphi * [R^*\psi] = R^*[\hat{\varphi} *_s \psi],$$

where  $\hat{\varphi}(w, s)$  is the Radon transform of  $\varphi$ , and  $*_s$  denotes the convolution with respect to the second variable  $s$ .

---

<sup>2</sup> The idea to introduce the sets  $\bar{K}_\varepsilon$  was proposed by the referee of this article.

*Proof.* For every function  $\alpha(z) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  we have

$$(11) \quad \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} (\varphi * [R^* \psi])(z) \alpha(z) d\omega_{2n}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} [R^* \psi](z) (\alpha * \varphi_1)(z) d\omega_{2n}(z),$$

where  $\varphi_1(z) = \varphi(-z)$ . Let  $J$  be the integral on the right-hand side of (11). It follows from (2) that

$$J = \int_{S^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{C}} \psi(w, s) \widehat{\alpha * \varphi_1}(w, s) d\sigma(w) d\omega_2(s),$$

where  $\widehat{\alpha * \varphi_1}(w, s)$  is the Radon transform of the convolution  $\alpha * \varphi$ . We have [1, p.p. 116-117]

$$\widehat{\alpha * \varphi_1}(w, s) = (\hat{\alpha} *_s \hat{\varphi}_1)(w, s), \quad \hat{\varphi}_1(w, s) = \hat{\varphi}(-w, s) = \hat{\varphi}(w, -s).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} J &= \int_{S^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{C}} \psi(w, s) (\hat{\alpha} *_s \hat{\varphi}_1)(w, s) d\sigma(w) d\omega_2(s) \\ &= \int_{S^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{C}} (\psi *_s \hat{\varphi})(w, s) \hat{\alpha}(w, s) d\sigma(w) d\omega_2(s). \end{aligned}$$

In view of (2), we have

$$J = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} R^*[\varphi *_s \psi](z) \alpha(z) d\omega_{2n}(z).$$

Then it follows from (11) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \{(\varphi * [R^* \psi])(z) - R^*[\varphi *_s \psi](z)\} \alpha(z) d\omega_{2n}(z) = 0$$

for every  $\alpha(z) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . Therefore  $(\varphi * [R^* \psi])(z) \equiv R^*[\varphi *_s \psi](z)$ . The lemma is proved.  $\square$

Now suppose that the support of the Radon transform  $RT$  of a distribution  $T \in \mathcal{O}'_C(\mathbb{C}^n)$  is contained in  $\hat{K}$ . Let  $\{\alpha_m(z)\}_{m=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of smooth functions on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with  $\text{supp}(\alpha_m) \subset \{z : |z| \leq 1/m\}$  that converges in the space of measures to the delta function at the origin. We assume that the functions  $\alpha_m(z)$  are even, i.e.,  $\alpha_m(-z) = \alpha_m(z)$ . We set  $T_m = T * \alpha_m$ . Then the function  $T_m(z)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  [12, p. 244], and  $T_m \rightarrow T$  in  $\mathcal{O}'_C(\mathbb{C}^n)$  [4]. Denote by  $K_m$  the compact set

$$K_m = \bigcup_{z \in K} \bar{B}^n(z, 1/m).$$

Let  $\hat{T}_m(w, s)$  be the Radon transform of  $T_m(z)$ . We show that  $\text{supp}(\hat{T}_m) \subset \hat{K}_m$ . The hyperplane  $\{z : \langle z, w \rangle = s\}$  meets  $K_m$  if and only if there are  $z' \in K$ ,  $z'' \in \bar{B}^n(0, 1/m)$  such that  $\langle z', w \rangle = s - \langle z'', w \rangle$ . Therefore

$$(12) \quad \hat{K}_m = \bigcup_{(w,s) \in \hat{K}} (\{w\} \times \bar{B}^1(s, 1/m)).$$

Let  $h(w, s) \in \mathcal{D}(S^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{C})$  be such that  $\text{supp}(h) \cap \hat{K}_m = \emptyset$ . Since the functions  $\alpha_m$  are even, it follows from (4) that

$$\langle RT_m, h \rangle = \langle T_m, R^*(h) \rangle = \langle T * \alpha_m, R^*(h) \rangle = \langle T, \alpha_m * R^*(h) \rangle.$$

Then by Lemma 1, we have  $\langle T, \alpha_m * R^*(h) \rangle = \langle T, R^*(\hat{\alpha}_m *_s h) \rangle$ . Then

$$(13) \quad \langle RT_m, h \rangle = \langle T, R^*(\hat{\alpha}_m *_s h) \rangle = \langle RT, \hat{\alpha}_m *_s h \rangle.$$

We claim that  $\hat{K} \cap \text{supp}(\hat{\alpha}_m *_s h) = \emptyset$ . Indeed, suppose that  $(w_0, s_0) \in \hat{K} \cap \text{supp}(\hat{\alpha}_m *_s h)$ . This implies (since  $\hat{\alpha}_m(w, s) = 0$  for  $|s| \geq 1/m$ ) that for some  $s_1 \in \bar{B}^1(0, 1/m)$  we have  $(w_0, s_0 + s_1) \in \text{supp}(h)$ . By (12) we also have  $(w_0, s_0 + s_1) \in \hat{K}_m$ , which contradicts that  $\text{supp}(h) \cap \hat{K}_m = \emptyset$ . Therefore  $\hat{K} \cap \text{supp}(\hat{\alpha}_m *_s h) = \emptyset$ , and it follows from (13) (since  $\text{supp}(RT) \subset \hat{K}$ ) that  $\langle RT_m, h \rangle = 0$ . Therefore

$$(14) \quad \text{supp}(RT_m) \subset \hat{K}_m.$$

As remarked above, the functions  $T_m(z)$  belong to  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . Then the distributions  $RT_m$  are given by the Radon transforms  $\hat{T}_m(w, s)$  of functions  $T_m(z)$ .

In view of (12), there exist  $R > 0$  such that for all  $m$  the sets  $\hat{K}_m$  are contained in the set  $\{(w, s) : |s| \leq R\}$ . Let  $R_{\mathbb{R}}T_m(w, t)$  be the real Radon transform of  $T_m(z)$ , that is

$$R_{\mathbb{R}}T_m(w, t) = \int_{\text{Re}\langle z, \bar{w} \rangle = t} T_m(z) d\lambda(z),$$

where  $d\lambda(z)$  is the area element on the real hyperplane  $\{z : \text{Re}\langle z, \bar{w} \rangle = t\}$ . Then we have

$$R_{\mathbb{R}}T_m(w, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{T}_m(\bar{w}, t + ix) dx.$$

Since  $\hat{K}_m \subset \{(w, s) : |s| \leq R\}$ , it follows from (14) that  $R_{\mathbb{R}}T_m(w, t) = 0$  for  $|t| \geq R$ . Then by the Helgason's support theorem, the supports of the functions  $T_m(z)$  are compact.

To complete the proof of Theorem 1, we need the following lemma:

**Lemma 2**

*Under the hypotheses and notation of Theorem 1, there exist, for every  $z_0 \notin K$ , a neighborhood  $V_{z_0}$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that the functions  $T_m(z)$  vanish on  $V_{z_0}$  for  $m \geq 1/\delta$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $z_0 \notin K$ . Then there exists a point  $(w_0, s_0) \in S^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\{z : \langle z, w_0 \rangle = s_0\} \cap K = \emptyset$ ,  $\langle z_0, w_0 \rangle = s_0$  and the set  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{\langle z, w_0 \rangle\}_{z \in K}$  is connected. Then  $(w_0, \langle z_0, w_0 \rangle) \notin \hat{K}$ . We set

$$A = \left\{ s \in \mathbb{C} \mid (w_0, s) \in \hat{K} \right\}, \quad A_m = \left\{ s \in \mathbb{C} \mid (w_0, s) \in \hat{K}_m \right\}.$$

It follows from (12) that

$$A_m = \bigcup_{s \in A} \bar{B}^1(s, 1/m).$$

By definition of  $\hat{K}$ , for every  $s \in A$  there exists  $z \in K$  such that  $\langle z, w_0 \rangle = s$ . Then  $A = \{\langle z, w_0 \rangle\}_{z \in K}$ . Similarly  $A_m = \{\langle z, w_0 \rangle\}_{z \in K_m}$ . Since the sets  $K$  and  $K_m$  are compact, it follows that the sets  $A$  and  $A_m$  are also compact. For some  $R > 0$  we have  $A \cup A_m \subset \bar{B}^1(0, R)$ . Since  $\langle z_0, w_0 \rangle \notin A$ , there is  $\gamma > 0$  such that  $\langle z_0 + \lambda, w_0 \rangle \notin A$  for every  $\lambda \in \bar{B}^n(0, \gamma)$ . Hence the convex compact set  $\Gamma_1 = \{\langle z, w_0 \rangle, z \in \bar{B}^n(z_0, \gamma)\}$  and the set  $A$  do not intersect. Fix  $s_1 \in \{s \in \mathbb{C} : |s| > R\}$ . Then  $s_1 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus A$ . Since the set  $\mathbb{C} \setminus A$  is connected, there exists a broken line  $\Gamma_2 \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus A$  joining  $s_1$  to the point  $\langle z_0, w_0 \rangle$ . Thus  $(\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2) \cap A = \emptyset$ . Then, since the sets  $\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$  and  $A$  are compact, there exists  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  such that for all  $m \geq 1/\delta$  we have

$$\{(\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2) + B^1(0, \delta)\} \cap \{A + \bar{B}^1(0, 1/m)\} = \emptyset,$$

that is  $\{(\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2) + B^1(0, \delta)\} \cap A_m = \emptyset$ . Put

$$D = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : |s| > R\} \cup \{(\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2) + B^1(0, \delta)\}.$$

By construction  $D$  is a connected unbounded open set containing the point  $\langle z_0 + \lambda, w_0 \rangle$  for every  $\lambda \in \bar{B}^n(0, \gamma)$ . We have by the definition of the sets  $A_m$  that  $(D \times \{w_0\}) \cap \hat{K}_m = \emptyset$  for  $m \geq 1/\delta$ . Then it follows from (14) that  $(D \times \{w_0\}) \cap \text{supp}(\hat{T}_m) = \emptyset$  for  $m \geq 1/\delta$ . Since the supports of  $T_m$  are compact, it follows from [13, Theorem 2] that for every  $\lambda \in \bar{B}^n(0, \gamma)$  and  $m \geq 1/\delta$  the functions  $T_m(z)$  vanish on the hyperplane  $\{z : \langle z, w_0 \rangle = \langle z_0 + \lambda, w_0 \rangle\}$ . Then, for every  $z \in \bar{B}^n(z_0, \gamma)$  and  $m \geq 1/\delta$ , we have  $T_m(z) = 0$ . The lemma is proved.  $\square$

As mentioned above,  $T_m \rightarrow T$  in  $\mathcal{O}'_C(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . This means that

$$(15) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle T_m, \varphi \rangle = \langle T, \varphi \rangle, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{O}_C(\mathbb{C}^n),$$

where  $\mathcal{O}_C(\mathbb{C}^n)$  is the space of all infinitely differentiable functions  $f$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  for which there exist an integer  $k$  such that  $(1+|x|^2)^k \partial^p \bar{\partial}^q f(z)$  vanishes at infinity for all  $p, q$  [5, p. 173]. Since  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}^n) \subset \mathcal{O}_C(\mathbb{C}^n)$ , formula (15) holds for every  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  be such that  $\text{supp}(\varphi) \cap K = \emptyset$ . By Lemma 2 for every  $z \in \text{supp} \varphi$  there are  $\delta(z) > 0$  and a ball  $B^n(z, \gamma(z))$  such that  $T_m(z) = 0$  on  $B^n(z, \gamma(z))$  for  $m \geq 1/\delta(z)$ . Since the support of  $\varphi$  is compact, it can be covered by a finite union of balls  $B^n(z_k, \gamma(z_k))$ , where  $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . Setting  $\delta_0 = \min\{\delta(z_k), 1 \leq k \leq N\}$ , we have  $T_m(z) = 0$  for  $z \in \text{supp}(\varphi)$  and  $m \geq 1/\delta_0$ . Then it follows from (15) that

$$\langle T, \varphi \rangle = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle T_m, \varphi \rangle = 0.$$

Since  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  is an arbitrary function such that  $\text{supp}(\varphi) \cap K = \emptyset$ , we have  $\text{supp}(T) \subset K$ . The theorem is proved.  $\square$

### References

1. I.M. Gel'fand, M.I. Graev, and N.Ya. Vilenkin, *Generalized Functions, vol. 5, Integral Geometry and Representation Theory*, Academic Press, New York, and London, 1966.
2. S. Helgason, The Radon transform on Euclidean spaces, compact two-point homogeneous spaces and Grassmann manifolds, *Acta Math.* **113** (1965), 153–180.
3. S. Helgason, *The Radon Transform*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1980.



4. A. Hertle, Continuity of the Radon transform and its inverse on Euclidean space, *Math. Z.* **184** (1983), 165–192.
5. J. Horvath, *Topological Vector Spaces and Distributions*, vol. 1, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1966.
6. F. John, Bestimmung einer Funktion aus ihren Integralen über gewisse Mannigfaltigkeiten, *Math. Ann.* **109** (1934), 488–520.
7. F. John, *Plane Waves and Spherical Means*, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1955.
8. D. Ludwig, The Radon transform on Euclidean space, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **19** (1966), 49–81.
9. A. Martineau, Sur la notion d'ensemble fortement lineellement convexe, *Ann. Acad. Brasil. Ciênc.* **40** (1968), 427–435.
10. E.T. Quinto, A note on flat Radon transform, *Contemporary Mathematics*, **140** (1992), 115–121.
11. J. Radon, Über die Bestimmung von Funktionen durch ihre Integralwerte längs gewisser Mannigfaltigkeiten, *Ber. Verh. Sächs. Akad.* **69** (1917), 262–277.
12. L. Schwartz, *Théorie des Distributions*, Hermann, Paris, 1966.
13. A.B. Sekerin, A support theorem for the complex Radon transform, *Collect. Math.* **50** (1999), 221–227.