

## Comparison theorems of isoperimetric type for moments of compact sets

F.G. AVKHADIEV AND I.R. KAYUMOV

*Chebotarev Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics, Kazan State University*

*Universitetskaya 17, Kazan 420008, Russia*

E-mail: favhadiev@ksu.ru, ikayumov@mail.ru

Received October 25, 2002. Revised January 15, 2003

### ABSTRACT

A unified approach to prove isoperimetric inequalities for moments and basic inequalities of interpolation spaces  $L(p, q)$  is developed. Instead symmetrization methods we use a monotonicity property of special Stiltjes' means

### 1. Introduction

We consider  $q$ -moments as Lebesgue integral over a compact set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n (n \geq 1)$

$$I_n(q) = \int_{\Omega} |x|^{q-n} f(x) dx \quad (q > 0).$$

We also study Stiltjes integral from the theory of multinomial distributions (see [10])

$$P_n(q) = \int_0^{x_1} d\psi_1^q(y_1) \int_0^{x_2} d\psi_2^q(y_2) \dots \int_0^{x_n} h(y) \varphi^q(y) d\psi_n^q(y_n),$$

where  $x_k > 0 (k = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ ,  $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$  and  $\psi_k$  are absolutely continuous and strictly increasing functions with the condition  $\psi_k(0) = 0$ .

The  $q$ -moments play an important role in different branches of mathematics and physics (see [1], [3], [7], [9], [14]).

---

*Keywords:* Moments, isoperimetric inequality, Stiltjes integral, comparison theorem, multinomial distribution.

*MSC2000:* 26D15.

The aim of this paper is to compare  $I_n(q_1)$  with  $I_n(q_2)$ , and  $P_n(q_1)$  with  $P_n(q_2)$  if  $0 < q_1 < q_2 < \infty$ . There are several results of this kind. The first one is the isoperimetric inequality

$$\frac{\left(\int_{\Omega} dx\right)^{1+2/n}}{\int_{\Omega} |x|^2 dx} \leq \frac{\left(\int_{|x|\leq 1} dx\right)^{1+2/n}}{\int_{|x|\leq 1} |x|^2 dx}, \quad (1)$$

which is proved by Pólya and Szegő [13] for  $n = 2$ . For  $n = 3$  Bandle [2] established a generalization of (1) for  $I_3(5)$  with bounded  $f(x) \geq 0$ .

The second result is an inequality by Stein ([15], [16]) for norms in the interpolation spaces  $L(p, q)$ : *If  $\varphi(t) \geq 0$  and  $\varphi(t)$  is nonincreasing in  $(0, \infty)$ ,  $p > 0$  and  $0 < q_1 \leq q_2 \leq \infty$  then the following sharp inequality holds*

$$\left(\frac{q_1}{p} \int_0^\infty [t^{1/p} \varphi(t)]^{q_1} \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{1/q_1} \geq \left(\frac{q_2}{p} \int_0^\infty [t^{1/p} \varphi(t)]^{q_2} \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{1/q_2}. \quad (2)$$

We present here a new unified approach to prove inequalities (1) and (2) and their nontrivial generalizations. Namely, we prove two generalizations of (2) for  $P_n(q)$  (see Theorem 1 and Theorem 3, below). In contrast with (2) we obtain

$$\left(\frac{q_1}{p} \int_0^\infty [t^{1/p} \varphi(t)]^{q_1} h(t) \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{1/q_1} \leq \left(\frac{q_2}{p} \int_0^\infty [t^{1/p} \varphi(t)]^{q_2} h(t) \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{1/q_2} \quad (3)$$

provided  $0 \leq h(t) \leq 1$ ,  $\varphi(t) \geq 0$ ,  $\varphi(t)$  is nondecreasing in  $(0, \infty)$ ,  $p > 0$  and  $0 < q_1 \leq q_2 \leq \infty$ .

We also show that the integral means

$$m(q) = \left(\frac{q}{\omega_{n-1}} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{q-n} f(x) dx\right)^{1/q}$$

is nondecreasing as function in  $q \in (0, \infty)$  if  $0 \leq f(x) \leq 1$  (see Theorem 2 below). Here  $\omega_{n-1} = 2\pi^{n/2}/\Gamma(n/2)$  is the  $(n-1)$ -dimensional area of the unit sphere  $|x| = 1$ . Note that  $m(q)$  does not depend on  $q$  if  $\Omega$  is a ball  $B(0, \rho)$  and  $f(x) = 1$  in  $B(0, \rho)$ .

The monotonicity of  $m(q)$  generates (1) and some new isoperimetric inequalities for the quantity

$$V = \int_{\Omega} f(x) dx.$$

In particular, we obtain the following isoperimetric inequalities:

$$\left(\frac{V}{c_n}\right)^{(n+2)/n} \leq \frac{1+2/n}{c_n} \int_{\Omega} |x|^2 f(x) dx, \quad (4)$$

$$V \log \frac{V}{c_n} \leq V + n \int_{\Omega} f(x) \log |x| dx, \quad (5)$$

$$F.p. \int_{\Omega} |x - a|^{-n} f(x) dx \leq f(a) c_n \log \frac{V}{f(a) c_n} \quad (6)$$

where  $F.p.$  means “Finite part” of the singular integral [8], and  $c_n = \omega_{n-1}/n$  is the volume of the unit ball  $|x| \leq 1$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For (6) we suppose that  $f$  is a nonnegative function which satisfies the Hölder condition  $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq C|x - y|^\alpha$  ( $x, y \in \Omega$ ) for some  $\alpha > 0$  and  $a \in \Omega \setminus \partial\Omega$  such that  $f(a) = \max_{x \in \Omega} f(x) > 0$ .

## 2. Main results and their applications

### Theorem 1

Suppose that  $h(y)$  is nondecreasing with respect to  $y_k \in [0, x_k]$  for  $k = 2, \dots, n$  and  $\varphi(y)$  is nondecreasing with respect to  $y_k \in [0, x_k]$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . If  $0 < q_1 < q_2 \leq \infty$ ,  $0 \leq h(y) \leq 1$ ,  $\varphi \geq 0$  then the sharp inequality

$$P_n^{1/q_1}(q_1) \leq P_n^{1/q_2}(q_2) \quad (7)$$

is valid.

*Proof.* First we consider the case  $n = 1$ . We have to prove that

$$\left( \int_0^x h(t) \varphi^{q_1}(t) d\psi^{q_1}(t) \right)^{1/q_1} \leq \left( \int_0^x h(t) \varphi^{q_2}(t) d\psi^{q_2}(t) \right)^{1/q_2}, \quad (8)$$

if  $0 \leq h(t) \leq 1$  and  $0 \leq \varphi(t_1) \leq \varphi(t)$  for all  $t_1$  and  $t$  such that  $0 \leq t_1 \leq t \leq x$ .

Let  $\Phi(u) = u^{q_2/q_1}$  ( $u > 0$ ), and let

$$F_i(t) = \int_0^t h(\tau) \varphi^{q_i}(\tau) d\psi^{q_i}(\tau) \quad (i = 1, 2, \quad 0 \leq t \leq x).$$

We have

$$F_1(t) \leq \varphi^{q_1}(t) \int_0^t d\psi^{q_1}(\tau) = [\varphi(t)\psi(t)]^{q_1}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq x. \quad (9)$$

Using (9) and the convexity of  $\Phi(u)$  one has

$$\Phi'(F_1(t)) \leq \Phi'(\varphi^{q_1}(t)\psi^{q_1}(t)) = \frac{q_2}{q_1} [\varphi(t)\psi(t)]^{q_2 - q_1}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq x.$$

Thus,

$$\Phi'(F_1(t)) F_1'(t) \leq F_2'(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq x. \quad (10)$$

Integrating (10) we get

$$\Phi(F_1(x)) \leq F_2(x),$$

which is the inequality (8).

It is clear that equality in (8) holds if and only if equality holds in (10) for almost all  $t \in [0, x]$ . We now suppose that  $F_1(x) > 0$ . Therefore there exists  $\alpha \in (0, x]$  such that

$$\alpha = \inf \left\{ t \in (0, x] : \int_t^x h(\tau) \varphi^{q_1}(\tau) d\psi^{q_1}(\tau) = 0 \right\}. \quad (11)$$

On the other hand, using (11) and the equality  $\Phi'(F_1(t))F_1'(t) = F_2'(t)$  a.e. in  $[0, x]$  we obtain

$$[F_1(t) - \varphi(t)^{q_1} \psi^{q_1}(t)]h(t)\varphi(t) = 0 \text{ a.e. in } [0, \alpha]. \quad (12)$$

Let us remark that  $\varphi(t) \not\equiv 0$  in  $(0, \alpha)$ . Consequently there are  $t \in (0, \alpha)$  with  $\varphi(t) > 0$ . If  $0 \leq \varphi(t_1) < \varphi(t_2)$  for some  $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \alpha$ , and  $t \in (t_2, \alpha)$ , then  $\varphi(t_1) < \varphi(t)$  and

$$F_1(t) \leq \varphi^{q_1}(t)\psi^{q_1}(t_1) + \varphi^{q_1}(t)[\psi^{q_1}(t) - \psi^{q_1}(t_1)] < [\varphi(t)\psi(t)]^{q_1}. \quad (13)$$

From (12) and (13) one has  $h(t) = 0$  a.e. in  $[t_2, \alpha]$  in contradiction with (11). Thus,  $\varphi(t) = c = \text{const} > 0$ ,  $t \in (0, \alpha)$ . Consequently, (12) is equivalent to the equality

$$\left[ \int_0^t h(\tau) d\psi^{q_1}(\tau) - \psi^{q_1}(t) \right] h(t) = 0 \text{ a.e. in } [0, \alpha]. \quad (14)$$

If  $h(t) \neq 1$  a.e. in  $[0, \alpha]$  then there exists  $t_3 \in (0, \alpha)$  such that

$$\int_0^t h(\tau) d\psi^{q_1}(\tau) < \psi^{q_1}(t), \quad t \in (t_3, \alpha).$$

So,  $h(t) = 0$  a.e. in  $(t_3, \alpha)$  because (14). This contradicts (11). Thus,  $h(t) = 1$  a.e. in  $[0, \alpha]$ . Therefore equality in (8) holds if and only if  $h(t)\varphi(t) = 0$  a.e. in  $[0, x]$  or  $h(t)\varphi(t) = 0$  a.e. in  $[\alpha, x]$ ,  $\varphi(t) = \text{const} > 0$  and  $h(t) = 1$  a.e. in  $(0, \alpha)$ .

This completes the proof for  $n = 1$ .

To prove Theorem 1 for  $n \geq 2$  we apply mathematical induction on  $n$ . Suppose that Theorem 1 is true for dimensions  $1, 2, \dots, n-1$ . We can write

$$P_n(q) = \int_0^{x_n} P_{n-1}(y_n, q) d\psi_n^q(y_n),$$

where

$$P_{n-1}(y_n, q) = \int_0^{x_{n-1}} d\psi_{n-1}^q(y_{n-1}) \dots \int_0^{x_1} h(y_1, \dots, y_n) \varphi^q(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) d\psi_1^q(y_1).$$

For fixed  $y_n \in [0, x_n]$  by induction hypothesis

$$P_{n-1}(y_n, q_1) \leq P_{n-1}^{q_1/q_2}(y_n, q_2). \quad (15)$$

The function  $\varphi_*(t) := P_{n-1}^{1/q_2}(t, q_2)$  is nondecreasing for  $t \in [0, x_n]$ , and by (15)

$$P_n(q_1) \leq \int_0^{x_n} \varphi_*^{q_1}(y_n) d\psi_n^{q_1}(y_n). \quad (16)$$

Applying to (16) the inequality (8) with  $h(t) = 1$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P_n(q_1) &\leq \left( \int_0^{x_n} \varphi_*^{q_2}(y_n) d\psi_n^{q_2}(y_n) \right)^{q_1/q_2} \\ &= \left( \int_0^{x_n} P_{n-1}(y_n, q_2) d\psi_n^{q_2}(y_n) \right)^{q_1/q_2} = P_n^{q_1/q_2}(q_2), \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired inequality (7).  $\square$

Note that equality in (7) occurs if  $\varphi(y) = \text{const} > 0$  and  $h(y) = 1$  a.e. in  $I_\alpha = [0, \alpha_1] \times \dots \times [0, \alpha_n] \subset I_x = [0, x_1] \times \dots \times [0, x_n]$  and  $h(y) = 0$  a.e. in  $I_x \setminus I_\alpha$ .

### Theorem 2

Let  $\Omega$  be a compact set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ),  $\text{mes}(\Omega) > 0$ . If  $0 < f(x) \leq 1$  in  $\Omega$  and  $0 < q_1 < q_2 \leq \infty$  then

$$\left( \frac{q_1}{\omega_{n-1}} \int_\Omega |x|^{q_1-n} f(x) dx \right)^{1/q_1} \leq \left( \frac{q_2}{\omega_{n-1}} \int_\Omega |x|^{q_2-n} f(x) dx \right)^{1/q_2}. \quad (17)$$

Equality in (17) occurs if and only if  $f(x) = 1$  a.e. in  $\Omega$  and  $\Omega$  is a ball  $B(0, \rho)$  up to a set of Lebesgue measure zero.

*Proof.* Let  $x = |x|\omega$ ,  $|x| = t$ ,  $dx = t^{n-1} dt d\omega$ . By Fubini theorem

$$m(q) := \left( \frac{q}{\omega_{n-1}} \int_\Omega |x|^{q-n} f(x) dx \right)^{1/q} = \left( \int_0^\rho h(t) dt^q \right)^{1/q}, \quad (18)$$

where  $\rho = \|x\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$  and

$$h(t) = \frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}} \int_{|x|=t} f(x) \chi_\Omega(x) d\omega.$$

It is clear that (17) is a consequence of (8), and  $h(t) = 1$  a.e. in  $[0, \rho]$  if and only if  $\chi_\Omega(t\omega) = 1$  for almost all  $t \in [0, \rho]$  and  $f(x) = 1$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ .

There is another way to investigate the case of equality in (17). If  $0 < q_1 < q_2 \leq \infty$  and  $m(q_1) = m(q_2)$  then  $m(q) = m_0 = \text{const}$  for  $q_1 \leq q \leq q_2$ . Since  $m(q)$  is analytic with respect to  $q$  in some neighbourhood of  $\{q : 0 < q < \infty\}$  then, by the uniqueness theorem for analytic functions,  $m(q) = m_0$  for each  $q \in (0, \infty)$ . Therefore,

$$m(1) = \frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}} \int_\Omega |x|^{1-n} f(x) dx = \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} m(q) = \|x\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = \rho.$$

On the other hand,  $\text{mes}[\Omega \setminus B(0, \rho)] = 0$  and  $m(1) < \rho$ , if  $f(x) \neq 1$  a.e. in  $\Omega$  and  $\text{mes}(\Omega) > 0$  or  $\text{mes}(B(0, \rho) \setminus \Omega) > 0$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 2.  $\square$

### Theorem 3

Suppose that  $h(y)$  is nonincreasing with respect to  $y_k \in [0, x_k]$  for  $k = 2, \dots, n$  and  $\varphi(y)$  is nonincreasing with respect to  $y_k \in [0, x_k]$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . If  $0 < q_1 < q_2 \leq \infty$ ,  $h(y) \geq 1$ , then the sharp inequality

$$P_n^{1/q_1}(q_1) \geq P_n^{1/q_2}(q_2) \quad (19)$$

is valid.

*Proof.* Proof of Theorem 3 follows the proof of Theorem 1 with inequalities opposite to (9), (10), (15) and (16).

It is clear that equality in (19) occurs if  $\varphi(y) = \text{const} > 0$  and  $h(y) = 1$  a.e. in  $I_\alpha \subset I_x$  and  $\varphi(y) = 0$  in  $I_x \setminus I_\alpha$ .  $\square$

The inequalities (7) and (19) can be used to get some entropy type inequalities. Consider the case related to (19). Suppose that  $h$  and  $\varphi$  satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 3. The inequality (19) implies that

$$\frac{dP_n^{1/q}(q)}{dq}\Big|_{q=1} \leq 0.$$

Straightforward computations show that this is equivalent to the inequality

$$P_n(1) \log P_n(1) \geq nP_n(1) + \int_0^{x_1} d\psi_1(y_1) \int_0^{x_2} d\psi_2(y_2) \dots \int_0^{x_n} h\varphi \log(\varphi\psi_1 \dots \psi_n) d\psi_n(y_n),$$

where

$$P_n(1) = \int_0^{x_1} d\psi_1(y_1) \int_0^{x_2} d\psi_2(y_2) \dots \int_0^{x_n} h\varphi d\psi_n(y_n).$$

The last inequality is well-defined by the entropy theory convention  $0 \log 0 = 0$ .

We now deduce the inequalities (2), (3), (4), (5) and (6). Inequality (2) is a particular case of Theorem 3 for  $n = 1$ ,  $h(t) = 1$ ,  $x_1 = \infty$  and  $\psi(t) = t^{1/p}$ .

We obtain inequality (3) as the case  $n = 1$ ,  $x_1 = \infty$ ,  $\psi(t) = t^{1/p}$  of Theorem 1. Letting  $q_1 = n$  and  $q_2 = n + 2$  in Theorem 2 we have inequality (4). By straightforward computations for the function  $m(q)$  from (18) we get

$$q^2 m'(q) = -[m(q)]^q \log[m(q)]^q + [m(q)]^q + \frac{q^2}{\omega_{n-1}} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{q-n} f(x) \log |x| dx.$$

The inequality (17) implies  $m'(q) \geq 0$  and (5) is equivalent to the inequality  $m'(q) \geq 0$  at the point  $q = n$ .

To prove inequality (6) without loss of generality we can assume that  $a = 0$  and  $f(a) = 1$ . First of all, let us remark that Theorem 2 implies that there exists  $\lim_{q \rightarrow 0+} m(q)$ .

Since  $\Omega$  is a compact set then there exists  $\rho > 0$  such that  $\Omega \subset B(0, \rho)$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{q}{\omega_{n-1}} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{q-n} f(x) dx &\leq \frac{q}{\omega_{n-1}} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{q-n} dx \\ &\leq \frac{q}{\omega_{n-1}} \int_{B(0, \rho)} |x|^{q-n} dx = \rho^q. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\limsup_{q \rightarrow 0} \frac{q}{\omega_{n-1}} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{q-n} f(x) dx \leq 1.$$

Analogously it is easy to show that

$$\liminf_{q \rightarrow 0} \frac{q}{\omega_{n-1}} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{q-n} f(x) dx \geq 1.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{q}{\omega_{n-1}} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{q-n} f(x) dx \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } q \rightarrow 0,$$

which implies

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{q}{\omega_{n-1}} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{q-n} f(x) dx \right)^{1/q} = \exp \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \left[ \frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{q-n} f(x) dx - \frac{1}{q} \right].$$

Since  $0 \in \Omega \setminus \partial\Omega$  then there exists  $r > 0$  such that  $B(0, r) \subset \Omega$ . Further, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{q-n} f(x) dx - \frac{1}{q} &= \frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}} \int_{\Omega \setminus B(0, r)} |x|^{q-n} f(x) dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}} \int_{B(0, r)} |x|^{q-n} (f(x) - 1) dx + \frac{r^q - 1}{q}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f$  is an  $\alpha$ -Hölder function then there exists

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}} \int_{B(0, r)} |x|^{q-n} (f(x) - 1) dx \\ = \frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}} \int_{B(0, r)} |x|^{-n} (f(x) - 1) dx \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \left[ \frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{q-n} f(x) dx - \frac{1}{q} \right] &= \frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}} \int_{\Omega \setminus B(0, r)} |x|^{-n} f(x) dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}} \int_{B(0, r)} |x|^{-n} (f(x) - 1) dx - \log \frac{1}{r} \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}} \int_{\Omega \setminus B(0, r)} |x|^{-n} f(x) dx - \log \frac{1}{r} \\ &= F.p. \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-n} f(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality is a definition of *F.p.* (see [8]). Consequently, the inequality (6) follows from Theorem 2 for  $q_1 = 0+$  and  $q_2 = n$ .

If  $n \geq 2$  then Theorem 1 implies that the quantity

$$z_n(q) = \left( q^n \int_0^{x_1} \dots \int_0^{x_n} y_1^{q-1} \dots y_n^{q-1} h(y_1, \dots, y_n) dy_1 \dots dy_n \right)^{1/q}$$

is nondecreasing provided  $0 \leq h \leq 1$  and  $h$  is nondecreasing as function of  $y_k$  for all  $k$  except one. It is surprising that the only condition  $0 \leq h \leq 1$  is not sufficient for the monotonicity of  $z_n(q)$  in the case  $n \geq 2$ . For example, the function

$$z_2(q) = \left( q^2 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 (xy)^{q-1} h(x, y) dx dy \right)^{1/q}$$

is not monotone for  $q > 0$  if  $h(x, y) = \frac{\pi}{4} \chi_{\{x^2+y^2 \leq 1\}}(x, y)$ , where  $\chi_\Omega$  denotes the characteristic function of  $\Omega$ , or  $h(x, y) = 1 - xy$ .

Nevertheless, (7) is valid without assumptions on monotonicity of  $h$  if  $h(y) = \prod h_k(y_k)$ . Namely, using twice the one-dimensional case of (7) in mathematical induction on  $n$ , we easily obtain:

### Proposition 1

Suppose that  $\varphi$  is nondecreasing with respect to  $y_k \in [0, x_k]$  for all  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . If  $h(y) = \prod_{k=1}^n h_k(y_k)$  and  $0 \leq h_k(y_k) \leq 1$  for  $y_k \in [0, x_k]$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ), then the inequality (7) holds.

The following generalization of (2) is a particular case of Theorem 3.

### Proposition 2

Suppose that  $h(t) \geq 1$ ,  $\varphi(t) \geq 0$  and  $\varphi(t)$  is nonincreasing in  $(0, \infty)$ . If  $p > 0$ ,  $0 < q_1 \leq q_2 \leq \infty$  then the following sharp inequality holds

$$\left( \frac{q_1}{p} \int_0^\infty [t^{1/p} \varphi(t)]^{q_1} h(t) \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q_1} \geq \left( \frac{q_2}{p} \int_0^\infty [t^{1/p} \varphi(t)]^{q_2} h(t) \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q_2}.$$

Other generalizations of (2) were established by Bergh [4], Bergh, Burenkov and Persson [5], [6], Persson and Pečarić [12], Myasnikov, Persson and Stepanov [11].

**Acknowledgements.** We thank Daniar Mushtari, Svante Janson, Lars-Erik Persson, Anvar Kasimov and Rustem Salahudinov for their useful comments. The second author also would like to thank the Organizers of the program “Probability and conformal mappings” and the staff of the Mittag-Leffler Institute for their warm hospitality.

This work was supported by Russian Fund of Basic Research (proj. 02-01-00168).

## References

1. S. Albeverio, J.E. Fenstad, R. Høegh-Krohn, and T. Lindstrøm, *Nonstandard Methods in Stochastic Analysis and Mathematical Physics*, Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, FL, 1986.
2. C. Bandle, *Isoperimetric Inequalities and Applications*, Pitman (Advanced Publishing Program), Boston, Mass.-London, 1980.
3. E.F. Beckenbach and R. Bellman, *Inequalities*, Springer-Verlag, 1961.



4. J. Bergh, A converse inequality of Hölder type, *Math. Z.* **215** (1994), 205–208.
5. J. Bergh, V. Burenkov, and L.E. Persson, Best constants in reversed Hardy's inequalities for quasi-monotone functions, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **59** (1994), 221–239.
6. J. Bergh, V. Burenkov, and L.E. Persson, On some sharp reversed Hölder and Hardy type inequalities, *Math. Nachr.* **169** (1994), 19–29.
7. G. Cassier, Problème des moments sur un compact de  $\mathbb{R}^n$  et décomposition de polynômes à plusieurs variables, *J. Funct. Anal.* **58** (1984), 254–266.
8. R.E. Edwards, *Functional Analysis. Theory and Applications*, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1965.
9. G.H. Hardy, J.E. Littlewood, and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1967.
10. A.W. Marshall and I. Olkin, *Inequalities: Theory of Majorization and Its Applications*, Academic Press, Inc., 1979.
11. E.A. Myasnikov, L.E. Persson, and V.D. Stepanov, On the best constants in certain integral inequalities for monotone functions, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **59** (1994), 613–624.
12. J.E. Pečarić and L.E. Persson, On Bergh's inequality for quasi-monotone functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **195** (1995), 393–400.
13. G. Pólya and G. Szegő, *Isoperimetric Inequalities in Mathematical Physics*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1951.
14. M. Putinar and F.-H. Vasilescu, Solving moment problems by dimensional extension, *Ann. of Math.* **149** (1999), 1087–1107.
15. E.M. Stein, *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Princeton University Press, 1970.
16. E.M. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces*, Princeton University Press, 1971.