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#### Abstract

Mixed automorphic forms generalize elliptic modular forms, and they occur naturally as holomorphic forms of the highest degree on families of abelian varieties parametrized by a Riemann surface. We construct generalized Eisenstein series and Poincaré series, and prove that they are mixed automorphic forms.


## 1. Introduction

Let $E$ be an elliptic surface over a Riemann surface $X$ (cf. [3]). Then the space of holomorphic two-forms on an elliptic surface is isomorphic to the space of cusp forms of weight three for the corresponding Fuchsian group $\Gamma \subset S L(2, \mathbb{R})$ that determines $X$ if the monodromy representation is simply the inclusion map of $\Gamma$ in $S L(2, \mathbb{R})$ (cf. [11]). However, when the monodromy representation is not the inclusion map, the holomorphic two-forms on the elliptic surface should be identified with mixed cusp forms whose automorphy factors involve the monodromy representation and the period map of the elliptic surface (see [2]). A geometric interpretation of such mixed automorphic forms of higher weights can be obtained by essentially taking the fiber product of a finite number of elliptic surfaces (cf. [4]). It is well-known that Eisenstein series and Poincaré series provide basic examples of elliptic modular forms (see e.g. [10]). The goal of this paper is to construct the analog of Eisenstein series and Poincaré series for mixed automorphic forms.

Let $\Gamma \subset S L(2, \mathbb{R})$ be a Fuchsian group of the first kind acting on the Poincaré upper half plane $\mathcal{H}$ by linear fractional transformations. Let $\chi: \Gamma \rightarrow S L(2, \mathbb{R})$ be a homomorphism, and let $\omega: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a holomorphic map such that $\omega(\gamma z)=$ $\chi(\gamma) \omega(z)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $z \in \mathcal{H}$. We assume that the inverse image of a parabolic subgroup of $\chi(\Gamma)$ under $\chi$ is parabolic. If $J: S L(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the automorphy factor defined by $J\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right), z\right)=c z+d$, then a mixed automorphic (resp. cusp) form of type $(p, q)$ is a holomorphic function $f: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying

$$
f(\gamma z)=J(\gamma, z)^{p} J(\chi(\gamma), \omega(z))^{q} f(z)
$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $z \in \mathcal{H}$ that is holomorphic (resp. vanishes) at the cusps of $\Gamma$. Various aspects of mixed automorphic forms of the above type have been investigated in a number of papers (see e.g. [1], [5], [8]). Mixed automorphic forms of several variables have also been studied in connection with holomorphic forms on families of abelian varieties (cf. [6], [7], [9]). In this paper we construct Eisenstein series and Poincaré series that are mixed automorphic forms in one variable of type $(2 k+2,2 m)$ for some nonnegative integers $k$ and $m$.

## 2. Eisenstein series and Poincaré series

Let $\Gamma \subset S L(2, \mathbb{R})$ be a Fuchsian group of the first kind acting on the Poincaré upper half plane $\mathcal{H}$ by linear fractional transformations. Let $\chi: \Gamma \rightarrow S L(2, \mathbb{R})$ be a homomorphism, and let $\omega: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a holomorphic map satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(\gamma z)=\chi(\gamma) \omega(z) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $z \in \mathcal{H}$. We assume that the inverse image of a parabolic subgroup of $\Gamma^{\prime}=\chi(\Gamma)$ under $\chi$ is a parabolic subgroup of $\Gamma$ so that the $\Gamma$-cusps and $\Gamma^{\prime}$-cusps correspond. In addition, we also assume that $\operatorname{Im} \omega(z) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\operatorname{Im} z \rightarrow \infty$. Thus we can extend $\omega$ to a map

$$
\mathcal{H} \cup\{\Gamma \text {-cusps }\} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{\prime} \cup\left\{\Gamma^{\prime} \text {-cusps }\right\}
$$

which we also denote by $\omega$, such that (2.1) holds for all $z \in \mathcal{H} \cup\{\Gamma$-cusps $\}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Let $J: S L(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the automorphy factor of $S L(2, \mathbb{R})$ defined by $J(g, w)=c w+d$ if

$$
g=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) \in S L(2, \mathbb{R})
$$

and $w \in \mathcal{H}$. Thus we have

$$
J\left(g g^{\prime}, z\right)=J\left(g, g^{\prime} z\right) J\left(g^{\prime}, z\right)
$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{H}$ and $g, g^{\prime} \in G$. Given a function $f: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, an element $\gamma$ in $\Gamma$, and nonnegative integers $p$ and $q$, we define the function $\left.f\right|_{(p, q)} \gamma: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$
\left(\left.f\right|_{(p, q)} \gamma\right)(z)=J(\gamma, z)^{-p} J(\chi(\gamma), \omega(z))^{-q} f(\gamma z)
$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{H}$.
Definition 2.1. Let $p$ and $q$ be nonnegative integers. A holomorphic function $f: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is said to be a mixed automorphic form of type $(p, q)$ associated to $\Gamma, \omega$ and $\chi$ if $f$ satisfies the following conditions:
(i) $\left.f\right|_{(p, q)} \gamma=f$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.
(ii) $f$ is holomorphic at each $\Gamma$-cusp.

The function $f$ is said to be a mixed cusp form of type $(p, q)$ associated to $\Gamma, \omega$ and $\chi$ if (ii) is replaced by
(ii)' $f$ vanishes at each $\Gamma$-cusp (see [5] for details).

Remark 2.2. A mixed automorphic form of type $(p, 0)$ associated to $\Gamma, \omega$ and $\chi$ is a usual elliptic modular form of weight $p$ for $\Gamma$. On the other hand, if $\omega$ and $\chi$ are the identity maps, then a mixed automorphic form of type $(p, q)$ associated to $\Gamma$, $\omega$ and $\chi$ becomes a modular form of weight $p+q$ for $\Gamma$. Mixed automorphic forms of type ( $p, q$ ) with $p$ even can be identified with holomorphic forms of the highest degree on the fiber product of a finite number of elliptic surfaces (see e.g. [4]). Mixed automorphic forms can be extended to the case of several variables, and they are linked to holomorphic forms on families of more general abelian varieties, (cf. [6], [7], [8]).

Let $s$ be a cusp of $\Gamma$ with $\sigma s=\infty$ for some $\sigma \in S L(2, \mathbb{R})$. Then there is a parabolic element $\alpha \in \Gamma$ such that $\alpha s=s$. By our assumption on $\chi, \chi(\alpha)$ is a parabolic element of $\chi(\Gamma)$, and hence there is a cusp $s_{\chi}$ of $\chi(\Gamma)$ and an element $\sigma_{\chi} \in S L(2, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$
\chi(\alpha) s_{\chi}=s_{\chi}, \quad \sigma_{\chi} s_{\chi}=\infty .
$$

Given a function $f: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and nonnegative integers $k, m$, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left.f\right|_{(2 k+2,2 m)} \sigma^{-1}\right)(z)=J\left(\sigma^{-1}, z\right)^{-2 k-2} J\left(\sigma_{\chi}^{-1}, \omega(z)\right)^{-2 m} f\left(\sigma^{-1} z\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{H}$. Let $\Gamma_{s}=\{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma s=s\}$ be the stabilizer of $s$ in $\Gamma$, and let $h$ be a positive real number such that

$$
\sigma \Gamma_{s} \sigma^{-1} \cdot\{ \pm 1\}=\left\{\left. \pm\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & h \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)^{n} \right\rvert\, n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} .
$$

Then, for a nonnegative integer $\nu$, we define the holomorphic function $\phi_{\nu}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ associated to the cusp $s$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\nu}(z)=J(\sigma, z)^{-2 k-2} J\left(\sigma_{\chi}, \omega(z)\right)^{-2 m} \exp (2 \pi i \nu \sigma z / h) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{H}$.

## Lemma 2.3

If $s$ is a cusp of $\Gamma$, then the associated function $\phi_{\nu}$ satisfies

$$
\left.\phi_{\nu}\right|_{(2 k+2,2 m)} \gamma=\phi_{\nu}
$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_{s}$.
Proof. For $z \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma_{s}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{\nu}(\gamma z)= & J(\sigma, \gamma z)^{-2 k-2} J\left(\sigma_{\chi}, \omega(\gamma z)\right)^{-2 m} \exp (2 \pi i \nu \sigma \gamma z / h) \\
= & J(\sigma, \gamma z)^{-2 k-2} J\left(\sigma_{\chi}, \chi(\gamma) \omega(z)\right)^{-2 m} \exp (2 \pi i \nu \sigma \gamma z / h) \\
= & J(\sigma \gamma, z)^{-2 k-2} J(\gamma, z)^{2 k+2} J\left(\sigma_{\chi} \chi(\gamma), \omega(z)\right)^{-2 m} \\
& \times J(\chi(\gamma), \omega(z))^{2 m} \exp \left(2 \pi i \nu\left(\sigma \gamma \sigma^{-1}\right) \sigma z / h\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\sigma \gamma \sigma^{-1}$ and $\sigma_{\chi} \chi(\gamma) \sigma_{\chi}^{-1}$ stabilize $\infty$, we have

$$
J\left(\sigma \gamma \sigma^{-1}, w\right)=J\left(\sigma_{\chi} \chi(\gamma) \sigma_{\chi}^{-1}, \sigma_{\chi} w\right)=1
$$

for all $w \in \mathcal{H}$, and hence we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(\sigma \gamma, z) & =J\left(\sigma \gamma \sigma^{-1}, \sigma z\right) \cdot J(\sigma, z)=J(\sigma, z), \\
J\left(\sigma_{\chi} \chi(\gamma), \omega(z)\right) & =J\left(\sigma_{\chi} \chi(\gamma) \sigma_{\chi}^{-1}, \sigma_{\chi} \omega(z)\right) \cdot J\left(\sigma_{\chi}, \omega(z)\right)=J\left(\sigma_{\chi}, \omega(z)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\sigma \gamma z / h=\left(\sigma \gamma \sigma^{-1}\right) \sigma z / h=\sigma z / h+d$ for some integer $d$. Thus we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{\nu}(\gamma z)= & J(\sigma, z)^{-2 k-2} J(\gamma, z)^{2 k+2} \\
& \times J\left(\sigma_{\chi}, \omega(z)\right)^{-2 m} J(\chi(\gamma), \omega(z))^{2 m} \exp (2 \pi i \nu \sigma z / h) \\
= & J(\gamma, z)^{2 k+2} J(\chi(\gamma), \omega(z))^{2 m} \phi_{\nu}(z),
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore the lemma follows.

Let $s$ be a cusp of $\Gamma$ as above, and set

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{(2 k+2,2 m)}^{\nu}(z)=\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{s} \backslash \Gamma}\left(\left.\phi_{\nu}\right|_{(2 k+2,2 m)} \gamma\right)(z) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{H}$. The convergence of this series will be proved in Section 3.
Definition 2.4. The function $P_{(2 k+2,2 m)}^{\nu}(z)$ is called a Poincaré series for mixed automorphic forms if $\nu \geq 1$, and the function $P_{(2 k+2,2 m)}^{0}(z)$ is called an Eisenstein series for mixed automorphic forms.

## 3. Convergence and holomorphy

In this section, we show that the series in (2.4) defining the function $P_{(2 k+2,2 m)}^{\nu}(z)$ converges and is holomorphic on $\mathcal{H}$.

## Lemma 3.1

Let $z_{0} \in \mathcal{H}$, and let $\varepsilon$ be a positive real number such that

$$
N_{3 \varepsilon}=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}| | z-z_{0} \mid \leq 3 \varepsilon\right\} \subset \mathcal{H}
$$

and let $k$ and $m$ be nonnegative integers. If $\psi$ is a continuous function on $N_{3 \varepsilon}$ that is holomorphic on the interior of $N_{3 \varepsilon}$, then there exists a constant $C$ such that

$$
\left|\psi\left(z_{1}\right)\right| \leq C \int_{N_{3 \varepsilon}}|\psi(z)|(\operatorname{Im} z)^{k+1}(\operatorname{Im} \omega(z))^{m} d V
$$

for all $z_{1} \in N_{\varepsilon}=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}| | z-z_{0} \mid \leq \varepsilon\right\}$, where $d V=d x d y / y^{2}$ with $x=\operatorname{Re} z$ and $y=\operatorname{Im} z$.

Proof. Let $z_{1}$ be an element of $N_{\varepsilon}$, and consider the Taylor expansion of $\psi(z)$ about $z_{1}$ of the form

$$
\psi(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}\left(z-z_{1}\right)^{n}
$$

We set $N_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}| | z-z_{1} \mid<\varepsilon\right\}$. Then $N_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \subset N_{3 \varepsilon}$, and we have

$$
\int_{N_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}} \psi(z) d x d y=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} r^{n+1} e^{i n \theta} d r d \theta=\pi \varepsilon^{2} a_{0}=\pi \varepsilon^{2} \psi\left(z_{1}\right)
$$

Hence we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\psi\left(z_{1}\right)\right| & \leq\left(\pi \varepsilon^{2}\right)^{-1} \int_{N_{3 \varepsilon}}|\psi(z)| d x d y \\
& =\left(\pi \varepsilon^{2}\right)^{-1} \int_{N_{3 \varepsilon}} \frac{|\psi(z)|(\operatorname{Im} z)^{k+1}(\operatorname{Im} \omega(z))^{m}}{(\operatorname{Im} z)^{k-1}(\operatorname{Im} \omega(z))^{m}} d V \\
& \leq\left(\pi \varepsilon^{2} C_{1}\right)^{-1} \int_{N_{3 \varepsilon}}|\psi(z)|(\operatorname{Im} z)^{k+1}(\operatorname{Im} \omega(z))^{m} d V,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
C_{1}=\inf \left\{(\operatorname{Im} z)^{k-1}(\operatorname{Im} \omega(z))^{m} \mid z \in N_{3 \varepsilon}\right\}
$$

Thus the lemma follows by setting $C=\left(\pi \varepsilon^{2} C_{1}\right)^{-1}$.
If $U$ is a connected open subset of $\mathcal{H}$, then we define the norm $\|\cdot\|_{U}$ on the space of holomorphic functions on $U$ by

$$
\|\psi\|_{U}=\int_{U}|\psi(z)|(\operatorname{Im} z)^{k+1}(\operatorname{Im} \omega(z))^{m} d V
$$

where $\psi$ is a holomorphic function on $U$.

## Lemma 3.2

Let $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ be a Cauchy sequence of holomorphic functions on $U$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{U}$. Then the sequence $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ converges absolutely to a holomorphic function on $U$ uniformly on any compact subsets of $U$.

Proof. Let $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ be a Cauchy sequence of holomorphic functions on an open set $U \subset \mathcal{H}$. Then by Lemma 3.1, for each $z \in U$, there is a constant $C$ such that

$$
\left|f_{n}(z)-f_{m}(z)\right| \leq C\left\|f_{n}-f_{m}\right\|_{U}
$$

for all $n, m \geq 0$. Thus the sequence $\left\{f_{n}(z)\right\}$ of complex numbers is also a Cauchy sequence, and therefore it converges. We set $f(z)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{n}(z)$ for all $z \in U$. Let $z_{0} \in U$, and choose $\delta>0$ such that

$$
N_{3 \delta}=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}| | z-z_{0} \mid \leq 3 \delta\right\} \subset U
$$

Using Lemma 3.1 again, we have

$$
\left|f_{n}(z)-f_{m}(z)\right| \leq C^{\prime}\left\|f_{n}-f_{m}\right\|_{U}
$$

for all $z \in N_{\delta}=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}| | z-z_{0} \mid \leq \delta\right\}$. Given $\varepsilon>0$, let $N$ be a positive integer such that $\left\|f_{n}-f_{m}\right\|_{U}<\varepsilon /\left(2 C^{\prime}\right)$ whenever $m, n>N$. For each $z \in N_{\delta}$, if we choose an integer $n^{\prime}>N$ so that $\left|f_{n^{\prime}}(z)-f(z)\right|<\varepsilon / 2$, then we obtain

$$
\left|f_{n}(z)-f(z)\right| \leq\left|f_{n}(z)-f_{n^{\prime}}(z)\right|+\left|f_{n^{\prime}}(z)-f(z)\right|<\varepsilon
$$

for all $n>N$. Thus the sequence $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ converges to $f$ uniformly on $N_{\delta}$ and therefore on any compact subsets of $U$. Hence it follows that $f$ is holomorphic function on $U$.

Let $\phi_{\nu}$ be as in (2.3), and let $\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{\mu}\right\}$ be the set of all $\Gamma$-inequivalent cusps of $\Gamma$. We choose a neighborhoods $U_{i}$ of $s_{i}$ for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, \mu\}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Gamma_{0} \backslash \mathcal{H}^{\prime}}\left|\phi_{\nu}(z)\right|(\operatorname{Im} z)^{p}(\operatorname{Im} \omega(z))^{q} d V<\infty \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ and $q$ are nonnegative integers and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}^{\prime}=\mathcal{H}-\bigcup_{i=1}^{\mu} \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma U_{i} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Theorem 3.3

The series in (2.4) defining $P_{(2 k+2,2 m)}^{\nu}(z)$ converges absolutely on $\mathcal{H}$ and uniformly on compact subsets, and, in particular, the function $P_{(2 k+2,2 m)}^{\nu}(z)$ is holomorphic on $\mathcal{H}$.

Proof. Let $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{\mu}$ be the $\Gamma$-inequivalent cusps of $\Gamma$ as above, and let $z_{0}$ be an element of $\mathcal{H}$. We choose neighborhoods $W$ of $z_{0}$ and $U_{i}$ of $s_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq \mu$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma W \cap W \neq \emptyset\}=\Gamma_{z_{0}}, \quad \gamma W \cap U_{i}=\emptyset \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $1 \leq i \leq \mu$, where $\Gamma_{z_{0}}$ is the stabilizer of $z_{0}$ in $\Gamma$. Then, using (2.3) and

$$
\operatorname{Im} \gamma w=|J(\gamma, w)|^{-2} \cdot \operatorname{Im} w, \quad \operatorname{Im} \omega(\gamma w)=|J(\chi \gamma, \omega(w))|^{-2} \cdot \operatorname{Im} \omega(w)
$$

for $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $w \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|P_{(2 k+2,2 m)}^{\nu}\right\|_{W} & =\int_{W}\left|\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{s} \backslash \Gamma}\left(\left.\phi_{\nu}\right|_{(2 k+2,2 m)} \gamma\right)(z)\right|(\operatorname{Im} z)^{k+1}(\operatorname{Im} \omega(z))^{m} d V \\
& \leq \int_{W} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{s} \backslash \Gamma}\left|\left(\left.\phi_{\nu}\right|_{(2 k+2,2 m)} \gamma\right)(z)\right|(\operatorname{Im} z)^{k+1}(\operatorname{Im} \omega(z))^{m} d V \\
& =\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{s} \backslash \Gamma} \int_{W}\left|\phi_{\nu}(\gamma z)\right|(\operatorname{Im} \gamma z)^{k+1}(\operatorname{Im} \omega(\gamma z))^{m} d V \\
& =\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{s} \backslash \Gamma} \int_{\gamma W}\left|\phi_{\nu}(z)\right|(\operatorname{Im} z)^{k+1}(\operatorname{Im} \omega(z))^{m} d V
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to estimate the number of terms in the above sum, let $\gamma^{\prime} \in \Gamma$ and set

$$
\Xi=\left\{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma^{\prime \prime} \gamma W \cap \gamma^{\prime} W \neq \emptyset \quad \text { for some } \quad \gamma^{\prime \prime} \in \Gamma_{s}\right\}
$$

Then by (3.3) we see that $\gamma^{\prime} W \in \mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ and

$$
\left|\Gamma_{s} \backslash \Xi\right| \leq\left|\Gamma_{s} \backslash \Gamma_{s} \gamma^{\prime} \Gamma_{z_{0}}\right| \leq\left|\Gamma_{z_{0}}\right|
$$

where $|\cdot|$ denotes the cardinality. Thus, using this and (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{s} \backslash \Gamma} \int_{\gamma W}\left|\phi_{\nu}(z)\right| & (\operatorname{Im} z)^{k+1}(\operatorname{Im} \omega(z))^{m} d V \\
& \leq\left|\Gamma_{z_{0}}\right| \int_{\Gamma_{s} \backslash \mathcal{H}^{\prime}}\left|\phi_{\nu}(z)\right|(\operatorname{Im} z)^{k+1}(\operatorname{Im} \omega(z))^{m} d V<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we obtain $\left\|P_{(2 k+2,2 m)}^{\nu}\right\|_{W}<\infty$, and by Lemma 3.2 we see that $P_{(2 k+2,2 m)}^{\nu}(z)$ converges absolutely on $W$ and uniformly on compact subsets of $W$. Thus it follows that the function $P_{(2 k+2,2 m)}^{\nu}(z)$ is holomorphic on $W$, and therefore is holomorphic on $\mathcal{H}$ as well.

## 4. Cusp conditions

In this section, we show that the function $P_{(2 k+2,2 m)}^{\nu}(z)$ is holomorphic at each cusp for all nonnegative integers $\nu$ and that it vanishes at each cusp for $\nu>0$.

## Lemma 4.1

Let $s^{\prime}$ be a cusp of $\Gamma$ such that $\sigma^{\prime} s^{\prime}=\infty$ with $\sigma^{\prime} \in S L(2, \mathbb{R})$, and let $\sigma_{\chi}^{\prime} \in$ $S L(2, \mathbb{R})$ be an element with $\sigma_{\chi}^{\prime} \omega(s)=\infty$. Using the notation in (2.2), the function $\phi_{\nu}$ given in (2.3) satisfies the following conditions.
(i) If $s^{\prime}$ is not $\Gamma$-equivalent to $s$, then there exist positive real numbers $M$ and $\lambda$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(\left.\phi_{\nu}\right|_{(2 k+2,2 m)} \sigma^{\prime-1}\right)(z)\right| \leq M|z|^{-2 k-2} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $\operatorname{Im} z>\lambda$.
(ii) If $s^{\prime}$ is $\Gamma$-equivalent to $s$, then there exist positive real numbers $M$ and $\lambda$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(\left.\phi_{\nu}\right|_{(2 k+2,2 m)} \sigma^{\prime-1}\right)(z)\right| \leq M \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $\operatorname{Im} z>\lambda$. If in addition $\nu>0$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left.\phi_{\nu}\right|_{(2 k+2,2 m)} \sigma^{\prime-1}\right)(z) \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $\operatorname{Im} z \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Using (2.2) and (2.3), for $z \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\left.\phi_{\nu}\right|_{(2 k+2,2 m)} \sigma^{\prime-1}\right)(z)= & J\left(\sigma^{\prime-1}, z\right)^{-2 k-2} J\left(\sigma_{\chi}^{\prime-1}, \omega(z)\right)^{-2 m} \\
& \times J\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime-1} z\right)^{-2 k-2} J\left(\sigma_{\chi}, \omega\left(\sigma^{\prime-1} z\right)\right)^{-2 m} \\
& \times \exp \left(2 \pi i \nu \sigma \sigma^{\prime-1} / h\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\sigma \sigma^{\prime-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)$ and if $\operatorname{Im} z>2|d| /|c|$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|J\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime-1} z\right) \cdot J\left(\sigma^{\prime-1}, z\right)\right| & =\left|J\left(\sigma \sigma^{\prime-1}, z\right)\right|=|c z+d| \\
& \geq|c||z|-|d| \geq|c||z|-(|c| / 2) \operatorname{Im} z \\
& =|c||z|-(|c| / 2)|z|=|c||z| / 2
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, if $\sigma_{\chi}^{\prime-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a^{\prime} & b^{\prime} \\ c^{\prime} & d^{\prime}\end{array}\right)$ and $\sigma_{\chi}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}a^{\prime \prime} & b^{\prime \prime} \\ c^{\prime \prime} & d^{\prime \prime}\end{array}\right)$, then we obtain

$$
\left|J\left(\sigma_{\chi}^{\prime-1}, \omega(z)\right)\right|\left|J\left(\sigma_{\chi}, \omega\left(\sigma^{\prime-1} z\right)\right)\right|=\left|c^{\prime} \omega(z)+d^{\prime}\right|\left|c^{\prime \prime} \omega\left(\sigma^{\prime-1} z\right)+d^{\prime \prime}\right|
$$

Since $\operatorname{Im} \omega(z) \rightarrow \infty$ and $\omega\left(\sigma^{\prime-1} z\right) \rightarrow \omega\left(s^{\prime}\right)$ as $\operatorname{Im} z \rightarrow \infty$, there exist real numbers $A, \lambda^{\prime}>0$ such that

$$
\left|J\left(\sigma_{\chi}^{\prime-1}, \omega(z)\right)\right|\left|J\left(\sigma_{\chi}, \omega\left(\sigma^{\prime-1} z\right)\right)\right| \geq A
$$

whenever $\operatorname{Im} z>\lambda^{\prime}$. We set $\lambda=\max \left(\lambda^{\prime}, 2|d| /|c|\right)$. Then, whenever $\operatorname{Im} z>\lambda$, we have

$$
\left|\left(\left.\phi_{\nu}\right|_{(2 k+2,2 m)} \sigma^{\prime-1}\right)(z)\right| \leq(|c||z| / 2)^{-2 k-2} A^{-2 m} \exp \left(-2 \pi \nu \sigma \sigma^{\prime}(\operatorname{Im} z) / h\right)
$$

Thus (4.1) holds for $M=(|c| / 2)^{-2 k-2} A^{-2 m} \exp \left(-2 \pi \nu \sigma \sigma^{\prime} \lambda / h\right)$, and therefore (i) follows. As for (ii), if $s^{\prime}$ is equivalent to $s$, we may assume that $\sigma=\sigma^{\prime}$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\left.\phi_{\nu}\right|_{(2 k+2,2 m)} \sigma^{\prime-1}\right)(z)= & J(1, z)^{-2 k-2} J\left(\sigma_{\chi}^{-1}, \omega(z)\right)^{-2 m} \\
& \times J\left(\sigma_{\chi}, \omega\left(\sigma^{-1} z\right)\right)^{-2 m} \exp (2 \pi i \nu z / h)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $J(1, z)=1$, we obtain (4.2) by arguing as in the case of (i).

## Theorem 4.2

Let $s_{0}$ be a cusp of $\Gamma$. Then the function $P_{(2 k+2,2 m)}^{\nu}(z)$ is holomorphic at $s_{0}$ for all nonnegative integers $\nu$. Furthermore, $P_{(2 k+2,2 m)}^{\nu}(z)$ vanishes at $s_{0}$ if $\nu>0$.

Proof. Let $\Gamma_{s_{0}} \subset \Gamma$ be the stabilizer of the cusp $s_{0}$, and let $\{\delta\}$ be a complete set of representatives of $\Gamma_{s} \backslash \Gamma / \Gamma_{s_{0}}$. Given $\delta$, let $\{\eta\}$ be a complete set of representatives of $\delta^{-1} \Gamma_{s} \delta \cap \Gamma_{s_{0}} \backslash \Gamma_{s_{0}}$, so that we have $\Gamma=\coprod_{\delta, \eta} \Gamma_{s} \delta \eta$. We set

$$
\phi_{\nu, \delta}(z)=\sum_{\eta}\left(\left.\phi_{\nu}\right|_{(2 k+2,2 m)} \delta \eta\right)(z)
$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{H}$. Then we have

$$
P_{(2 k+2,2 m)}^{\nu}(z)=\sum_{\delta} \sum_{\eta}\left(\left.\phi_{\nu}\right|_{(2 k+2,2 m)} \delta \eta\right)(z)=\sum_{\delta} \phi_{\nu, \delta}(z)
$$

By Theorem 3.3 there is a neighborhood $U$ of $s_{0}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ such that $P_{(2 k+2,2 m)}^{\nu}(z)$ converges uniformly on any compact subset of $U$. Hence, if $\sigma_{0} s_{0}=\infty$ with $\sigma_{0} \in$ $S L(2, \mathbb{R})$, then the function

$$
\left.P_{(2 k+2,2 m)}^{\nu}\right|_{(2 k+2,2 m)} \sigma_{0}^{-1}=\left.\sum_{\delta} \phi_{\nu, \delta}\right|_{(2 k+2,2 m)} \sigma_{0}^{-1}
$$

converges uniformly on any compact subset of $\{z \in \mathcal{H} \mid \operatorname{Im} z>d\}$ for some positive real number $d$. Therefore it suffices to show that each $\left.\phi_{\nu, \delta}\right|_{(2 k+2,2 m)} \sigma_{0}^{-1}$ is holomorphic at $\infty$ and that it has zero at $\infty$ if $\nu>0$. First, suppose that $\delta s_{0}$ is not a cusp of $\Gamma_{s}$. Then $\delta^{-1} \Gamma_{s} \delta \cap \Gamma_{s_{0}}$ coincides with $\{1\}$ or $\{ \pm 1\}$, and hence we have

$$
\left.\phi_{\nu, \delta}\right|_{(2 k+2,2 m)} \sigma_{0}^{-1}=C \cdot \sum_{\eta \in \Gamma_{s_{0}}}\left(\left.\phi_{\nu}\right|_{(2 k+2,2 m)} \delta \sigma_{0}^{-1} \sigma_{0} \eta \sigma_{0}^{-1}\right)
$$

with $C=1$ or $1 / 2$, respectively. Applying (4.1) for $s=\delta s_{0}, \sigma=\sigma_{0} \delta^{-1}$, we obtain

$$
\left|\left(\left.\phi_{\nu}\right|_{(2 k+2,2 m)} \delta \sigma_{0}^{-1}\right)(z)\right| \leq M|z|^{-2 k-2}
$$

for all $z$ with $\operatorname{Im} z>\lambda$ for some $M, \lambda>0$. Thus we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(\left.\phi_{\nu, \delta}\right|_{(2 k+2,2 m)} \sigma_{0}^{-1}\right)(z)\right| \leq 2 M \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}}|z+\alpha b|^{-2 k-2} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b$ is a positive real number such that

$$
\sigma_{0} \Gamma_{s_{0}} \sigma_{0}^{-1} \cdot\{ \pm 1\}=\left\{\left. \pm\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & b \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)^{\alpha} \right\rvert\, \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

By comparing the series on the right hand side of (4.4) with the series $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha^{-2 k-2}$, we see that it converges uniformly on any compact subset of the domain $\operatorname{Im} z>$ $\lambda$. Hence it follows that $\left.\phi_{\nu, \delta}\right|_{(2 k+2,2 m)} \sigma_{0}^{-1}$ is holomorphic at $\infty$. Furthermore, $\left.\phi_{\nu, \delta}\right|_{(2 k+2,2 m)} \sigma_{0}^{-1}$ vanishes at $\infty$ because the right hand side of (4.4) approaches zero as $z \rightarrow \infty$. Next, suppose $\delta s_{0}$ is a cusp of $\Gamma_{s}$. Then $\delta^{-1} \Gamma_{s} \delta \cap \Gamma_{s_{0}}$ is a subgroup of $\Gamma_{s_{0}}$ of finite index; hence the sum on the right hand side of

$$
\left.\phi_{\nu, \delta}\right|_{(2 k+2,2 m)} \sigma_{0}^{-1}=\sum_{\eta}\left(\left.\phi_{\nu}\right|_{(2 k+2,2 m)} \delta \sigma_{0}^{-1} \sigma_{0} \eta \sigma_{0}^{-1}\right)
$$

where the summation is over $\eta \in \delta^{-1} \Gamma_{s} \delta \cap \Gamma_{s_{0}} \backslash \Gamma_{s_{0}}$, is a finite sum. Using (4.2) for $s=\delta s_{0}$ and $\sigma=\sigma_{0} \delta^{-1}$, for each $\delta$ we obtain

$$
\left|\left(\left.\phi_{\nu}\right|_{(2 k+2,2 m)} \delta \sigma_{0}^{-1}\right)(z)\right| \leq M
$$

for all $\operatorname{Im} z>\lambda$ for some $M, \lambda>0$. For each $\eta \in \Gamma_{s_{0}}$ we have

$$
\sigma_{0} \eta \sigma_{0}^{-1}= \pm\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \beta b \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

for some $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$; hence we have

$$
\left|\left(\left.\phi_{\nu, \delta}\right|_{(2 k+2,2 m)} \sigma_{0}^{-1}\right)(z)\right| \leq M
$$

for all $\operatorname{Im} z>\lambda$, and it follows that $\left.\phi_{\nu, \delta}\right|_{(2 k+2,2 m)} \sigma_{0}^{-1}$ is holomorphic at $\infty$. Furthermore, if $\nu>0$, then by (4.3) we have

$$
\left(\left.\phi_{\nu}\right|_{(2 k+2,2 m)} \delta \sigma_{0}^{-1}\right)(z) \rightarrow 0
$$

as $\operatorname{Im} z \rightarrow \infty$; hence we see that $\left.\phi_{\nu, \delta}\right|_{(2 k+2,2 m)} \sigma_{0}^{-1}$ vanishes at $\infty$.

## Theorem 4.3

The Eisenstein series $P_{(2 k+2,2 m)}^{0}(z)$ is a mixed automorphic form and the Poincaré series $P_{(2 k+2,2 m)}^{\nu}(z)$ is a mixed cusp form for $\Gamma$ of type $(2 k+2,2 m)$.

Proof. Using the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
J\left(\gamma, \gamma^{\prime} z\right) & =J\left(\gamma^{\prime}, z\right)^{-1} J\left(\gamma \gamma^{\prime}, z\right) \\
J\left(\chi(\gamma), \chi\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right) \omega(z)\right) & =J\left(\chi\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right), \omega(z)\right)^{-1} J\left(\chi\left(\gamma \gamma^{\prime}\right), \omega(z)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\gamma, \gamma^{\prime} \in \Gamma$ and $z \in \mathcal{H}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{(2 k+2,2 m)}^{\nu}\left(\gamma^{\prime} z\right)= & \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{s} \backslash \Gamma}\left(\left.\phi_{\nu}\right|_{(2 k+2,2 m)} \gamma\right)\left(\gamma^{\prime} z\right) \\
= & \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{s} \backslash \Gamma} J\left(\gamma, \gamma^{\prime} z\right)^{-2 k-2} J\left(\chi(\gamma), \omega\left(\gamma^{\prime} z\right)\right)^{-2 m} \phi_{\nu}\left(\gamma \gamma^{\prime} z\right) \\
= & J\left(\gamma^{\prime}, z\right)^{2 k+2} J\left(\chi\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right), \omega(z)\right)^{2 m} \\
& \times \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{s} \backslash \Gamma} J\left(\gamma \gamma^{\prime}, z\right)^{-2 k-2} J\left(\chi\left(\gamma \gamma^{\prime}\right), \omega(z)\right)^{-2 m} \phi_{\nu}\left(\gamma \gamma^{\prime} z\right) \\
= & J\left(\gamma^{\prime}, z\right)^{2 k+2} J\left(\chi\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right), \omega(z)\right)^{2 m} P_{(2 k+2,2 m)}^{\nu}(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\gamma^{\prime} \in \Gamma$ and $z \in \mathcal{H}$; hence $P_{(2 k+2,2 m)}^{\nu}$ satisfies the condition (i) in Definition 2.1. Therefore the theorem follows from the cusp conditions given in Theorem 4.2.
Remark 4.4. If $\omega$ and $\chi$ are the identity maps, then $P_{(2 k+2,2 m)}^{0}(z)$ and $P_{(2 k+2,2 m)}^{\nu}(z)$ for $\nu>0$ are the Eisenstein series and the Poincaré series, respectively, for elliptic modular forms for $\Gamma$ of weight $2(k+m+1)$. Poincaré series were also considered in [5] for mixed cusp forms of type $(2,2 m)$.
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