Collect. Math. 48, 4-6 (1997), 619-634

(c) 1997 Universitat de Barcelona

# Onesided approximation and real interpolation<sup>1</sup>

### N. Krugljak

Department of Mathematics, Yaroslavl State University, Yaroslavl, Rusia

#### E. Matvejev

Department of Physics and Mathematics, Yaroslavl State Pedagogical University, Yaroslavl, Rusia

### Abstract

It is proved that the reiteration theorem is not valid for the spaces  $A_p^{\theta,q}$  defined by V. Popov by means of onesided approximation. It is also proved that a class of cones, defined by onesided approximation of piecewise linear functions on the interval [0,1], is stable for the real interpolation method.

# 1. Introduction

The spaces  $A_p^{\theta,q}$ ,  $1 \leq p,q \leq \infty$ ,  $k > \theta > 0$ , were introduced by V. Popov in [5]. It is known (see, for example, [1], [6], [10], [11]) that they are equivalent to the spaces defined by onesided trigonometrical or spline approximation.

The first interpolation result for  $A_p^{\theta,q}$  was obtained by V. Popov in [7]; he proved that the average modulus of continuity  $\tau_k(f,t)_p$  is equivalent to the onesided K-functional for the Banach couple  $(L_p,W_p^k)$ . The interpolation properties of A-spaces were also studied in [3]. There the author posed the problem if the A-spaces are stable for the real interpolation method.

It is possible to prove, using the technique of [2], that the embedding

$$\left(A_p^{\theta_0,q_0},A_p^{\theta_1,q_1}\right)_{\lambda,q}\subset A_p^{(1-\lambda)\theta_0+\lambda\theta_1,q}, 1\leq p,q\leq\infty\,, 0<\lambda<1\,,$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This research has been partially supported by Grant N. J9I100 of the International Science Foundation of the Russian Government.

holds. The inverse embedding is not valid, and we present here a counterexample due to N. Krugljak.

We also prove the reiteration theorem for a family of cones of nonnegative functions defined by onesided approximation. For that we modify the sequence of piecewise-linear functions  $f_n$  of the best onesided approximation and construct a sequence  $\{f_n^+\}$  such that  $f_1^+ \leq f_2^+ \leq \ldots \leq f$ . It is essential that the degree of the onesided approximation by the sequences  $\{f_n\}$  and  $\{f_n^+\}$  are equal. The problem of constructing such a sequence is due to S. Stechkin ([9]) and has its own interest (cf. [4] or [8]).

# 2. An equivalent norm for the space $A_1^{\theta,\infty}$

 $A_p^{\theta,q}~(1\leq p,q\leq\infty~{\rm and}~k>\theta>0)$  is the space of all bounded measurable functions such that

$$||f||_{A_p^{\theta,q}} = \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\tau_k(f,t)_p}{t^{\theta}}\right)^q \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{1/q} < \infty.$$

Here  $\tau_k(f,t)_p$  is the average modulus of continuity  $\tau_k(f,t)_p = \left(\int_0^1 \omega_k(f,x,t)^p dx\right)^{1/p}$ , with

$$\omega_k(f, x, t) = \sup \left\{ \left| \Delta_h^k f(y) \right|; y, y + kh \in \left[ x - \frac{kt}{2}, x + \frac{kt}{2} \right] \cap [0, 1] \right\},\,$$

and  $\Delta_h^k$  is the k-difference operator with step h. The seminorm of  $A_1^{\theta,\infty}$  is defined by

$$||f||_{A_1^{\theta,\infty}} = \sup_{0 < t < \infty} \frac{\tau(f,t)_1}{t^{\theta}}.$$

We write  $\omega$  and  $\tau$  for  $\omega_1$  and  $\tau_1$ .

For every interval Q (Q can be closed, open, half-open) we denote  $\operatorname{osc} f(Q) = \sup_{x \in Q \cap [0,1]} f(x) - \inf_{x \in Q \cap [0,1]} f(x)$  and we need the following simple properties of the oscillation:

1. Let  $Q, Q_1, Q_2$  be intervals such that  $Q \subset Q_1 \cup Q_2$ . Then, for the continuous function f,

$$\operatorname{osc} f(Q) \le \operatorname{osc} f(Q_1) + \operatorname{osc} f(Q_2). \tag{1}$$

If  $Q_1 \cap Q_2 \neq \emptyset$ , this inequality is true for any function.

2. If f, g are two functions on Q, then

$$\operatorname{osc}(f+g)(Q) \le \operatorname{osc} f(Q) + \operatorname{osc} f(Q). \tag{2}$$

The finite family of intervals  $Q_i = [(i-1)t, it] \cap [0,1] \neq \emptyset$  (0 < t < 1) is a partition of [0,1] denoted by  $\pi_t$ . The oscillation of f on  $\pi_t$  is

$$\operatorname{osc}_{\pi_t} f = \sum_{Q \in \pi_t} \operatorname{osc} f(Q).$$

We denote by  $\pi_{2^n}$  the partition of [0,1] into  $2^n$  equal intervals,  $Q_i = [(i-1)/2^n, i/2^n]$ , and then  $\operatorname{osc}_{2^n} f = \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} \operatorname{osc} f(Q_i)$ .

### Proposition 2.1

If f is a measurable function on [0,1], then

$$c_1 \sup_{n \geq 0} \frac{\csc_{2^n} \mathbf{f}}{2^{(1-\theta)n}} \leq \|f\|_{A_1^{\theta,\infty}} \leq c_2 \sup_{n \geq 0} \frac{\csc_{2^n} \mathbf{f}}{2^{(1-\theta)n}} \,,$$

where  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are two constants independent on f and n.

*Proof.* Let  $Q_i = [x_i, y_i]$  be any interval from the partition  $\pi_t$ . It follows from (1) that

$$\omega(f, x, t) \le \begin{cases} \operatorname{osc} f(Q_i) + \operatorname{osc} f(Q_{i+1}), & \text{if } (x_i + y_i)/2 \le x < y_i \\ \operatorname{osc} f(Q_{i-1}) + \operatorname{osc} f(Q_i), & \text{if } x_i < x < (x_i + y_i)/2. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\tau(f,t)_1 = \int_0^1 \omega(f,x,t) dx \le 2t \operatorname{osc}_{\pi_t} f.$$
 (3)

On the other hand, if  $x \in Q$ , where Q is an interval from the partition  $\pi_{t/2}$ , then  $\omega(f,x,t) \geq \operatorname{osc} f(Q)$  and

$$\tau(f,t)_1 \ge \frac{t}{2} \operatorname{osc}_{\pi_{t/2}} f. \tag{4}$$

From (3) and (4) we obtain  $2^{-\theta}(t/2)^{1-\theta} \operatorname{osc}_{\pi_{\mathfrak{t}/2}} f \leq \tau(f,\mathfrak{t})_1/\mathfrak{t}^{\theta} \leq 2\mathfrak{t}^{1-\theta} \operatorname{osc}_{\pi_{\mathfrak{t}}} f$ . As  $\tau(f,t)_1 = \tau(f,2)_1$  for t>2, then

$$2^{-\theta} \sup_{0 < t < 2} t^{1-\theta} \operatorname{osc}_{\pi_{t}} f \le \|f\|_{A_{1}^{\theta,\infty}} \le 2 \sup_{0 < t < 2} t^{1-\theta} \operatorname{osc}_{\pi_{t}} f.$$
 (5)

If 0 < t < 1, there exists  $n \ge 0$  such that  $1/2^n \le t < 1/2^{n-1}$ , it follows from (1) that  $2 \csc_{2^n} f \le \csc_{\pi_t} f \le 3 \csc_{2^{n-1}} f$ , and (5) and the last inequality finishes the proof.  $\square$ 

3. The embedding  $(A_1^{\theta_0,\infty},A_1^{\theta_1,\infty})_{\lambda,q}\subset A_1^{(1-\lambda)\theta_0+\lambda\theta_1,q}$  is strict

Let  $\vec{Y} = (Y_0, Y_1)$  be a couple of Banach spaces. The K-functional is defined by

$$K(t, f, \vec{Y}) = \inf_{f = f_0 + f_1} (||f_0||_{Y_0} + t||f_1||_{Y_1}).$$

The interpolation space  $Y_{\lambda,q}$   $(0 < \lambda < 1, 1 \le q \le \infty)$  is the space of all the elements 

Let us divide [0,1] into  $2^n$  equal intervals and the last interval into  $2^m$  equal intervals,  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We define the function  $f_{n,m}$  by

$$f_{n,m} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 0 \le x \le 1 - \frac{1}{2^n} \\ 0, & \text{if } x = 1 - \frac{1}{2^n} + \frac{2}{2^{n+m}}, 1 - \frac{1}{2^n} + \frac{4}{2^{n+m}}, \dots, 1 \\ 1, & \text{if } x = 1 - \frac{1}{2^n} + \frac{1}{2^{n+m}}, 1 - \frac{1}{2^n} + \frac{3}{2^{n+m}}, \dots, 1 - \frac{1}{2^{n+m}} \\ \text{linear on every interval } \left[ \frac{i}{2^{n+m}}, \frac{i+1}{2^{n+m}} \right], \ 2^{n+m} - 2^m \le i \le 2^{n+m} - 1. \end{cases}$$

It is not difficult to check that

$$\operatorname{osc}_{2^{k}} f_{n,m} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 0 \le k \le n \\ 2^{m}, & \text{if } k \ge m+n \\ 2^{k-n}, & \text{if } n < k \le m+n , \end{cases}$$

and it follows from Proposition 2.1 that

$$||f_{n,m}||_{A_1^{\theta,\infty}} \ge c_1 \sup_{k\ge 0} \frac{\operatorname{osc}_{2^k} f_{n,m}}{2^{(1-\theta)k}}$$

$$= c_1 \max\left(\sup_{0\le k\le n} \frac{\operatorname{osc}_{2^k} f_{n,m}}{2^{(1-\theta)k}}, \sup_{k\ge n} \frac{\operatorname{osc}_{2^k} f_{n,m}}{2^{(1-\theta)k}}\right) = c_1 \max\left(1, \frac{2^m}{2^{(1-\theta)(n+m)}}\right).$$

We denote  $q_{\theta} = 2^{1-\theta}$ , hence  $\|f_{n,m}\|_{A_1^{\theta,\infty}} \ge c_1 \max(1, 2^m/q_{\theta}^{n+m})$ . Let us suppose that  $(A_1^{\theta_0,\infty}, A_1^{\theta_1,\infty})_{\lambda,\infty} \supset A_1^{(1-\lambda)\theta_0+\lambda\theta_1,\infty}$ . Then there exists a constant C > 0 independent on m and n such that

$$||f_{n,m}||_{A_1^{(1-\lambda)\theta_0+\lambda\theta_1,\infty}} \ge C \sup_{t\ge 0} \frac{K(t,f_{n,m},\vec{A})}{t^{\lambda}},$$

where  $\vec{A}=(A_1^{\theta_0,\infty},A_1^{\theta_1,\infty}).$  From now on we shall suppose without any lose of generality that  $\theta_0 > \theta_{\lambda} > \theta_1$ , with  $\theta_{\lambda} = (1 - \lambda)\theta_0 + \lambda\theta_1$ . In particular, for t = 0 $(q_{\theta_1}/q_{\theta_0})^n$  we have

$$\left(\frac{q_{\theta_1}}{q_{\theta_0}}\right)^n \|f_{n,m}\|_{A_1^{(1-\lambda)\theta_0+\lambda\theta_1,\infty}} \geq CK\Bigg(\left(\frac{q_{\theta_1}}{q_{\theta_0}}\right)^n, f_{n,m}, \vec{A}\Bigg).$$

It follows from Proposition 2.1 that

$$||f_{n,m}||_{A_1^{(1-\lambda)\theta_0 + \lambda\theta_1,\infty}} \le c_2 \max\left(1, \frac{2^m}{q_{\theta_0}^{(1-\lambda)(n+m)} q_{\theta_1}^{\lambda(n+m)}}\right).$$
 (6)

Then multiplying both sides of (6) by  $(q_{\theta_1}/q_{\theta_0})^{n\lambda}$ , we rewrite it as

$$\max\left(\left(\frac{q_{\theta_1}}{q_{\theta_0}}\right)^{n\lambda}, \frac{2^m}{q_{\theta_0}^{(1-\lambda)(n+m)}q_{\theta_1}^{\lambda(n+m)}}\left(\frac{q_{\theta_1}}{q_{\theta_0}}\right)^{n\lambda}\right) \ge CK\left(\left(\frac{q_{\theta_1}}{q_{\theta_0}}\right)^n, f_{n,m}, \vec{A}\right)$$

and, if we denote  $c_{n,m} = K((q_{\theta_1}/q_{\theta_0})^n, f_{n,m}, A)$ ,

$$\max\left(\left(\frac{q_{\theta_1}}{q_{\theta_0}}\right)^{\lambda}, \frac{2^{m/n}}{q_{\theta_0}q_{\theta_{\lambda}}^{m/n}}\right)^n \ge Cc_{n,m}.$$

Then there exist  $f_{n,m}^0 \in A_1^{\theta_0,\infty}$  and  $f_{n,m}^1 \in A_1^{\theta_1,\infty}$  such that  $f_{n,m} = f_{n,m}^0 + f_{n,m}^1$  and

$$\|f_{n,m}^0\|_{A_1^{\theta_0,\infty}} + \left(\frac{q_{\theta_1}}{q_{\theta_0}}\right)^n \|f_{n,m}^1\|_{A_1^{\theta_1,\infty}} \le 2c_{n,m}.$$

We consider two cases: (a)  $2c_{n,m} < c_1/4 \left(\frac{q_{\theta_1}}{q_{\theta_0}}\right)^n$ , and (b)  $2c_{n,m} \ge c_1/4 \left(\frac{q_{\theta_1}}{q_{\theta_0}}\right)^n$ , where  $c_1$  is the constant from Proposition 2.1.

If (a) takes place, then  $\|f_{n,m}^1\|_{A_{*}^{\theta_{1},\infty}} < c_1/4$ ; as  $c_1 \operatorname{osc}_{2^0} f_{n,m}^1 < c_1 \sup_{k \geq 0} c_1/4$  $\frac{\operatorname{osc}_{2^{k}}f_{n,m}^{1}}{2^{(1-\theta)^{k}}} \leq \|f_{n,m}^{1}\|_{A_{1}^{\theta_{1},\infty}}, \text{ then } \operatorname{osc}_{2^{0}}f_{n,m}^{1} < 1/4. \text{ As } f_{n,m}^{0} = f_{n,m} - f_{n,m}^{1} \text{ and }$ osc  $_{2^0}$   $f_{n,m} = 1$ ; then it follows from (2) that osc  $_{2^0}$   $f_{n,m}^0 \ge$ osc  $_{2^0}$   $f_{n,m} -$  osc  $_{2^0}$   $f_{n,m}^1 \ge$ 3/4. Analogously, from the definition of  $f_{n,m}$  we obtain that osc  $_{2^{n+m}}$   $f_{n,m}^0 \ge (3/4)2^m$ .

Again from Proposition 2.1 it follows that

$$||f_{n,m}^0||_{A_1^{\theta_0,\infty}} \ge c_1 \frac{\operatorname{osc}_{2^{n+m}} f_{n,m}^0}{2^{(1-\theta_0)(n+m)}} \ge \frac{3}{4} c_1 \frac{2^m}{q_{\theta_0}^{n+m}}.$$

Consequently  $c_{n,m} \geq 3/8c_1 \frac{2^m}{q_{\theta_0}^{n+m}}$ ; that is why we have the last inequality or (b). Then

$$K\left(\left(\frac{q_{\theta_1}}{q_{\theta_0}}\right)^n f_{n,m}, \vec{A}\right) \ge \frac{1}{8} c_1 \min\left(\left(\frac{q_{\theta_1}}{q_{\theta_0}}\right)^n, \frac{2^m}{q_{\theta_0}^{n+m}}\right).$$

Then for all subsequences such that  $n_s, m_s \to \infty$  for  $s \to \infty$ 

$$\max\left(\left(\frac{q_{\theta_1}}{q_{\theta_0}}\right)^{\lambda}, \frac{2^{m_s/n_s}}{q_{\theta_0}q_{\theta_{\lambda}}^{m_s/n_s}}\right) \ge \left(\frac{C}{8}c_1\right)^{1/n_s} \min\left(\frac{q_{\theta_1}}{q_{\theta_0}}, \frac{2^{m_s/n_s}}{q_{\theta_0}q_{\theta_0}^{m_s/n_s}}\right).$$

Let us take  $n_s, m_s$ , such that  $m_s/n_s = \gamma$ ; we shall show that, if  $\theta_0 > \theta_1$ , there exists  $\gamma$  rational such that

$$\left(\left(\frac{q_{\theta_1}}{q_{\theta_0}}\right)^{\lambda}, \frac{2^{\gamma}}{q_{\theta_0}q_{\theta_{\lambda}}^{\gamma}}\right) \ge \left(\frac{C}{8}\right)^{1/n_s} \min\left(\frac{q_{\theta_1}}{q_{\theta_0}}, \frac{2^{\gamma}}{q_{\theta_0}q_{\theta_0}^{\gamma}}\right)$$

doesn't take place. If this is not the case, it follows that for  $n_s, m_s \to \infty$  we have

$$\max\left(\left(\frac{q_{\theta_1}}{q_{\theta_0}}\right)^{\lambda}, \frac{2^{\gamma}}{q_{\theta_0}q_{\theta_{\lambda}}^{\gamma}}\right) \geq \min\left(\frac{q_{\theta_1}}{q_{\theta_0}}, \frac{2^{\gamma}}{q_{\theta_0}q_{\theta_0}^{\gamma}}\right).$$

We will show that the inequalities

$$\left(\frac{q_{\theta_1}}{q_{\theta_0}}\right)^{\lambda} \ge \frac{q_{\theta_1}}{q_{\theta_0}}, \frac{2^{\gamma}}{q_{\theta_0}q_{\theta_{\lambda}}^{\gamma}} \ge \frac{2^{\gamma}}{q_{\theta_0}q_{\theta_0}^{\gamma}} \tag{7}$$

and

$$\left(\frac{q_{\theta_1}}{q_{\theta_0}}\right)^{\lambda} \ge \frac{2^{\gamma}}{q_{\theta_0}q_{\theta_0}^{\gamma}}, \frac{2^{\gamma}}{q_{\theta_0}q_{\theta_0}^{\gamma}} \ge \frac{q_{\theta_1}}{q_{\theta_0}} \tag{8}$$

are not valid.

Let us start with (7). From  $1 - \theta_0 < 1 - \theta_1$  (we recall that  $\theta_0 > \theta_1$ ) we have that  $2^{1-\theta_0} < 2^{1-\theta_1}$ ; hence  $q_{\theta_1} > q_{\theta_0}$  and  $q_{\theta_1}/q_{\theta_0} > 1$ . Then for  $0 < \lambda < 1$  we have  $(q_{\theta_1}/q_{\theta_0})^{\lambda} < q_{\theta_1}/q_{\theta_0}$ .

In the same way from  $1 - \theta_0 < 1 - \theta_{\lambda} < 1 - \theta_1$ , where

$$1 - \theta_{\lambda} = (1 - \lambda)(1 - \theta_0) + \lambda(1 - \theta_1),$$

we have that  $2^{1-\theta_0} < 2^{\theta_{\lambda}}$ ; hence  $q_{\theta_{\lambda}} > q_{\theta_0}$  and  $(q_{\theta_0}/q_{\theta_{\lambda}})^{\lambda} < 1$ . Then

$$\left(\frac{1}{q_{\theta_{\lambda}}}\right)^{\gamma} < \left(\frac{1}{q_{\theta_{0}}}\right)^{\gamma} \text{ and } \frac{2^{\gamma}}{q_{\theta_{0}}q_{\theta_{\lambda}}^{\gamma}} < \frac{2^{\gamma}}{q_{\theta_{0}}q_{\theta_{0}}^{\gamma}}.$$

To show that (8) is not valid we will find  $\gamma$  rational such that both inequalities are not true. This is equivalent to

$$q_{\theta_{\lambda}}^{1/\gamma} q_{\theta_0} < 2 < q_{\theta_1}^{1/\gamma} q_{\theta_{\lambda}}. \tag{9}$$

Let us denote  $\phi_1(\gamma) = q_{\theta_{\lambda}}^{1/\gamma} q_{\theta_0}$  and  $\phi_2(\gamma) = q_{\theta_1}^{1/\gamma} q_{\theta_{\lambda}}$ . It is not difficult to see that both functions  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  are continuous, monotone and such that

$$\lim_{\gamma \to 0} \phi_1(\gamma) = \lim_{\gamma \to 0} \phi_2(\gamma) = \infty$$

and

as

$$\lim_{\gamma \to \infty} \phi_1(\gamma) = q_{\theta_0} < 2 \text{ and } \lim_{\gamma \to \infty} \phi_2(\gamma) = q_{\theta_{\lambda}} < 2.$$

As  $q_{\theta_1} > q_{\theta_0}$  and  $q_{\theta_{\lambda}} > q_{\theta_0}$ , then  $\phi_2(\gamma) > \phi_1(\gamma)$  for all  $\gamma$  rational. From this it follows that we can find  $\gamma$  such that (9) is valid.

# 4. Real interpolation of cones defined by the piecewise–linear onesided approximation

Let us denote by  $S_{2^n}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the subspace of  $L_p(0,1)$ ,  $1 \le p \le \infty$ , consisting of all the piecewise-linear functions on the interval [0,1] with the knots in the points  $i/2^n$ ,  $(0 \le i \le 2^n)$ . If  $f \in S_{2^n}$ , then f is linear on every interval  $[(i-1)/2^n, i/2^n]$ ,  $1 \le i \le 2^n$ . An essential fact is that  $S_{2^n} \subset S_{2^{n+1}}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let us define for every measurable function f on [0,1] the sequence of the best onesided approximation by functions of the family  $S_{2^n}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , as

$$e_{2^n}^+(f)_p = \inf_{f_{2^n} \in S_{2^n}, f \ge f_{2^n}} \left( \int_0^1 \left( f(x) - f_{2^n}(x) \right)^p dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

Then we define the cone  $A_p^{+\theta,q}$   $(0<\theta<2,\,1\leq p,q\leq\infty)$  as the set of all real measurable functions on [0,1] with finite seminorm

$$||f||_{\theta,q} = \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(2^{\theta n} e_{2^n}^+(f)_p\right)^q\right)^{1/q}, & \text{if } 1 \le q < \infty; \\ \sup_{n \ge 0} 2^{\theta n} e_{2^n}^+(f)_p, & \text{if } q = \infty. \end{cases}$$

For the couple of the cones  $\vec{A}_+ = (A_p^{+\theta_0,q_0}, A_p^{+\theta_1,q_1})$  we define the K-functional

$$K(t, f, \vec{A}_{+}) = \inf_{f = f_{0} + f_{1}} (\|f_{0}\|_{\theta_{0}, q_{0}} + t\|f_{1}\|_{\theta_{1}, q_{1}}).$$

The interpolation cone  $(A_p^{+\theta_0,q_0},A_p^{+\theta_1,q_1})_{\lambda,q}$   $(0<\lambda<1)$  and  $1\leq q\leq\infty$  is defined in the usual way.

### Theorem 4.1

If  $0 < \theta_0, \theta_1 < 1 + 1/p$ , then

$$\left(A_p^{+\theta_0,q_0}, A_p^{+\theta_1,q_1}\right)_{\lambda,q} = A_p^{+(1-\lambda)\theta_0 + \lambda\theta_1,q},$$

where  $0 < \lambda < 1$  and  $1 \le p, q \le \infty$ .

The proof of Theorem 4.1 is analogous to the proof of the interpolation theorem in [2]. We only need to show that for every  $f \in A_p^{+\theta,\infty}$ ,  $(0 < \theta < 1/p \text{ and } 1 \le p \le \infty)$ there exists a sequence of piecewise-linear functions  $f_{2^n}^+ \in S_{2^n}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that

(a) 
$$f_{20}^+ \le f_{21}^+ \le \dots f_{2n}^+ \le f$$
, and  
(b) if  $e_{2n}^+(f)_p = O(2^{-\theta n})$  for some  $0 < \theta < 1/p$ , then  $||f - f_{2n}^+||_p = O(2^{-\theta n})$ ,

 $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We shall organize the construction of the required sequence in two steps. In the first one we prove a theorem that plays the main role in our construction. In the second step we construct the algorithm to obtain the sequence of the piecewise-linear functions with properties (a) and (b).

### 4.1 Main construction

Let us suppose that there exists a linear function  $f_{2^0}$  on the interval  $Q = (\alpha, \beta)$ satisfying the inequality  $f \geq f_{2^0}$ . We also suppose that there exists a piecewiselinear function  $f_{2^1}$ , linear on each interval  $[\alpha, (\alpha+\beta)/2]$  and  $[(\alpha+\beta)/2, \beta]$ , satisfying the inequality  $f \geq f_{2^1}$ .

### Theorem 4.2

For every interval  $Q = (\alpha, \beta)$  and every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a piecewise-linear function  $f_{2^{m+1}}$ , linear on every interval  $[\alpha + (i-1)(\beta - \alpha)/2^{m+1}, \alpha + i(\beta - \alpha)/2^{m+1}]$ ,  $1 \le i \le 2^{m+1}$ , and satisfying the following two conditions:

(a) 
$$f \ge f_{2^{m+1}} \ge f_{2^0}$$

(b) 
$$||f - f_{2^{m+1}}||_{L_n(Q)}$$

$$\leq c \left( \|f - f_{2^1}\|_{L_p(Q)} + \left(\frac{1}{2^m}\right)^{1 + \frac{1}{p}} \|f - f_{2^0}\|_{L_p(Q)} \right), \tag{10}$$

where c is a constant depending only on p.

*Proof.* Without any lose of generality we prove the theorem for the interval Q = [0, 1]. Then the function  $f_{2^0}$  is linear on the interval [0, 1] and  $f_{2^1}$  is linear on each of the intervals [0, 1/2] and [1/2, 1].

For the natural number m we divide every interval [0, 1/2] and [1/2, 1] into  $2^m$  equal intervals. To construct the function  $f_{2^{m+1}}$  we define

$$f_{2^{m+1}}(x) = \max(f_{2^0}(x), f_{2^1}(x)) \tag{11}$$

on every interval of the partition,  $[(i-1)/2^{m+1}, i/2^{m+1}]$ ,  $1 \le i \le 2^{m+1}$ , where the equation  $f_{2^0}(x) = f_{2^1}(x)$  has no solution. This means that the graphics of the functions don't cross on such intervals and we have

$$f_{2^{0}}(x) < f_{2^{1}}(x) \text{ or } f_{2^{0}}(x) > f_{2^{1}}(x)$$

for all x from the interval. For the other intervals we put  $f_{2^{m+1}}(x) = f_{2^0}(x)$ . We have obtained a piecewise-linear function, linear on every interval of the partition,  $[(i-1)/2^{m+1}, i/2^{m+1}], 1 \le i \le 2^{m+1}$ , which satisfy the inequalities  $f \ge f_{2^{m+1}} \ge f_{2^0}$ .

Let us prove the estimate (10). It is enough to prove it for the interval [0, 1/2], the proof for [1/2, 1] being the same.

There are three possible cases for the functions  $f_{2^0}$  and  $f_{2^1}$  on [0, 1/2].

- (a)  $f_{2^0}(x) < f_{2^1}(x)$  if  $x \in [0, 1/2]$ ,
- (b)  $f_{2^0}(x) > f_{2^1}(x)$  if  $x \in [0, 1/2]$ , and
- (c) there exists  $a \in [0, 1/2]$  such that  $f_{20}(a) = f_{21}(a)$ .

In case (a), it follows from (11) that  $f_{2^{m+1}}(x) = f_{2^1}(x)$  if  $x \in [0, 1/2]$ , and

$$||f - f_{2^{m+1}}||_{L_p(0,1/2)}^p = ||f - f_{2^1}||_{L_p(0,1/2)}^p.$$
(12)

In the case (b) it follows from (11) that  $f_{2^{m+1}}(x) = f_{2^0}(x)$  if  $x \in [0, 1/2]$  and

$$||f - f_{2^{m+1}}||_{L_p(0,1/2)}^p = ||f - f_{2^0}||_{L_p(0,1/2)}^p \le ||f - f_{2^1}||_{L_p(0,1/2)}^p.$$
(13)

In case (c) there are two possible situations:

- $(c_1)$   $f_{2^0}(x) < f_{2^1}(x)$  if 0 < x < a and  $f_{2^0}(x) > f_{2^1}(x)$  if a < x < 1/2, and
- $(c_2)$   $f_{2^0}(x) > f_{2^1}(x)$  if 0 < x < a and  $f_{2^0}(x) < f_{2^1}(x)$  if a < x < 1/2.

We consider only the case  $(c_1)$  – the case  $(c_2)$  is similar.

Again we have two possible situations in this case  $(c_1)$ :

- $(c_{11})$  The point  $a \in [0, 1/2]$  satisfies the inequality  $0 < a \le 1/2 1/2^{m+2}$ .
- $(c_{12})$  the point a and satisfies the inequality  $1/2 1/2^{m+2} < a \le 1/2$ .

We start with  $(c_{11})$ . Let  $a \in [(k-1)/2^{m+1}, k/2^{m+1}]$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq k \leq m$  $2^m$ . We shall estimate  $f - f_{2^{m+1}}$  on every interval  $[0, (k-1)/2^{m+1}], [(k-1)/2^{m+1}, a]$ and [a, 1/2].

If  $0 < x < (k-1)/2^{m+1}$  then

$$(f - f_{2^{m+1}})(x) = (f - f_{2^1})(x). (14)$$

If  $a \le x \le 1/2$  then

$$(f - f_{2^{m+1}})(x) < (f - f_{2^1})(x). (15)$$

If  $(k-1)/2^{m+1} < x < a$  then

$$(f - f_{2^{m+1}})(x) < (f - f_{2^1})(x) + (f_{2^1} - f_{2^0})(x).$$
(16)

Let us estimate the second member in (16). For every  $(k-1)/2^{m+1} < x < a$  there exists x' = 2a - x,  $a < x' < a + (a - (k - 1)/2^{m+1})$  (the points x and x' are symmetrical with respect to a) such that  $(f_{2^1} - f_{2^0})(x) = (f_{2^0} - f_{2^1})(x')$ .

For  $a < x' < a + (a - (k - 1)/2^{m+1}), (f_{20} - f_{21})(x') < (f - f_{21})(x'),$  hence, for  $(k-1)/2^{m+1} < x < a, (f_{2^1} - f_{2^0})(x) < (f - f_{2^1})(x').$ Finally, for  $(k-1)/2^{m+1} < x < a$  and  $x' = 2a - x, a < x' < a + (a - (k-1)/2^{m+1}),$ 

$$(f - f_{2^{m+1}})(x) < (f - f_{2^1})(x) + (f - f_{2^1})(x').$$
(17)

Then, from (14), (15) and (17), we obtain for  $1 \le p < \infty$ 

$$\int_{0}^{1/2} (f - f_{2^{m+1}})^{p}(x) dx = \left( \int_{0}^{(k-1)/2^{m+1}} + \int_{(k-1)/2^{m+1}}^{a} + \int_{a}^{1/2} \right) (f - f_{2^{m+1}})^{p}(x) dx 
\leq \left( \int_{0}^{(k-1)/2^{m+1}} + \int_{a}^{1/2} \right) (f - f_{2^{m+1}})^{p}(x) dx 
+ \int_{(k-1)/2^{m+1}}^{a} (f - f_{2^{1}})^{p}(x) dx + \int_{a}^{1/2} (f - f_{2^{1}})^{p}(x') dx' 
\leq 2 \int_{0}^{a} (f - f_{2^{1}})^{p}(x) dx.$$
(18)

If  $p = \infty$  then

$$\sup_{0 \le x \le 1/2} (f - f_{2^{m+1}})(x) < 2 \sup_{0 \le x \le 1/2} (f - f_{2^1})(x). \tag{19}$$

The estimate (10) follows from (18) and (19).

In the case  $(c_{12})$  we first prove (10) for  $p < \infty$ . Let  $d = a - (1/2 - 1/2^{m+1})$ . Then

$$\int_{0}^{1/2} (f - f_{2^{m+1}})^{p}(x) dx$$

$$= \left( \int_{0}^{1/2 - 1/2^{m+1}} + \int_{1/2 - 1/2^{m+1}}^{a} + \int_{a}^{1/2} \right) (f - f_{2^{m+1}})^{p}(x) dx \qquad (20)$$

Since  $f_{2^{m+1}}(x) = f_{2^1}(x)$  for  $0 \le x \le 1/2 - 1/2^{m+1}$  and  $(f - f_{2^{m+1}})(x) = (f - f_{2^0})(x) < (f - f_{2^1})(x)$  for  $a \le x \le 1/2$ , then (20) is not more than

$$\left(\int_{0}^{1/2-1/2^{m+1}} + \int_{a}^{1/2} \right) (f - f_{2^{1}})^{p}(x) dx 
+ \int_{1/2-1/2^{m+1}}^{a} (f - f_{2^{0}})^{p}(x) dx 
\leq \int_{0}^{1/2} (f - f_{2^{1}})^{p}(x) dx + \int_{1/2-1/2^{m+1}}^{a} (f - f_{2^{0}})^{p}(x) dx. \quad (21)$$

To estimate the last member in (21) we use the inequality  $(\alpha + \beta)^p \leq 2^{p-1}(\alpha^p + \beta^p)$  and (16). Then

$$\int_{1/2-1/2^{m+1}}^{a} (f - f_{2^{0}})^{p}(x) dx 
\leq 2^{p-1} \left( \int_{1/2-1/2^{m+1}}^{a} (f - f_{2^{1}})^{p}(x) dx + \int_{1/2-1/2^{m+1}}^{a} (f_{2^{1}} - f_{2^{0}})^{p}(x) dx \right).$$
(22)

Here

$$\int_{1/2-1/2^{m+1}}^{a} (f - f_{2^1})^p(x) dx \le \int_{0}^{1/2} (f - f_{2^1})^p(x) dx.$$

To estimate the last term of (22) we use the following property of monomials

$$\frac{\int_0^\alpha x^p dx}{\int_0^\beta x^p dx} = \frac{\alpha^{p+1}}{\beta^{p+1}}$$

and we obtain

$$\frac{\int_{1/2-1/2^{m+1}}^{a} (f_{2^{1}} - f_{2^{0}})^{p}(x) dx}{\int_{0}^{a} (f_{2^{1}} - f_{2^{0}})^{p}(x) dx} = \left(\frac{d}{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^{m+1}} + d}\right)^{p+1}$$
$$= \left(\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2d} - \frac{1}{2^{m+1}d} + 1}\right)^{p+1}.$$

Since  $0 < d < 1/2^{m+1}$ ,

$$\left(\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2d} - \frac{1}{2^{m+1}d} + 1}\right)^{p+1} < \left(\frac{1}{2^m}\right)^{p+1},$$

and it follows that

$$\int_{1/2-1/2^{m+1}}^{a} (f_{2^1} - f_{2^0})^p(x) dx < \left(\frac{1}{2^m}\right)^{p+1} \int_{0}^{a} (f_{2^1} - f_{2^0})^p(x) dx.$$

Also  $(f_{2^1} - f_{2^0})(x) < (f - f_{2^0})(x)$  for  $0 \le x \le a$ , hence

$$\int_{1/2-1/2^{m+1}}^{a} (f_{2^1} - f_{2^0})^p(x) dx < \left(\frac{1}{2^m}\right)^{p+1} \int_{0}^{1/2} (f - f_{2^0})^p(x) dx.$$

Summing all the estimates we obtain

$$\int_{1/2-1/2^{m+1}}^{a} (f - f_{2^0})^p(x) dx$$

$$< 2^{p-1} \left( \int_{0}^{1/2} (f - f_{2^1})^p(x) dx + \left( \frac{1}{2^m} \right)^{p+1} \int_{0}^{1/2} (f - f_{2^0})^p(x) dx \right)$$

and

$$\int_0^{1/2} (f - f_{2^{m+1}})^p(x) dx \le (1 + 2^{p-1})$$

$$\times \left( \int_0^{1/2} (f - f_{2^1})^p(x) dx + \left(\frac{1}{2^m}\right)^{p+1} \int_0^{1/2} (f - f_{2^0})^p(x) dx \right). \tag{23}$$

The corresponding inequality for the interval [1/2, 1] is

$$\int_{1/2}^{1} (f - f_{2^{m+1}})^p(x) dx \le (1 + 2^{p-1})$$

$$\times \left( \int_{1/2}^{1} (f - f_{2^1})^p(x) dx + \left(\frac{1}{2^m}\right)^{p+1} \int_{1/2}^{1} (f - f_{2^0})^p(x) dx \right),$$

which can be summed with (23) to prove the case  $1 \le p < \infty$ .

In the case  $p = \infty$  we estimate  $f - f_{2^{m+1}}$  on  $[0, (k-1)/2^{m+1}], [(k-1)/2^{m+1}, a]$  and [a, 1/2]. The estimate on the intervals  $[0, (k-1)/2^{m+1}]$  and  $[(k-1)/2^{m+1}, a]$ 

follows from (14) and (15) for  $k = 2^m$ , and on the interval [a, 1/2] from (16) for  $k = 2^m$ .

Let us estimate the second member in (16). Since  $f_{2^0}(a) = f_{2^1}(a)$  and  $f_{2^0}(x) < f_{2^1}(x)$  for  $1/2 - 1/2^{m+1} < x < a$ , the difference  $f_{2^1} - f_{2^0}$  is a monotone decreasing function on  $\left[1/2 - 1/2^{m+1}, a\right]$ . Thus, for  $1/2 - 1/2^{m+1} < x < a$ ,

$$(f_{2^1} - f_{2^0})(x) < (f_{2^1} - f_{2^0})\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^{m+1}}\right).$$

From the homotety between the triangles with the vertices in the points

$$(a, f_{2^1}(a)), (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^{m+1}}, f_{2^1}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^{m+1}})), (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^{m+1}}, f_{2^0}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^{m+1}}))$$

and

$$(a, f_{2^1}(a)), (0, f_{2^1}(0)), (0, f_{2^0}(0))$$

we obtain

$$(f_{2^{1}} - f_{2^{0}}) \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^{m+1}}\right) = \frac{d}{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^{m+1}} + d} (f_{2^{1}} - f_{2^{0}})(0)$$
$$= \left(\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2^{d}} - \frac{1}{2^{m+1}d} + 1}\right) (f_{2^{1}} - f_{2^{0}})(0).$$

Let  $d = a - (1/2 - 1/2^{m+1})$ . Then  $0 < d < 1/2^{m+1}$ ,  $1/2^{m+1}d > 1$  and  $\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2d} - \frac{1}{2^{m+1}d} + 1} < 1/2^m$ . Hence, for  $1/2 - 1/2^{m+1} < x < a$ , we have  $(f_{2^1} - f_{2^0})(x) < (1/2^m)(f_{2^1} - f_{2^0})(0)$  and, since  $(f_{2^1} - f_{2^0})(0) < (f - f_{2^0})(0)$ , for  $1/2 - 1/2^{m+1} < x < a$ ,

$$(f_{2^{1}} - f_{2^{0}})(x) < \frac{1}{2^{m}}(f - f_{2^{0}})(0) < \frac{1}{2^{m}} \sup_{0 < x < 1/2} (f - f_{2^{0}})(x).$$
 (24)

Taking together the inequalities (14)–(16) and (24) we obtain

$$\sup_{0 \le x \le 1/2} (f - f_{2^{m+1}})(x) < \sup_{0 \le x \le 1/2} (f - f_{2^1})(x) + \frac{1}{2^m} \sup_{0 \le x \le 1/2} (f - f_{2^0})(x). \tag{25}$$

The corresponding inequality for the interval [1/2, 1] is

$$\sup_{1/2 \le x \le 1} (f - f_{2^{m+1}})(x) < \sup_{1/2 \le x \le 1} (f - f_{2^1})(x) + \frac{1}{2^m} \sup_{1/2 \le x \le 1} (f - f_{2^0})(x). \tag{26}$$

Since  $\sup_{0 \le x \le 1} f(x) = \max \left( \sup_{0 \le x \le 1/2} f(x), \sup_{1/2 \le x \le 1} f(x) \right)$ , from (25) and (26) we obtain (10).  $\square$ 

### 4.2 The algorithm and the estimation

For a measurable function f on [0,1], we take a linear function  $f_{2^0}^+$  satisfying  $f(x) \ge f_{2^0}^+(x)$  if  $x \in [0,1]$  and such that  $\|f - f_{2^0}^+\|_p \le 2e_{2^0}^+(f)_p$ .

Then we define the piecewise–linear function  $f_{2^1}^+$ , linear on [0,1/2] and on [1/2,1], satisfying  $f(x) \geq f_{2^1}^+(x)$  if  $x \in [0,1]$ , and such that  $||f - f_{2^1}^+||_p \leq 2e_2^+(f)_p$ .

Let us divide [0,1/2] and [1/2,1] in  $2^m$  equal intervals. Using the method of the Theorem 4.2, with Q=[0,1] and  $f_{2^0}=f_{2^0}^+,\ f_{2^1}=f_{2^1}^+$  on [0,1], we construct the piecewise–linear function  $f_{2^{m+1}}^+$ , linear on  $[(i-1)/2^{m+1},i/2^{m+1}]\ (1\leq i\leq 2^{m+1})$  such that  $f_{2^{m+1}}^+(x)\geq f_{2^0}^+(x)$  if  $x\in[0,1]$ , and

$$||f - f_{2^{m+1}}^+||_p \le c \left( ||f - f_{2^1}^+||_p + \left(\frac{1}{2^m}\right)^{1+1/p} ||f - f_{2^0}^+||_p \right).$$

Let us further divide every interval of length  $1/2^{m+1}$  into two equal intervals and take a piecewise–linear function  $f_{2^{2(m+1)}-m}^+$  satisfying

$$||f - f_{2^{2(m+1)-m}}^+||_p \le 2e_{2^{2(m+1)-m}}^+(f)_p.$$

Then we divide the intervals of length  $1/2^{2(m+1)-m}$  in  $2^m$  equal intervals. We consider Theorem 4.2 with  $f_{2^0} = f_{2^{m+1}}^+$ ,  $f_{2^1} = f_{2^{2(m+1)-m}}^+$ ; then for every interval

$$Q_i = \left[\frac{i-1}{2^{2(m+1)-m}}, \frac{i}{2^{2(m+1)-m}}\right], \ 1 \le i \le 2^{2(m+1)-m}$$

we construct a piecewise–linear function  $f_{2^{2(m+1)}}^+$ , linear on  $[(i-1)/2^{2(m+1)}, 1/2^{2(m+1)}]$  ( $1 \le i \le 2^{2(m+1)}$ ) such that  $f \ge f_{2^{2(m+1)}}^+ \ge f_{2^{m+1}}^+ \ge f_{2^0}^+$  on [0,1] and

$$\left\| f - f_{2^{2(m+1)}}^+ \right\|_p \le c \left( \| f - f_{2^{2(m+1)-m}}^+ \|_p + \left( \frac{1}{2^m} \right)^{1+1/p} \| f - f_{2^{m+1}}^+ \|_p \right).$$

By the same method we obtain a sequence of piecewise–linear functions  $f_{2^{n(m+1)}}^+ \in S_{2^{n(m+1)}}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , satisfying  $f \geq f_{2^{n(m+1)}}^+ \geq f_{2^{n(m+1)}}^+$  and

$$||f - f_{2^{n(m+1)}}^+||_p \le c \left( ||f - f_{2^{n(m+1)-m}}^+||_p + \left(\frac{1}{2^m}\right)^{1+1/p} ||f - f_{2^{(n-1)(m+1)}}^+||_p \right).$$

Let us estimate  $||f - f_{2^{n(m+1)}}^+||_{L_p(0,1)}$  by  $||f - f_{2^0}^+||_{L_p(0,1)}$  and  $||f - f_{2^{i(m+1)-m}}^+||_{L_p((0,1))}$  (0  $\leq i \leq n$ ) using recurrently the last inequality:

$$||f - f_{2^{n(m+1)}}^{+}||_{p} \le c||f - f_{2^{n(m+1)-m}}^{+}||_{p}$$

$$+ c^{2} \left(\frac{1}{2^{m}}\right)^{1+1/p} ||f - f_{2^{(n-1)(m+1)-m}}^{+}||_{p}$$

$$+ c^{2} \left(\frac{1}{2^{m}}\right)^{2(1+1/p)} ||f - f_{2^{(n-2)(m+1)}}^{+}||_{p}$$

$$< \cdots$$

$$< c^{n} \left(\frac{1}{2^{m}}\right)^{(1+1/p)n} ||f - f_{2^{0}}^{+}||_{p}$$

$$+ c \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(c\left(\frac{1}{2^{m}}\right)^{1+1/p}\right)^{i} ||f - f_{2^{(n-i)(m+1)-m}}^{+}||_{p}.$$

From the choice of  $f_{2^{(n-i)(m+1)}}^+$ , such that  $||f - f_{2^{(n-i)(m+1)}}^+||_{L_p(0,1)} \le 2e_{2^{(n-i)(m+1)}}^+(f)_p$ , we have

$$||f - f_{2^{n(m+1)}}^{+}||_{p} \le c^{n} \left(\frac{1}{2^{m}}\right)^{(1+1/p)n} ||f - f_{2^{0}}^{+}||_{p}$$

$$+ c \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(c\left(\frac{1}{2^{m}}\right)^{1+1/p}\right)^{i} ||f - f_{2^{(n-i)(m+1)-m}}^{+}||_{p}, \qquad (27)$$

where c is a constant depending only on p.

Now we prove that, if  $e_{2^n}^+(f)_p = O(2^{-\theta n})$   $(0 < \theta < 1 + 1/p)$ , there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $||f - f_{2^{n(m+1)}}^+||_p = O(2^{-\theta n(m+1)})$ . It follows from (27) that

$$||f - f_{2^{n(m+1)}}^{+}||_{p} \le c^{n} \left(\frac{1}{2^{m}}\right)^{(1+1/p)n}$$

$$+ c \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(c\left(\frac{1}{2^{m}}\right)^{1+1/p}\right)^{i} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\theta[(n-i)(m+1)-m]}$$

$$= c\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\theta n(m+1)} \left[c^{n-1}2^{\theta n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{(1+1/p-\theta)nm}$$

$$+ 2^{\theta m} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c^{i}2^{\theta i} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{im(1+1/p-\theta)} \right].$$

If  $c2^{\theta}/2^{(1+1/p-\theta)m} < 1$  the sum of the series is finite.

Remark 4.1. If  $p = \infty$  our algorithm keeps the degree of approximation only for  $0 < \theta < 1$ , but in this case we can obtain another algorithm saving the degree of approximation for  $0 < \theta < 2$ . Let  $f_{2^n} \in S_{2^n}(0,1)$  be the sequence of the piecewise-linear functions of the best uniform approximation,  $||f - f_{2^n}||_{L_{\infty}(0,1)} = e_{2^n}(f)_{\infty}$ .

Then the sequence  $f_{2^n}^+ = f_{2^n} - 2\sum_{k>n} e_{2^n}(f)_{\infty}$  satisfies  $f_{2^0}^+ \leq f_{2^1}^+ \leq \cdots \leq f_{2^n}^+ \leq f$  and the degree of approximation is saved for  $0 < \theta < 2$ .

**Acknowledgment.** The authors are very thankful to Yu. A. Brudnyi, without his results and discussions this work would have never appear.

### References

- 1. A. Andreev, V. Popov and Bl. Sendov, Jackson's type theorems for onesided polynomial and spline approximation, *C. R. Acad. Bulg. Sci.* **30** (1977), 1533–1536.
- 2. Yu.A. Brudnyi and N. Krugljak, About a family of approximation spaces, *Issled. Teor. Func. Mnog. Vech. Per. Yaroslavl* (1978), 15–41.
- 3. L. Dechevski,  $\tau$ -moduli and interpolation, Lect. Notes in Math. 1302 (1988), 177-190.
- 4. E. Matvejev, About superonesided spline–approximation of functions of several variables, *Izv. Vuzov. Matematica* **6** (1988), 49–54.
- 5. V. Popov, Function spaces, generated by the average modulus of smoothness, *Pliska Stud. Math. Bulg.* **5** (1983), 132–143.
- 6. V. Popov and A. Andreev, Stechkin-type theorems for onesided trigonometrical and spline approximation, *C. R. Acad. Bulg. Sci.* **31** (1978), 151–154.
- V. Popov, Onesided K-functional and its interpolation spaces, Tr. MIAN USSR 163 (1984), 196–199.
- 8. A. Shadrin, About monotone approximation of functions by trigonometrical polynomials, *Mat. Zametki* **34**:3 (1983), 375–386.
- 9. S. Stechkin, Constructive theory of functions, *Sofia* (1983), 595–598.
- 10. G. Totkov, Direct and converse theorems for best onesided approximation in spaces  $L_p(0,1)$ ,  $1 \le p \le \infty$ , Nauchn. Tr. Inst. Xranit. i Bkus. Prom. **28**:1 (1981), 183–191.
- 11. G. Totkov, Converse theorems for the onesided spline approximation, *C. R. Acad. Bulg. Sci.* **32**:7 (1979), 875–878.