

## On the smoothness of Orlicz sequence spaces equipped with Orlicz norm\*

ZHONGRUI SHI AND TINGFU WANG

*Box 610, Department of Mathematics, Harbin University Sciences Tech.,  
Harbin, 150080 P.R. China*

Received April 12, 1994. Revised January 2, 1995

### ABSTRACT

We give a criterion of smoothness of Orlicz sequence spaces with Orlicz norm.

Let  $x \neq 0$  be an element of a Banach space  $X$ ,  $x$  is called a smooth point if it has the unique supporting functional  $f \in X^*$ ,  $\|f\| = 1$  and  $f(x) = \|x\|$ .  $X$  is smooth if and only if all elements ( $\neq 0$ ) are smooth.

For Orlicz function spaces equipped with Orlicz norm and Luxemburg norm, and for Orlicz sequence spaces with Luxemburg norm, the criteria of smoothness were obtained by T. Wang, S. Chen, R. Grzaslewicz, H. Hudzik and others in [1-4] and [6-9]. But up to now no satisfied result has been seen for Orlicz sequence spaces equipped with Orlicz norm. Here we shall fill it.

In the sequel,  $M$  and  $N$  denote a pair of complemented  $N$ -functions,  $p$  and  $q$  their right-hand derivatives, respectively.  $M \in \delta_2$  stands for that  $M$  satisfies  $\delta_2$ -condition for small  $u$ .  $N$  is strictly convex if  $u \neq v$  implies

$$N\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right) < \frac{N(u) + N(v)}{2}.$$

For a sequence  $x = (x_j)$  we define a modular of  $x$  by  $R_M(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} M(x_j)$ . By an Orlicz sequence space  $l_M$ , generated by  $M$ , we understand

$$l_M = \{x = (x_j) : R_M(cx) < \infty \text{ for some } c > 0\}$$

---

\* Partially supported by NSF of P.R. China.

and its subspace

$$h_M = \{x = (x_j) : R_M(cx) < \infty \text{ for all } c > 0\}.$$

Both these spaces are equipped with Orlicz norm

$$\|x\| = \sup_{R_N(y) \leq 1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j y_j = \frac{1}{k} \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} M(kx_j) \right) \quad \text{for } k \in K(x) = [k^*, k^{**}].$$

where  $k^* = \inf \{k > 0 : R_N(p(kx)) \geq 1\}$ ,  $k^{**} = \sup \{k > 0 : R_N(p(kx)) \leq 1\}$  [1-5]. In the proof, we mainly use Theorem 2.55 of [5], as follows:

**Lemma**

Let  $0 \neq x \in l_M$ ,  $x$  is a smooth point if and only if for any  $k \in K(x)$ , either A)  $R_N(p_-(kx)) = 1$  or B-1)  $\theta(kx) < 1$ , and B-2) either  $R_N(p(kx)) = 1$  or  $\mu J_x \leq 1$ , where

$$J_x = \{j : p_-(k|x(j)|) < p(k|x(j)|)\}, \quad \theta(x) = \inf \left\{ c > 0 : R_M\left(\frac{x}{c}\right) < \infty \right\}.$$

We denote  $\pi_M = \inf \{c > 0 : N(p(c)) \geq \frac{1}{2}\}$ . The main results are as follows:

**Theorem 1**

The following are equivalent:

- (1)  $h_M$  is smooth;
- (2)  $p(u)$  is continuous over  $[0, \pi_M)$ , and  $N(p_-(\pi_M)) = \frac{1}{2}$ ;
- (3)  $q(v)$  is strictly increasing on  $[0, N^{-1}(\frac{1}{2}))$  (i.e,  $N(v)$  is strictly convex on  $[0, N^{-1}(\frac{1}{2}))$ ).

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2).

Suppose that  $p(u)$  has a discontinuous point in  $(0, \pi_M)$ , i.e, there is  $0 < \alpha < \pi_M, p_-(\alpha) < p(\alpha)$ . For  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough we have

$$2N(p_-(\alpha)) + (1 + \varepsilon)(1 - 2N(p(\alpha))) < 1.$$

Denote

$$\beta = \inf \{b > 0 : N(p(b)) \geq (1 + \varepsilon)(1 - 2N(p(\alpha)))\}.$$

set

$$x = (\alpha, \alpha, \beta, 0, 0, \dots \dots).$$

By the right-continuity of  $p(u)$  and  $N(p(\alpha)) < \frac{1}{2}$ , it follows that  $R_N(p_-(x)) = 2N(p_-(\alpha)) + N(p_-(\beta)) \leq 2N(p_-(\alpha)) + (1 + \varepsilon)(1 - 2N(p(\alpha))) < 1$ ,  $R_N(p(x)) = 2N(p(\alpha)) + N(p(\beta)) \geq 2N(p(\alpha)) + (1 + \varepsilon)(1 - 2N(p(\alpha))) > 1$ , whence we get that  $K(x) = \{1\}$ . Since  $\mu J_x \geq 2$ , by the Lemma,  $x$  is not a smooth point.

Suppose now that  $N(p_-(\pi_M)) < \frac{1}{2} \leq N(p(\pi_M))$ . Take  $0 < s < \pi_M$  with

$$2N(p_-(\pi_M)) + N(p(s)) < 1$$

and set  $x = (\pi_M, \pi_M, s, 0, 0, \dots)$ . Then  $R_N(p_-(x)) = 2N(p_-(\pi_M)) + N(p_-(s)) < 1$ , and

$$R_N(p(x)) = 2N(p(\pi_M)) + N(p(s)) \geq 1 + N(p(s)) > 1.$$

Hence  $K(x) = \{1\}$ . Since  $\mu J_x \geq 2$ , by the Lemma,  $x$  is not a smooth point.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3).

For  $0 \leq v_1 < v_2 < N^{-1}(\frac{1}{2})$ , take  $v'_1, v'_2, v_1 < v'_1 < v'_2 < v_2$ . Since  $p(u)$  is continuous over  $[0, \pi_M)$  and  $N(p_-(\pi_M)) = \frac{1}{2}$ , i.e,  $p_-(\pi_M) = N^{-1}(\frac{1}{2})$ , there exist  $u'_1 < u'_2$  satisfying  $p(u'_1) = v'_1, p(u'_2) = v'_2$ .

Hence

$$q(v_1) = \sup_{p(u) \leq v_1} u \leq u'_1 < u'_2 \leq \sup_{p(u) \leq v_2} u = q(v_2)$$

i.e,  $q(v)$  is strictly increasing over  $[0, N^{-1}(\frac{1}{2}))$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1).

Let  $x \in h_M, k \in K(x)$ . If  $R_N(p_-(kx)) = 1$ , by the Lemma,  $x$  is a smooth point. If  $R_N(p_-(kx)) < 1$ , we see from

$$1 > R_N(p_-(kx)) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} N(p_-(kx(j)))$$

that there is at most one ' $j$ ' with  $N(p_-(kx(j))) \geq \frac{1}{2}$  (i.e,  $p_-(k|x(j)|) \geq N^{-1}(\frac{1}{2})$ ).

Since  $q(v)$  is strictly increasing over  $[0, N^{-1}(\frac{1}{2}))$ , we know that  $k|x(i)|$  is a continuous point of  $p(u)$  for all  $i$  with  $p_-(kx(i)) < N^{-1}(\frac{1}{2})$ , thus  $p_-(k|x(i)|) = p(k|x(i)|)$ . Hence  $\mu J_x \leq 1$ . Clearly  $\theta(kx) = 0 < 1$ , by the Lemma,  $x$  is a smooth point.  $\square$

## Theorem 2

The following are equivalent:

- (1)  $l_M$  is smooth;
- (2)  $p(u)$  is continuous over  $[0, \pi_M)$ ,  $N(p_-(\pi_M)) = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $M \in \delta_2$ ;
- (3)  $q(v)$  is strictly increasing over  $[0, N^{-1}(\frac{1}{2}))$  and  $M \in \delta_2$ .

*Proof.* It is enough to derive  $M \in \delta_2$  from (1). Suppose that  $M \notin \delta_2$ . Then there exist  $u_n \searrow 0$  such that

$$u_n p(u_n) < \frac{1}{2^n} \quad \text{and} \quad p\left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)u_n\right) > 2^n p(u_n) \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Choose natural numbers  $m_n$  satisfying  $\frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \leq m_n u_n p(u_n) < \frac{1}{2^n}$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ), and define

$$x = \left( \underbrace{u_1, \dots, u_1}_{m_1}, \underbrace{u_2, \dots, u_2}_{m_2}, \underbrace{u_3, \dots, u_3}_{m_3}, \dots \dots \right).$$

Then, we have

$$\langle x, p(x) \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_n u_n p(u_n) < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} = 1,$$

whence by  $\langle x, p(x) \rangle = R_M(x) + R_N(p(x))$  we get  $R_N(p(x)) < 1$  and  $R_M(x) < 1$ . Moreover  $R_N(p_-(x)) < 1$ .

Notice that for any  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} R_N(p((1+\lambda)x)) + R_M(x) &\geq \langle x, p((1+\lambda)x) \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_n u_n p((1+\lambda)u_n) \\ &\geq \sum_{n \geq \frac{1}{\lambda}} m_n u_n p\left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)u_n\right) \geq \sum_{n \geq \frac{1}{\lambda}} m_n u_n 2^n p(u_n) \geq \sum_{n \geq \frac{1}{\lambda}} \frac{1}{2} = \infty, \end{aligned}$$

so we derive that  $R_N(p((1+\lambda)x)) = \infty$ , which shows  $K(x) = \{1\}$ .

We also have that for any  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} R_M((1+2\lambda)x) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_n M((1+2\lambda)u_n) \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_n \int_{(1+\lambda)u_n}^{(1+2\lambda)u_n} p(s) ds \\ &\geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_n \lambda u_n p((1+\lambda)u_n) \geq \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda} R_N(p(1+\lambda)x) = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

So  $\theta(x) = 1$  and by the Lemma,  $x$  is not a smooth point.  $\square$

### References

1. T. Wang and S. Chen, Smoothness and differentiability of Orlicz spaces, *J. Math. Res. Exposition* **6** (1986), 62.
2. T. Wang and S. Chen, Smoothness of Orlicz spaces, *J. Engrg. Math.* **4**:3 (1987), 113–115.
3. S. Chen, Smoothness of Orlicz spaces, *Comment. Math. Prace Mat.* **27**:1 (1987), 49–58.
4. Ye Yining, Differentiability of Orlicz sequence spaces, *J. Harbin Inst. Tech.* **2** (1987), 114–118.
5. S. Chen, Geometry of Orlicz spaces, To appear in *Dissertationes Math.*
6. R. Grzasławicz and H. Hudzik, Smooth points of Orlicz spaces equipped with the Luxemburg norm, *Math. Nachr.* **155** (1992), 31–45.
7. H. Hudzik and Ye Yining, Supporting functionals and smoothness in Musielak-Orlicz sequence spaces endowed with the Luxemburg norm, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.* **31**:4(1990), 661–684.
8. S. Chen, H. Hudzik and A. Kaminska, Support functionals and smooth points in Orlicz function spaces equipped with the Orlicz norm, *Math. Japon.* **39**:2 (1994), 271–279.
9. R. Pluciennik and Ye Yining, Differentiability of Musielak-Orlicz sequence spaces, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.* **30**:4 (1989), 699–711.