

## On the Fourier-Laplace representation of analytic functions in tube domains

I.KH. MUSIN

*Institute of Mathematics with Computer Center, Ufa Scientific  
Center, Ufa, Chernyshevskii Str. 112, 450000, Russia*

Received November 8, 1994. Revised February 16, 1995

### ABSTRACT

Let  $C$  denote an acute convex open cone in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with an apex at the origin and let  $T(C) = \mathbb{R}^n + iC$  be the corresponding tube in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . We define a space of holomorphic functions  $f(z)$  of exponential type in  $T(C)$  which have boundary values  $f_0(x)$ , as  $y \rightarrow 0$ ,  $y \in C$ , satisfying some inequality. We obtain Fourier-Laplace integral representation of these functions. As a consequence a weighted version of the edge of the wedge theorem and Fourier-Laplace representation of entire functions of exponential type (with more general growth characteristics than in [2]) are obtained.

### 1. Introduction

A weighted generalization of theorems of Paley-Wiener [5] and Plancherel-Polya [6], concerning the integral representation of entire functions of exponential type was established in [2]. Also the Fourier-Laplace representation of functions of exponential type in an octant in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and as a consequence a weighted version of the edge of the wedge theorem was obtained there.

In this paper results of [2] are extended to holomorphic functions in tube domains over acute convex open cones in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and entire functions of exponential type with more general growth characteristics. This became possible due to a simple useful statement, namely the lemma below.

Throughout this paper, nonnegative locally integrable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  will be called weight functions. We write  $f \in L_w^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $w$  a weight function, if  $f w^{1/p} \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\|f\|_{p,w} = \|w^{1/p} f\|_p$ , where  $\|\cdot\|_p$  denotes the norm of  $L^p$ .

For  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n), \eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$  or  $\mathbb{R}^n$  we set  $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle = \xi_1 \eta_1 + \dots + \xi_n \eta_n$ . The euclidean norm in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  or  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is denoted by  $\|\cdot\|$ .  $\bar{B}$  will denote the closure of  $B \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ , respectively,  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

If  $C$  is a cone in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with an apex at the origin, then the projection of  $C$  is  $pr C = \{y \in C : \|y\| = 1\}$ , the dual cone is defined by  $C^* = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle \xi, y \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } y \in C\}$ , and  $T(C) = \mathbb{R}^n + iC$  is called a tube domain over cone  $C$ . If  $b$  is a convex, continuous function on  $C$  which is positively homogeneous of order 1, then we define

$$U(b, C) = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : -\langle \xi, y \rangle \leq b(y) \text{ for all } y \in C\}.$$

It's clear that  $U(b, C)$  is closed in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Recall that a cone  $C$  is called acute if it doesn't contain any straight line.

For  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\hat{f}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(t) \exp(-i\langle x, t \rangle) dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

is the Fourier transform of  $f$ .

The following definition was introduced in [2]:

DEFINITION. Let  $u$  and  $v$  be weight functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We say the pair  $(u, v) \in F_q^p$ ,  $p \geq 1$ ,  $q \geq 1$ , if the inequality

$$\|\hat{f}\|_{q,u} \leq c \|f\|_{p,v}, \quad c = \text{const} > 0 \tag{1}$$

is satisfied for any simple function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

As it is noted in [2] (1) permits us to define the Fourier transform in  $L_v^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

A wide class of weight functions, satisfying the  $F_q^p$  condition is described in [2, 3].

## 2. Results

Let  $C$  be an open convex acute cone in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with apex at the origin ([8], p. 73). Let  $a(z)$  be a convex continuous function on  $T(\bar{C})$  which is positively homogeneous of

order 1. By  $P_a(C)$  we denote the space of holomorphic functions on  $T(C)$  satisfying inequality

$$|f(z)| \leq c_\varepsilon \exp(a(z) + \varepsilon\|z\|), \quad c_\varepsilon > 0$$

for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

**Lemma**

Let  $g \in P_a(C)$  and for any  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$   $\overline{\lim}_{z \rightarrow \xi, z \in T(C)} |g(z)| \leq M$ , then

$$|g(x + iy)| \leq M \exp(a(iy)), \quad x + iy \in T(C). \tag{2}$$

*Proof.* Note that for some  $\sigma > 0$   $a(z) \leq \sigma\|z\|$ ,  $z \in T(C)$ . Let us fix  $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n) \in pr C$  and define a linear operator  $A : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  whose matrix has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 & \eta_2 & \eta_n \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{2n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix},$$

where the elements  $a_{kj} \in \mathbb{R}$  are chosen so that  $A$  is unitary. Then  $g(x + i\eta s) = g(A(u_1 + is, u_2, \dots, u_n))$ , where  $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) = A^{-1}x$ ,  $s > 0$ . Fix  $u^1 = (u_2, \dots, u_n)$ . Then function  $\varphi(u_1 + is) = g(A(u_1 + is, u^1))$  is analytic in the upper half-plane  $G = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : Im w > 0\}$ . Using the unitarity of the operator  $A$  we obtain the estimate on  $G$

$$|\varphi(u_1 + is)| \leq c_\varepsilon \exp((\sigma + \varepsilon)\|u^1\| + (\sigma + \varepsilon)|u_1| + (\sigma + \varepsilon)s)$$

and by the Phragmen-Lindelöf principle ([7], p. 120) we get

$$|\varphi(u_1 + is)| \leq M \exp(\sigma s), \quad u_1 + is \in G. \tag{3}$$

Using convexity of function  $a(z)$  we have for  $x + i\eta s \in T(C)$  and any  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$|g(x + i\eta s)| \leq c_\varepsilon \exp(a(x) + \varepsilon\|x\| + (a(i\eta) + \varepsilon)s).$$

Therefore,

$$\overline{\lim}_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log |\varphi(is)|}{s} \leq a(i\eta).$$

Applying the Phragmen-Lindelöf principle again ([4], p. 119) and (3) we obtain

$$\log |\varphi(u_1 + is)| \leq \log M + a(i\eta)s, \quad u_1 + is \in G,$$

that is,

$$|g(x + i\eta s)| \leq M \exp(a(iy)), \quad x = A(u_1, u^1), \quad s > 0.$$

The right side of this last inequality does not depend on  $u^1$ . This means that the inequality holds for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Since  $\eta \in \text{pr } C$  was arbitrary, (2) is proved.  $\square$

Let  $b(y) = a(iy)$ ,  $y \in C$ ,  $B_r = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\xi\| \leq r\}$ .

### Theorem 1

Let  $(u, v) \in F_q^p$  and suppose that the following conditions hold:

a) The inequality

$$\int_{\|y\| \leq 1} v(x + \varepsilon y) dy \leq c_1 v(x) + c_2, \quad c_1 > 0, \quad c_2 > 0$$

is satisfied on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

b) The weight  $u$  is even and

$$u(x) \geq c_\varepsilon \exp(-\varepsilon\|x\|), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad c_\varepsilon > 0 \quad (4)$$

holds for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Suppose that  $f \in P_a(C)$  has boundary values

$$f_0(x) = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0, y \in C} f(x + iy)$$

a.e. in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_0(x)|^p (1 + v(x)) dx < \infty.$$

Then the following representation holds

$$f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-i\langle z, t \rangle) g(t) dt, \quad z \in T(C),$$

where  $g \in L_u^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\text{supp } g \subseteq -U(b, C)$ .

*Proof.* We follow the proof of Theorem 4 in [2], hence for this reason some details are omitted. Let  $V$  be the volume of unit ball  $B_1$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Set

$$F_\varepsilon(z) = \begin{cases} V^{-1} \int_B f(z + \varepsilon \xi) d\xi, & z \in T(C) \\ V^{-1} \int_B f(z + \varepsilon \xi) d\xi, & z = x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases}$$

where  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Obviously,  $F_\varepsilon \in P_a(C)$ . Since  $f_0 \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , Hölder's inequality implies that  $\mathbb{R}^n |F_\varepsilon(x)| \leq M_\varepsilon$  for some  $M_\varepsilon > 0$ . By the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem it follows that  $F_\varepsilon(z)$  is continuous at every point of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . From the lemma we see that

$$|F_\varepsilon(x + iy)| \leq M_\varepsilon \exp(a(iy)), \quad x + iy \in T(C).$$

Now let  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty$ , such that,  $\text{supp } \varphi \subseteq \text{int}(-C^*)$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(t) dt = 1$ . Then

$$\psi(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-i\langle z, t \rangle) \varphi(t) dt$$

is an entire function satisfying the inequalities

$$|\psi(z)| \leq c_m (1 + \|z\|)^{-m}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n, \quad \text{for all } m \geq 0 \tag{5}$$

Setting

$$g_\varepsilon(t) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(i\langle x, t \rangle) F_\varepsilon(x) \psi(\varepsilon x) dx, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

It is obvious that,  $g_\varepsilon \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $g_\varepsilon$  is bounded on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Arguing as in [1], [2] we get

$$g_\varepsilon(t) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(i\langle x + iy, t \rangle) F_\varepsilon(x + iy) \psi(\varepsilon x + i\varepsilon y) dx \tag{6}$$

where  $y \in C$ . And applying the estimate (5) we obtain

$$g_\varepsilon(t) \leq A_\varepsilon \exp\left(\inf_{y \in C} (-\langle y, t \rangle + a(iy))\right), \quad A_\varepsilon > 0.$$

From this estimate it follows that  $g_\varepsilon(t) = 0$  for  $t \notin -U(b, C)$ . Further, as in [2] it can be shown that there exists a sequence  $\{g_{\varepsilon_k}\} \subset L^q_u(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $\{g_{\varepsilon_k}\}$  converges weakly to some  $g \in L^q_u(\mathbb{R}^n)$  in  $L^q_u(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , as  $\varepsilon_k \rightarrow 0$ . Note that  $g_\varepsilon(t) \exp(\langle y, t \rangle) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , if  $y \in C$ . Indeed we know that  $g_\varepsilon(t) = 0$  for  $t \notin -U(b, C)$ . Further,

$-U(b, C) \subseteq -C^* + B_r$ , where  $r = \max_{y \in prC} b(y)$ . But for  $t \in -C^* + B_r$ ,  $y \in C$  the following inequality holds ([8], p. 172):

$$\langle y, t \rangle \leq -\Delta(y)(\|t\| - r) \theta(\|t\| - r) + r\|y\|, \tag{7}$$

where  $\theta(\mu) = 1$ ,  $\mu > 0$ ,  $\theta(\mu) = 0$ ,  $\mu < 0$ ,  $\Delta(y) = \inf_{\xi \in prC} \langle \xi, y \rangle \geq c_y\|y\|$ ,  $c_y > 0$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-U(b,C)} |g_\varepsilon(t)| \exp(\langle y, t \rangle) dt &\leq \int_{(-C^*+B_r) \setminus B_r} |g_\varepsilon(t)| \exp(r\|y\| - \Delta(y)(\|t\| - r)) dt \\ &+ \int_{B_r} |g_\varepsilon(t)| \exp(r\|y\|) dt < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

If  $X(t)$  is the characteristic function of the set  $-U(b, C)$ , then, taking into account (4) and (7), we can show that function  $X(t) \exp(-i\langle z, t \rangle)$  belongs to dual for  $L_u^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $z \in T(C)$ .

From (6) and the Fourier inversion formula

$$F_\varepsilon(z) \psi(\varepsilon z) = \int_{-U(b,C)} \exp(-i\langle z, t \rangle) g_\varepsilon(t) dt, \quad z \in T(C).$$

Note that  $F_\varepsilon(z) \rightarrow f(z)$ , and  $\psi(\varepsilon z) \rightarrow 1$ , as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Replacing  $\varepsilon$  by  $\varepsilon_k$  and letting  $\varepsilon_k \rightarrow 0$ , we get

$$f(z) = \int_{-U(b,C)} \exp(-i\langle z, t \rangle) g(t) dt, \quad z \in T(C)$$

where  $g \in L_u^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\text{supp } g \subseteq -U(b, C)$ . This proves the result.  $\square$

**Theorem 2**

Let  $a_1, a_2$  be nonnegative convex continuous functions on  $T(\bar{C})$ , respectively,  $T(-\bar{C})$ , which are positively homogeneous of order 1. Let  $f_1 \in P_{a_1}(C)$ ,  $f_2 \in P_{a_2}(-C)$  and suppose the limits

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{y \rightarrow 0, y \in C} f_1(x + iy) &= \tilde{f}_1(x), \\ \lim_{y \rightarrow 0, y \in -C} f_2(x + iy) &= \tilde{f}_2(x) \end{aligned}$$

exist a.e. in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\tilde{f}_1 = \tilde{f}_2$  a.e. in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $\tilde{f}_1(x), \tilde{f}_2(x), u$  and  $v$  satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1.

Then  $f_1(z)$  and  $f_2(z)$  are analytically continuable to entire function  $f(z)$  and

$$f(z) = \int_K \exp(-i\langle z, t \rangle) g(t) dt, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

where  $K = (-U(b_1, C)) \cap (-U(b_2, C))$ ,  $g \in L_u^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\text{supp } g \subseteq K$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 1 the functions  $f_j(z)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , have representation

$$f_j(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-i\langle z, t \rangle) g_j(t) dt, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^n + i(-1)^{j+1} C,$$

where  $g_j(t)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1. Since  $\tilde{f}_1 = \tilde{f}_2$  a.e. in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then as in Lemma 6 of [1], it may be shown that  $g_1(t) = g_2(t)$  a.e. in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Set  $g(t) = g_1(t) = g_2(t)$ . Then  $\text{supp } g \subseteq (-U(b_1, C)) \cap (-U(b_2, C))$ . Let  $R = \max_{y \in \text{pr } C} (b_1(y), b_2(y))$ . Then  $K \subseteq (-C^* + B_r) \cap (C^* + B_r)$ . Since  $C^*$  is an acute convex cone ([8], p. 74) the set  $(-C^* + B_r) \cap (C^* + B_r)$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Hence,  $f(z)$  is entire and  $f(z) = f_1(z)$ ,  $z \in T(C)$ ,  $f(z) = f_2(z)$ ,  $z \in T(-C)$ . Besides that,  $|f(z)| \leq C \exp(H_K(\text{Im}z))$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , where  $H_K(y) = \max_{t \in K} \langle y, t \rangle$  is the support function of convex compact  $K$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3**

Let  $a(z)$  be a nonnegative convex continuous function on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  which is positively homogeneous of order 1, and  $u$  and  $v$  as in Theorem 1. Suppose the entire function  $f(z)$  satisfies

$$|f(z)| \leq C_\varepsilon \exp(a(z) + \varepsilon \|z\|), \quad C_\varepsilon > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p (1 + v(x)) dx < \infty.$$

Then

$$f(z) = \int_K \exp(-i\langle z, t \rangle) g(t) dt, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

where  $K = \{t \in \mathbb{R}^n : -\langle t, y \rangle \leq a(iy), \text{ for all } y \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ ,  $g \in L^q_u(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\text{supp } g \leq K$ .

*Proof.* Let  $C_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , be acute convex open cones in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , such that  $\bigcup_{j=1}^m \bar{C}_j = \mathbb{R}^n$ . By Theorem 1

$$f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-i\langle z, t \rangle) g_j(t) dt, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^n + iC_j,$$

$g_j \in L^q_u(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\text{supp } g_j \subseteq -U(b, C_j)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . As in Lemma 6 of [1] we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g_j(t) \varphi(t) dt = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \hat{\varphi}(-x) dx,$$

for any  $\varphi \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . From this equality it follows that functions  $g_j(t)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , as elements of  $L^q_u(\mathbb{R}^n)$  coincide. Now our statement follows.  $\square$

## References

1. T.G. Genchev, A weighted version of the Paley-Wiener theorem, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **105** (1989), 389–395.
2. T.G. Genchev and H.P. Heinig, The Paley-Wiener theorem with general weights, *J. Math. Anal. and Appl.* (2) **153** (1990), 460–469.
3. H.P. Heinig and G.J. Sinnamon, Fourier inequalities and integral representations of functions in weighted Bergman spaces over tube domains, *Indiana, Univ. Math. J.* **38** (1989), 603–628.
4. V.V. Napalkov, *Convolution equations in multidimensional space*, Nauka, 1982.
5. R.E.A.C. Paley and N. Wiener, Fourier transforms in the complex domain, *Amer. Math. Soc. Coll. Publ.* **19** 1934.
6. M. Plancherel and G. Polya, Fonctions entieres et integrales de Fourier multiples, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **9** (1937), 224–248.
7. L.I. Ronkin, *Introduction to the theory of entire functions of many complex variables*, Nauka, 1971.
8. V.S. Vladimirov, *Generalized functions in mathematical physics*, Nauka, 1976.