

The Product Formula

GENARO LÓPEZ ACEDO

Facultad de Matemáticas, Universidad de Sevilla, Tarfia sn, 41012 Sevilla (Spain)

Received October 2, 1990

ABSTRACT

A useful property of the Brouwer degree relates the degree of a composition of maps to the degree of each map. This property, which can be generalized for the Leray Schauder degree and in some cases for the A-proper maps [see 4], is called the Product Formula.

In [3] we develop a generalized degree theory for a class of mappings, this class contains the class of A-proper mappings and compact mappings. In this paper we prove a generalization of the Product Formula when one factor is of the Identity+Compact type and the other is an A-compact mapping.

1. Introduction

A useful property of the Brouwer degree relates the degree of a composition of maps to the degree of each map. This property, which can be generalized for the Leray Schauder degree and in some cases for the A-proper maps [see 4], is called the Product Formula.

In [3] we develop a generalized degree theory for a class of mappings, this class contains the class of A-proper mappings and compact mappings. In this paper we prove a generalization of the Product Formula when one factor is of the Identity+Compact type and the other is an A-compact mapping.

2. Notation and Previous Results

Throughout this paper $\Gamma_n = \{X, P_n, X_n\}$ will be a projectionally complete Banach space (see definition in [2]). For any subset G of X , $Cl(G)$ will denote the closure of G , $\text{bdry}(G)$ the boundary of G and $G_n = G \cap X_n$. We consider the following classes of mappings:

1. $A(G) = \{F : Cl(G) \mapsto X \mid F \text{ is } A\text{-proper and continuous}\}$ (see definition in [1])

2. $K(G) = \{C : Cl(G) \mapsto X \mid C \text{ is Compact}\}$

3. $\Delta(G) = \{T : Cl(G) \mapsto X \mid \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in G_n} d(T(x), X_n) = 0\}$

By \mathbb{Z} we denote the set of integer numbers and $\mathbb{Z}^* = \mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty\} \cup \{-\infty\}$.

If $A, B \subset \mathbb{Z}^*$, $A + B = \{a \in \mathbb{Z}^* \mid a = a_1 + a_2, a_1 \in A, a_2 \in B\}$. By definition $\{+\infty\} + \{-\infty\} = \mathbb{Z}^*$

DEFINITION 1. Let $\Gamma_n = \{X, P_n, X_n\}$ be a projectionally complete Banach space, G an open bounded subset of X , T a mapping from $Cl(G)$ into X such that $P_n T$ is continuous. We say that T is A -compact on $Cl(G)$ with respect to the approximation scheme Γ_n if for any $y \in X$, for any sequence $\{n_j\}$ of positive integers with $n_j \mapsto \infty$ and for any sequence $\{x_{n_j}\}$, $x_{n_j} \in G \cap X_{n_j}$, if:

$$\lim_{n_j \rightarrow \infty} P_{n_j} T x_{n_j} = y$$

then there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_{j_k}}\}$ such that:

$$\lim_{n_{j_k} \rightarrow \infty} T x_{n_{j_k}} = y$$

We will denote by $A - K(G)$ the class of A -compact mappings.

Lemma 1. ([3])

Let T be an A -compact mapping from $Cl(G)$ into X with respect to Γ_n . Suppose $y \notin Cl(T(\text{bdry}(G)))$, then there exist $\delta > 0$ and $n_o \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $n > n_o$ we obtain:

$$\|P_n T(x) - P_n(y)\| \geq \delta$$

for every $x \in \text{bdry}(G) \cap X_n$

DEFINITION 2. Let Γ_n be a projectionally complete Banach space, G an open bounded subset of X , T a mapping in $A - K(G)$ and let us assume that $y \notin Cl(T\text{bdry}(G))$, We define:

$$D(T, G, y) = \left\{ z \in Z : z = \lim_{n_j \rightarrow \infty} \{d(P_{n_j}, T, G_{n_j}, P_{n_j}, y)\} \text{ and } \right. \\ \left. \{n_j\} \text{ an increasing sequence of positive integers} \right\}$$

where $G_{n_j} = G \cap X_{n_j}$ and $d(P_{n_j}, T, G_{n_j}, P_{n_j}, y)$ is the classical Brouwer degree.

Theorem 1. ([3])

Let T be an A -compact mapping from $Cl(G)$ into X with respect to Γ_n . Suppose that $y \notin Cl(T(\text{bdry}(G)))$. Then:

1. $D(G, T, y) \neq \emptyset$
2. $D(I, G, y) = \{1\}$
3. If G_1 and G_2 are open subsets of G , $G_1 \cap G_2 = \emptyset$ and $y \notin Cl(T(Cl(G - (G_1 \cup G_2))))$ we obtain:

$$D(T, G, y) \subseteq D(T, G_1, y) + D(T, G_2, y)$$

where equality holds if either $D(T, G_1, y)$ or $D(T, G_2, y)$ is a singleton.

4. Let H be a mapping from $[0, 1] \times Cl(G)$ into X . Suppose that the following hypotheses are all satisfied:

$$H(t, \cdot) \in A - K(G) \text{ for every } t \in [0, 1]$$

For every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $|t_1 - t_2| < \delta$ implies $\|H(t_1, \cdot) - H(t_2, \cdot)\| < \epsilon$ for every $x \in Cl(G)$. Then $D(H(t, \cdot), G, y(t))$ is independent of t , where $y : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ is continuous and $y(t) \notin Cl(H(t, \text{bdry}(G)))$

5. If $T(Cl(G))$ is closed and $D(T, G, y) \neq \{0\}$ there exists a point $x \in G$ such that:

$$T(x) = y$$

$$6. K(G) \cup \Delta(G) \cup A(G) \subset A - K(G)$$

7. If $T \in A - K(G)$ and $C \in K(G)$ we obtain $T + C \in A - K(G)$

3. Results

Lemma 2.

Let $\Gamma_n = \{X, P_n, X_n\}$ be a projectionally complete Banach space, G and U open bounded subsets of X , $C \in K(G)$, $I + C(Fr(G) \subset Cl(U))$ and T continuous and A -compact, if:

(1) There exists n_0 such that if $n > n_0$ $P_n(I + C)(Cl(G)) \subset Cl(U)$.

(2) $y \notin Cl(T(I + C)\text{bdry}(G))$.

Then there exists n_1 such that if $n > n_1$

$$d(P_n T P_n(I + C), G_n, P_n y) = d(P_n T, G_n, P_n y)$$

Proof. By the homotopy property for the Brouwer degree it is sufficient to prove that there exists n_1 such that for all $n > n_1$:

$P_n y \notin (t P_n T(I + C) + (1 - t) P_n T P_n(I + C))(\text{bdry}(G_n))$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

If we suppose this assertion is false there exist sequences $\{x_{n_i}\}$, $\{t_{n_i}\}$ with $x_{n_i} \in X_{n_i}$ and $t_{n_i} \in [0, 1]$ such that:

$$t_{n_i} P_{n_i} T(I + C)x_{n_i} + (1 - t_{n_i}) P_{n_i} T P_{n_i}(I + C)x_{n_i} = P_{n_i} y$$

We can suppose $t_{n_i} \mapsto t_0 \in [0, 1]$ and $P_{n_i} y \mapsto y$, thus:

$$\lim_{n_i \rightarrow \infty} t_0 P_{n_i} T(I + C)x_{n_i} + (1 - t_0) P_{n_i} T P_{n_i}(I + C)x_{n_i} = y$$

As C is a compact mapping there exists a convergent subsequence of $\{C x_{n_i}\}$ and so there exists a subsequence of $\{P_{n_i} T(I + C)x_{n_i}\}$ convergent to y which is not possible by lemma 1 and hypothesis (2). \square

Lemma 3.

If in addition to the hypothesis of lemma 2 we have:

(1) T is proper

(2) $U - (I + C)\text{bdry}(G) = V$ is connected.

There exists n_0 such that for all $n > n_0$:

$$d(P_n T P_n(I + C), G_n, P_n y) = D(I + C, G, V) d(P_n T, V_n, P_n y)$$

Where $D(I + C, G, V)$ is the Leray-Schauder degree for any $x \in V$

Proof. By the Product Formula for the Brouwer degree whenever n is sufficiently large

$$d(P_n T P_n(I + C), G_n, P_n y) = \sum_{i_n=1}^k d(P_n(I + C), G_n, V_n^{i_n}) d(P_n T, V_n^{i_n}, P_n y)$$

where $V_n^{i_n}$ are the finite connected components of $V_n - P_n(I + C)(\text{bdry}(G_n))$ which satisfy $d(P_n T, V_n^{i_n}, P_n y) \neq 0$

The lemma will be proved if when $h \neq k$ whatever the subsequences, whenever $d(P_{n_j} T, V_{n_j}^k, P_{n_j} y)$ and $d(P_{n_j} T, V_{n_j}^h, P_{n_j} y)$ are not zero:

$$d(P_{n_j}(I + C), G_{n_j}, V_{n_j}^h) = d(P_{n_j}(I + C), G_{n_j}, V_{n_j}^k) = D(I + C, G, V)$$

is satisfied.

In order to prove the equality it suffices to show that if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence of points with $x_n \in V_n - P_n(I + C)(\text{bdry}(G_n))$ and $P_n T x_n = P_n y$, there exists n_1 such that for all $n > n_1$:

$$(1) \quad d(P_n(I + C), G_n, x_n) = D((I + C), G, V)$$

At first we prove that there exists $\delta > 0$ satisfying

$$d(x_n, (I + C)(\text{bdry}(G))) > \delta > 0$$

Suppose that this assertion is false. If we consider the sets

$$V^j = \{x \in V \mid d(x, (I + C)(\text{bdry}(G))) < 1/j\}$$

for all j there would exist a subsequence $\{x_{n_j}\} \subset V^j$. As T is A -compact and proper it must be closed, so there exists $\{x_j\}$ with $x_j \in V^j$ such that $T(x_j) = y$. As T is proper and $I + C$ is closed then $T(x) = y$ with $x \in I + C(\text{bdry}(G))$, which is not possible from the hypothesis.

Let $x \in V$ and $d(x, (I + C)(\text{bdry}(G))) > \delta > 0$. If we prove that there exists n_0 such that for $n > n_0$

$$d(P_n(I + C), G_n, x_n) = d(P_n(I + C), G_n, P_n x)$$

is verified, the equality (1) would be proved since $d(P_n(I + C), G_n, P_n x) = D((I + C), G, x) = D((I + C), G, V)$ whenever n is sufficiently large.

By the homotopy property we only need to show that:

$$tP_n x + (1-t)x_n \notin P_n(I+C)(\text{bdry}(G))$$

If this assertion were false there would exist sequences $\{z_{n_i}\}$, $\{t_{n_i}\}$ with $z_{n_i} \in \text{bdry}(G_{n_i})$ and $t_{n_i} \in [0, 1]$ such that :

$$t_{n_i}P_{n_i}x + (1-t_{n_i})x_{n_i} = P_{n_i}(I+C)z_{n_i}$$

Hence there would exist $t_0 \in [0, 1]$ and a subsequence of $\{n_i\}$, which we denote $\{n_i\}$ again, such that there exists n_0 satisfying for all $n > n_1$

$$\|t_0x + (1-t_0)x_{n_i} - P_{n_i}(I+C)z_{n_i}\| < \frac{1}{j}$$

which is not possible because $d(x_{n_i}, (I+C)(\text{bdry}(G))) > \delta > 0$ for all n and $d(x, (I+C)(\text{bdry}(G))) > \delta > 0$. \square

Theorem 2.

With the hypotheses of lemmas 2 and 3

$$D(T(I+C), G, y) = D(I+C, G, V)D(T, V, y)$$

The proof is deduced from lemmas 1 and 2.

References

1. F. E. Browder and W. V. Petryshyn, The topological degree and Galerkin approximation for noncompact operators in Banach spaces, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **74** (1968), 641-646.
2. K. Deimling, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New-York, 1984.
3. G. Lopez, On the topological degree for A-compact mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **159**, 2 (1991), 382-388.
4. N.G. Lloyd, *Degree Theory*, Cambridge University Press, London, 1974.
5. S. Ship-Fah Wong, A product Formula for the degree for A-proper Maps, *J. Functional Analysis* **10** (1972), 361-372.