

## APPROXIMATE SOLUTION OF A CERTAIN CLASS OF NONLINEAR SINGULAR INTEGRAL EQUATIONS

*M. H. Saleh and S. M. Amer*

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we solve a nonlinear singular integral equation with Hilbert kernel by the method of mechanical quadrature in generalized Hölder space. We also determine the rate of convergence for the approximate solution.

### Introduction

In this paper we shall solve the following nonlinear singular integral equation

$$u(y) = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} F(y, s, u(s)) \cot \frac{s-y}{2} ds \quad (0.1)$$

in the generalized Hölder spaces  $H_{\phi, m}$  [2] and  $H_{\phi, m}^{(N)}$  [8]. Here the function  $F(y, s, u(s))$  is  $2\pi$ -periodic in  $y$  and  $s$  where  $y, s \in [0, 2\pi]$  and  $u \in [-M, M]$ , ( $M > 0$ ).

The function  $F(y, s, u)$  and its derivative  $F'_y(y, s, u)$  satisfy the following two conditions respectively:

$$|F(y_1, s_1, u_1) - F(y_2, s_2, u_2)| \leq A_1 [\phi(|y_1 - y_2|) + \phi(|s_1 - s_2|) + |u_1 - u_2|], \quad (0.2)$$

$$|F'_y(y_1, s_1, u_1) - F'_y(y_2, s_2, u_2)| \leq A_2 [\phi(|y_1 - y_2|) + \phi(|s_1 - s_2|) + |u_1 - u_2|] \quad (0.3)$$

where  $\phi, \phi_1 \in \Phi$ ,  $A_1, A_2$  are constants,  $y_i, s_i \in [0, 2\pi]$  and  $u_i \in [-M, M]$ ; ( $i = 1, 2$ ).

Our objective is to determine the rate of convergence for the approximate solution of the equation (0.1).

1. The solution in the space  $H_{\phi,m}$ **Definition 1.1.**

(a) We define the class  $\Phi$  to be the class of all continuous almost increasing functions  $\phi$  defined on  $(0, \pi]$  such that

$$\phi(t) > 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \phi(t) = 0.$$

(b) The class  $\Phi^m$  is the class of all functions  $\phi \in \Phi$  such that  $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \pi$  implies

$$t_1^m \phi(t_2) \leq c(m) t_2^m \phi(t_1),$$

where  $m$  is a natural number.

(c) We denote by  $c_{2\pi}$  the space of  $2\pi$  periodic continuous functions with norm

$$\|u\|_c = \max_{x \in [-\pi, \pi]} |u(x)|.$$

(d) For a natural number  $m$  we define

$$H_{\phi,m} = \{u \in c_{2\pi} : W_u^m(\delta) = O(\phi(\delta)), \phi \in \Phi^m\}$$

where  $W_u^m(\delta)$  is the modulus of continuity of order  $m$  of  $u$ .

(e) For  $u \in H_{\phi,m}$  we define

$$\|u\|_{\phi,m} = \|u\|_c + \sup_{0 < \delta \leq \pi} \frac{W_u^m(\delta)}{\phi(\delta)}$$

and

$$H_{\phi,m}(M) = \{u \in H_{\phi,m} : \|u\|_{\phi,m} \leq M, M > 0\}.$$

(Please see [2], [6]).

**Theorem 1.2.** [6] Let  $\phi \in H\Phi^m$ , then the operator

$$(Au)(x) = \tilde{u}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(y) \cot \frac{y-x}{2} dy$$

transforms  $H_{\phi,m}(M)$  into  $H_{\phi,m}(\tilde{M})$  where

$$\tilde{M} = M \left( c_1(m) \int_0^\pi \frac{\phi(\xi)}{\xi} d\xi + c_1(m) + c_2(m) \tilde{c}(m) \right).$$

**Lemma 1.3.** *Let the function  $F(y, s, u(s))$  be a  $2\pi$ -periodic in  $y, s \in [0, 2\pi]$  and  $u \in [-M, M]$ , ( $M > 0$ ), has  $(m - 1)$  partial derivatives. If the function  $F(y, s, u(s))$  satisfies the following condition for arbitrary  $y_n, s_n \in [0, 2\pi]$  and  $u_n \in [-M, M]$ , ( $n = 1, 2$ ):*

$$\left| \frac{\partial^l}{\partial y^i \partial s^j \partial u^k} F(y_1, s_1, u_1) - \frac{\partial^l}{\partial y^i \partial s^j \partial u^k} F(y_2, s_2, u_2) \right| \leq c(l) (W(|y_1 - y_2|) + W^*(|s_1 - s_2|) + |u_1 - u_2|), \quad (1.1)$$

for  $i + j + k = l$ ,  $l = 0, \dots, m - 1$ , where  $W(\delta)$ ,  $W^*(\delta)$  are nondecreasing functions from  $(0, \pi]$  into  $\mathbb{R}_+$  and  $W(0) = 0$ ,  $W^*(0) = 0$ .

Then

$$W_F^m(\delta) \leq c(m) \begin{cases} W(\delta) + W^*(\delta) + W_u^1(\delta) & \text{at } m = 1 \\ W_u^m(\delta) + W_u^{m-2}(\delta) \delta W(\delta) + W_u^{m-2}(\delta) \delta W^*(\delta) & \text{at } m \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* For  $m = 1$ , the lemma is true.

For  $m = 2$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_h^2 F(y, s, u(s)) &= F(y + 2h, s + 2h, u(s + 2h)) \\ &\quad - 2F(y + h, s + h, u(s + h)) + F(y, s, u(s)). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lagrange's formula we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_h^2 F(y, s, u(s)) &= \left| \int_0^1 [F'_y(y + h + \theta h, s + 2h, u(s + 2h)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - F'_y(y + \theta h, s + h, u(s + h))] h d\theta \right. \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 [F'_s(y + h, s + h + \theta h, u(s + 2h)) \\ &\quad \left. - F'_s(y, s + \theta h, u(s + h))] h d\theta \right. \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 [F'_u(y + h, s + h, u(s + h) + \theta(u(s + 2h) - u(s + h))) \\ &\quad \left. - F'_u(y + h, s + h, u(s) + \theta(u(s + h) - u(s))) \right] \\ &\quad \left. (u(s + 2h) - u(s + h)) d\theta \right. \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 [F'_u(y + h, s + h, u(s) + \theta(u(s + h) - u(s))) \\ &\quad \left. - F'_u(y, s, u(s) + \theta(u(s + h) - u(s))) \right] (u(s + 2h) - u(s + h)) d\theta \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 [F'_u(y, s, u(s) + \theta(u(s + h) - u(s))) \\ &\quad \left. (u(s + 2h) - 2u(s + h) + u(s)) d\theta \right|, \end{aligned}$$

for  $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ .

On applying condition (1.1) we get

$$|F'_u(y, s, u(s) + \theta(u(s+h) - u(s)))| \leq c(2)M + K_1$$

where

$$K_{m-1} = \max_{y, s \in [0, 2\pi]} |F_u^{m-1}(y, s, 0)|.$$

Then

$$W_F^2(\delta) \leq c(2) [W_u^2(\delta) + \delta W(\delta) + \delta W^*(\delta)].$$

By induction we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_h^m F(y, s, u(s)) &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} c_\nu^{m-1} \int_0^1 \Delta_h^\nu F'_u(y+h, s+h, u(s)) \\ &\quad + \theta(u(s+h) - u(s)) \Delta_h^{m-\nu} u(s+\nu h) d\theta \\ &\quad + h \int_0^1 \Delta_h^{m-1} F'_y(y+\theta h, s, u(s)) d\theta \\ &\quad + h \int_0^1 \Delta_h^{m-1} F'_s(y+h, s+\theta h, u(s)) d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

The functions  $F'_u(y+h, s+h, u(s) + \theta(u(s+h) - u(s)))$ ,  $F'_y(y+\theta h, s, u(s))$  and  $F'_s(y+h, s+\theta h, u(s))$  have partial derivatives to  $(\nu-1)$  order,  $(\nu = \overline{1, m-1})$  and these derivatives satisfy condition (1.1), then by the hypothesis we get

$$|\Delta_h^m F(y, s, u(s))| \leq c(m) [W_u^m(h) + W_u^{m-2}(h) h W(h) + W_u^{m-2}(h) h W^*(h)].$$

That is

$$W_F^m(\delta) \leq c(m) [W_u^m(\delta) + W_u^{m-2}(\delta) \delta W(\delta) + W_u^{m-2}(\delta) \delta W^*(\delta)].$$

*Remark.* We say that  $(W, W^*, \phi) \in BH\Phi^m$  if

$$\delta^{m-1} W(\delta) \int_\delta^\pi \frac{\phi(\xi)}{\xi^{m-1}} d\xi = O(\phi(\delta))$$

and

$$\delta^{m-1} W^*(\delta) \int_\delta^\pi \frac{\phi(\xi)}{\xi^{m-1}} d\xi = O(\phi(\delta))$$

where  $\phi \in H\Phi^m$ .

**Lemma 1.4.** *If the condition (1.1) is satisfied and  $(W, W^*, \phi) \in BH\Phi^m$  and if  $u(y) \in H_{\phi, m}$  then  $F(y, s, u(s)) \in H_{\phi, m}$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from the above remark, Lemma (1.3) and Marscho's theorem [8].

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let the function  $F(y, s, u(s))$  satisfy the condition (1.1) and for  $(W, W^*, \phi) \in BH\Phi^m$ , then for*

$$|\lambda| < |\lambda_0| \quad (\lambda_0 \text{ arbitrary small}),$$

the equation

$$U(y) = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} F(y, s, u(s)) \cot \frac{s-y}{2} ds \quad (1.2)$$

has a unique solution in  $H_{\phi, m}(M)$ . The solution is uniformly convergent and can be evaluated by the method of successive approximations.

*Proof.* Let  $u \in H_{\phi, m}(M)$ , then by Lemma (1.3), (1.4) and Theorem (1.2) the operator

$$(Au)(y) = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} F(y, s, u(s)) \cot \frac{s-y}{2} ds$$

transforms  $H_{\phi, m}(M)$  into  $H_{\phi, m}(|\lambda| R')$ .

Therefore  $|\lambda| R' \leq M$ , hence the operator transforms  $H_{\phi, m}(M)$  into itself.

On using M. Riesz' theorem [3] we have

$$\|\tilde{u}\|_{L_p} \leq c(p) \|u\|_{L_p}, \quad 1 < p < \infty$$

where

$$\tilde{u}(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(s) \cot \frac{s-y}{2} ds.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|Au_1 - Au_2\|_{L_p} \\ &= \left[ \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{\lambda}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} [F(y, s, u_1(s)) - F(y, s, u_2(s))] \cot \frac{s-y}{2} ds \right|^p dy \right]^{1/p} \\ &\leq |\lambda| c(p) \|F(y, s, u_1(s)) - F(y, s, u_2(s))\|_{L_p} \\ &= |\lambda| c(p) \left[ \int_0^{2\pi} |F(y, s, u_1(s)) - F(y, s, u_2(s))|^p ds \right]^{1/p} \\ &\leq |\lambda| c(p) c(0) \|u_1 - u_2\|_{L_p}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $|\lambda| c(p) c(0) < 1$ , then the operator  $A$  is a contraction mapping. Thus we have

$$|\lambda| < \lambda_0 = \min \left\{ \frac{M}{R'}, \frac{1}{c(p) c(0)} \right\}.$$

From the completeness of  $H_{\phi, m}(M)$  in  $L_p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , the equation (1.2) has a unique solution in  $H_{\phi, m}(M)$  and it can be found by the method of successive approximations.

## 2. The solution in the space $H_{\phi, m}^{(N)}$

For the integral

$$(Ju)(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} u(y) \cot \frac{y-s}{2} dy, \quad u(y) \in H_{\phi, m} \quad (2.1)$$

the quadrature formula takes the following form, [4],

$$(Ju)(s) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{2N-1} U_k \sin^2 \frac{s-s_k}{2} \cot \frac{s_k-s}{2}, \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$U_k = U(s_k), \quad s_k = \frac{k\pi}{N}.$$

Formula (2.2) at node points  $s_k$  takes the form

$$(Ju)(s_j) = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq j}}^{2N-1} U_k (1 - (-1)^{k-j}) \cot \frac{s_k - s_j}{2}. \quad (2.3)$$

Applying the quadrature formula (2.3) to (1.2) at node points we get

$$U(y_l) = \frac{\lambda}{2n} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq l}}^{2n-1} F(y_l, y_k, u(y_k)) (1 - (-1)^{k-l}) \cot \frac{y_k - y_l}{2} + R_N(F, y_l),$$

where  $R_N(F, y_l)$  is the remainder term,  $l = \overline{0, 2N-1}$ .

If we put  $u(y_l) = z_l$  we obtain the following system of nonlinear algebraic equations

$$z_l = \frac{\lambda}{2N} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq l}}^{2N-1} F(y_l, y_k, z_k) (1 - (-1)^{k-l}) \cot \frac{y_k - y_l}{2} \quad (2.4)$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *If the function  $F(y, s, u)$  and its derivative  $F'_y(y, s, u)$  satisfy the conditions (0.2) and (0.3), then the function*

$$\psi(y, s, u) = F(y, s, u) - F(s, s, u)$$

*satisfies the following condition*

$$|\psi(y, s, u) - \psi(y, s, v)| \leq A_2 |y - s| |u - v| \tag{2.5}$$

where  $u, v \in [-M, M]$  and  $A_2$  is a constant.

*Proof.* See [5].

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let the function  $F(y, s, u)$  satisfy the conditions (0.2) and (0.3), then the system of nonlinear algebraic equations (2.4) for arbitrary  $N \geq 3$  has a unique solution in  $H_{\phi, m}^{(N)}(M)$  and this solution can be found by the method of successive approximations.*

*Proof.* Let

$$H_{\phi, m}^{(N)}(M) = \left\{ z \in H_{\phi, m}^{(N)} : \|z\|_{\phi, m}^{(N)} \leq M \right\},$$

$$z = (z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{2N-1}) \in H_{\phi, m}^{(N)}(M),$$

$$Gz = (F(y_0, s_0, z_0), \dots, F(y_{2N-1}, s_{2N-1}, z_{2N-1})),$$

and since the space  $H_{\phi, m}^{(N)}(M)$  of vectors of bounded norms is a closed subspace of  $L_p^{(N)}$  and the function  $F(y, s, z)$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem (1.5) and Lemma (1.3), (1.4), then  $Gz \in H_{\phi, m}^{(N)}(R)$ .

Taking

$$B^{(N)}z = (B_0^{(N)}z, \dots, B_{2N-1}^{(N)}z),$$

where

$$B_l^{(N)}z = \frac{\lambda}{2N} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq l}}^{2N-1} F(y_l, y_k, z_k) (1 - (-1)^{k-l}) \operatorname{cot} \frac{y_k - y_l}{2}.$$

In other words

$$B^{(N)}z = \lambda A^{(N)}Gz,$$

where

$$A^{(N)}z = (A_0^{(N)}z, \dots, A_{2N-1}^{(N)}z)$$

and

$$\|A^{(N)}\|_{H_{\phi, m}^{(N)}} \leq c(m) \quad ([8], \text{theorem 3}).$$

We get

$$\|B^{(N)}z\|_{H_{\phi, m}^{(N)}} \leq |\lambda| Rc(m).$$

Now, let

$$z^{(i)} = (z_0^{(i)}, z_1^{(i)}, \dots, z_{2N-1}^{(i)}) \in H_{\phi, m}^{(N)}(M), \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned} \|B^{(N)}z^{(1)} - B^{(N)}z^{(2)}\|_{L_p^{(N)}} &= \left\{ \frac{\pi}{N} \sum_{l=0}^{2N-1} \left| \frac{\lambda}{2N} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq l}}^{2N-1} [F(y_l, y_k, z_k^{(1)}) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - F(y_l, y_k, z_k^{(2)})] (1 - (-1)^{k-l}) \cot \frac{y_k - y_l}{2} \right|^p \right\}^{1/p} \\ &\leq |\lambda| \left\{ \frac{\pi}{N} \sum_{l=0}^{2N-1} \frac{1}{2N} \left| \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq l}}^{2N-1} [F(y_k, y_k, z_k^{(1)}) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - F(y_k, y_k, z_k^{(2)})] (1 - (-1)^{k-l}) \cot \frac{y_k - y_l}{2} \right|^p \right\}^{1/p} \\ &\quad + |\lambda| \left\{ \frac{\pi}{N} \sum_{l=0}^{2N-1} \left| \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq l}}^{2N-1} [F(y_l, y_k, z_k^{(1)}) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - F(y_k, y_k, z_k^{(1)}) - F(y_l, y_k, z_k^{(2)}) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + F(y_k, y_k, z_k^{(2)})] (1 - (-1)^{k-l}) \cot \frac{y_k - y_l}{2} \right|^p \right\}^{1/p} \\ &= Q_1 + Q_2 \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= |\lambda| \left\{ \frac{\pi}{N} \sum_{l=0}^{2N-1} \frac{1}{2N} \left| \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq l}}^{2N-1} [F(y_k, y_k, z_k^{(1)}) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - F(y_k, y_k, z_k^{(2)})] (1 - (-1)^{k-l}) \cot \frac{y_k - y_l}{2} \right|^p \right\}^{1/p}, \end{aligned}$$



and

$$Q_2 = |\lambda| \left\{ \frac{\pi}{N} \sum_{l=0}^{2N-1} \frac{1}{2N} \left| \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq l}}^{2N-1} [F'(y_l, y_k, z_k^{(1)}) - F(y_k, y_k, z_k^{(1)}) - F'(y_l, y_k, z_k^{(2)}) + F(y_k, y_k, z_k^{(2)})] (1 - (-1)^{k-l}) \cot \frac{y_k - y_l}{2} \right|^p \right\}^{1/p}.$$

Since the operator  $B^{(N)}$  is bounded on  $L_p^{(N)}$ , [7], and by using the condition (0.2) we get

$$Q_1 \leq |\lambda| \left\{ \frac{\pi}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{2N-1} \left| F'(y_k, y_k, z_k^{(1)}) - F(y_k, y_k, z_k^{(2)}) \right|^p \right\}^{1/p} \leq |\lambda| A_1 \|z^{(1)} - z^{(2)}\|_{L_p^{(N)}} \quad (2.7)$$

Also,

$$Q_2 \leq |\lambda| \left\{ \frac{\pi}{N} \sum_{l=0}^{2N-1} \left[ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{2N-1} \left| F'(y_l, y_k, z_k^{(1)}) - F(y_k, y_k, z_k^{(1)}) - F'(y_l, y_k, z_k^{(2)}) + F(y_k, y_k, z_k^{(2)}) \right| \left| \cot \frac{y_k - y_l}{2} \right| \right]^p \right\}^{1/p}.$$

On using Lemma (2.1) we have

$$Q_2 \leq A_2 |\lambda| \left\{ \frac{\pi}{N} \sum_{l=0}^{2N-1} \left[ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq l}}^{2N-1} \eta_{l,k} \right]^p \right\}^{1/p} \leq A_2 |\lambda| \left\{ \frac{\pi}{N} \sum_{l=0}^{[N/2]} (a_1 + b_1)^p + \frac{\pi}{N} \sum_{l=[N/2]+1}^{2N-1} (c_1 + d_1)^p \right\}^{1/p}, \quad (2.8)$$

where

$$\eta_{l,k} = \left| z_k^{(1)} - z_k^{(2)} \right| \left| \frac{y_l - y_k}{\sin((y_l - y_k)/2)} \right|, \\ a_1 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{[3N/2]} \eta_{l,k},$$

$$b_1 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=[3N/2]+1}^{2N-1} \eta_{l,k},$$

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{[N/4]} \eta_{l,k}$$

and

$$d_1 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=[N/4]+1}^{2N-1} \eta_{l,k}.$$

Now, to determine  $a_1$ , we apply Hölder's inequality and we deduce that

$$a_1 \leq \frac{2}{\pi^{1/p}} \left( \frac{\pi}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{[3N/2]} |z_k^{(1)} - z_k^{(2)}|^p \right)^{1/p} \left[ \frac{1}{N} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} + \sum_{k=l+1}^{[3N/2]} \right) \left( \frac{(y_l - y_k)/2}{\sin((y_l - y_k)/2)} \right)^q \right]^{1/q}.$$

Putting  $l - k = \lambda$  in the first  $\sum$  and  $k - l = \lambda$  in the second  $\sum$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &\leq \frac{2}{\pi} \left\| z^{(1)} - z^{(2)} \right\|_{L_p^{(N)}} \left[ \frac{\pi}{N} \left( \sum_{\lambda=1}^l + \sum_{\lambda=1}^{[3N/2]-l} \right) \left( \frac{t_\lambda/2}{\sin(t_\lambda/2)} \right)^q \right]^{1/q} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\pi} \left\| z^{(1)} - z^{(2)} \right\|_{L_p^{(N)}} (\Omega_1 + \Omega_2)^{1/q}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$\Omega_1 = \frac{\pi}{N} \sum_{\lambda=1}^l \left( \frac{t_\lambda/2}{\sin(t_\lambda/2)} \right)^q$$

and

$$\Omega_2 = \frac{\pi}{N} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{[3N/2]-l} \left( \frac{t_\lambda/2}{\sin(t_\lambda/2)} \right)^q.$$

For  $N \geq 3$  we have

$$t_{l+1} \leq \frac{\pi}{N} + \frac{\pi}{2} = \frac{5\pi}{6} \quad \text{at } 0 \leq l \leq \left[ \frac{N}{2} \right],$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_1 &= \frac{\pi}{N} \sum_{\lambda=1}^l \left( \frac{t_\lambda/2}{\sin(t_\lambda/2)} \right)^q \\ &\leq \sum_{\lambda=1}^l \int_{t_\lambda}^{t_{\lambda+1}} \left( \frac{x/2}{\sin(x/2)} \right)^q dx \\ &\leq \int_0^{5\pi/6} \left( \frac{x/2}{\sin(x/2)} \right)^q dx, \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_2 &= \frac{\pi}{N} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{[3N/2]-l} \left( \frac{t_\lambda/2}{\sin(t_\lambda/2)} \right)^q \\ &\leq \sum_{\lambda=1}^{[3N/2]-l} \int_{t_\lambda}^{t_{\lambda+1}} \left( \frac{x/2}{\sin(x/2)} \right)^q dx \\ &\leq \int_0^{11\pi/6} \left( \frac{x/2}{\sin(x/2)} \right)^q dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

From (2.10) and (2.11) in (2.9) we have

$$a_1 \leq \frac{2^{1+1/q}}{\pi} \|z^{(1)} - z^{(2)}\|_{L_p^{(N)}} \left[ \int_0^{11\pi/6} \left( \frac{x/2}{\sin(x/2)} \right)^q dx \right]^{1/q}, \quad (2.12)$$

for  $0 \leq l \leq [N/2]$ .

Similarly we can show that

$$b_2 \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \|z^{(1)} - z^{(2)}\|_{L_p^{(N)}} \left[ \int_0^\pi \left( \frac{x/2}{\sin(x/2)} \right)^q dx \right]^{1/q}, \quad (2.13)$$

for  $0 \leq l \leq [N/2]$ ,

$$c_1 \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \|z^{(1)} - z^{(2)}\|_{L_p^{(N)}} \left[ \int_0^{7\pi/4} \left( \frac{x/2}{\sin(x/2)} \right)^q dx \right]^{1/q}, \quad (2.14)$$

for  $[N/2] + 1 \leq l \leq 2N - 1$ , and

$$d_1 \leq \frac{2^{1+1/q}}{\pi} \|z^{(1)} - z^{(2)}\|_{L_p^{(N)}} \left[ \int_0^{7\pi/4} \left( \frac{x/2}{\sin(x/2)} \right)^q dx \right]^{1/q}, \quad (2.15)$$

for  $[N/2] + 1 \leq l \leq 2N - 1$ .

Using (2.12)-(2.15) into (2.8) we have

$$Q_2 \leq \frac{9|\lambda|A_2}{\pi^{1/q}} \left[ \int_0^{11\pi/6} \left( \frac{x/2}{\sin(x/2)} \right)^q dx \right]^{1/q} \|z^{(1)} - z^{(2)}\|_{L_p^{(N)}}. \quad (2.16)$$

Substituting (2.7) and (2.16) in (2.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| B^{(N)}z^{(1)} - B^{(N)}z^{(2)} \right\|_{L_p^{(N)}} \\ & \leq |\lambda| \left[ A_1 + \frac{9A_2}{\pi^{1/q}} \left( \int_0^{11\pi/6} \left( \frac{x/2}{\sin(x/2)} \right)^q dx \right)^{1/q} \right] \|z^{(1)} - z^{(2)}\|_{L_p^{(N)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

From boundedness of  $B^{(N)}$  in  $L_p^{(N)}$  and using the contraction mapping principle at

$$|\lambda| < \min \left\{ \frac{M}{Rc(m)}, \left( A_1 + \frac{9A_2}{\pi^{1/q}} \left( \int_0^{11\pi/6} \left( \frac{x/2}{\sin(x/2)} \right)^q dx \right)^{1/q} \right)^{-1} \right\},$$

the system (2.4) for arbitrary  $N \geq 3$  has a unique solution in  $H_{\phi, m}^{(N)}(M)$  and the theorem is proved.

### 3. The rate of convergence of the approximate solution

Let

$$|\lambda| < \min \left\{ \frac{M}{Rc(m)}, \left( A_1 + \frac{9A_2}{\pi^{1/q}} \left( \int_0^{11\pi/6} \left( \frac{x/2}{\sin(x/2)} \right)^q dx \right)^{1/q} \right)^{-1} \right\}, \quad (3.1)$$

then the equation (1.2) has a unique solution  $u^*(y) \in H_{\phi, m}(M)$  and the system (2.4) at arbitrary  $N \geq 3$  has a unique solution

$$z^* = (z_0^*, z_1^*, \dots, z_{2N-1}^*) \in H_{\phi, m}^{(N)}(M).$$

The function

$$u_N^*(y) = \frac{\lambda}{2N} \sum_{k=0}^{2N-1} F(y, y_k, z_k^*) \sin^2 \frac{y - y_k}{2} \cot \frac{y_k - y}{2} \quad (3.2)$$

at  $y = y_l$ , ( $k$  and  $l$  do not take the same value simultaneously) is called the approximate solution of (1.2).

It is easy to deduce that  $u_N^*(y) = z_l^*$  at  $y = y_l$ , ( $l = \overline{0, 2N-1}$ ). The norm of the difference of the vectors  $z^*$  and

$$u^* = (u(y_0), u(y_1), \dots, u(y_{2N-1}))$$

in  $L_p^{(N)}$  may be found as follows.

Applying the quadrature formula (2.2) to (1.2) at node points  $y_l$ , we get

$$u^*(y_l) = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq l}}^{2N-1} F(y_l, y_k, u^*(y_k)) (1 - (-1)^{k-l}) \cot \frac{y_k - y_l}{2} + R_N(F, y_l) \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$F = F(y, s, u(s)).$$

Put  $z^{(1)} = u^*$  and  $z^{(2)} = z^*$  in (2.17) and using (3.1), we get

$$\|u^* - z^*\|_{L_p^{(N)}} \leq |\lambda| \|R_N(F, y)\|_c \left\{ 1 - |\lambda| \left[ A_1 + \frac{9 A_2}{\pi^{1/q}} \left( \int_0^{11\pi/6} \left( \frac{x/2}{\sin(x/2)} \right)^q dx \right)^{1/q} \right] \right\}^{-1}. \quad (3.4)$$

Now, we evaluate  $\|u^*(y) - u_N^*(y)\|_c$ ; from (3.2) and by applying (2.2) on the equation (1.2) we get

$$u^*(y) - u_N^*(y) = \frac{\lambda}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{2N-1} [F(y, y_k, u^*(y_k)) - F(y, y_k, z_k^*)] \sin^2 \frac{y - y_k}{2} \cot \frac{y_k - y}{2} + |\lambda| R_N(F, y),$$

from Lemma (5.2) in [1] and condition (0.2) we deduce the following

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^*(y) - u_N^*(y)\|_c &\leq A_1 |\lambda| \max_l |u^*(y_l) - z_l^*| \\ &\quad \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{2N-1} \left| \sin^2 \frac{y - y_k}{2} \cot \frac{y_k - y}{2} \right| \right) + |\lambda| \|R_N(F, y)\|_c \\ &\leq 2 |\lambda| A_1 (1 + \pi) (1 + \ln 2N) \max_l |u^*(y_l) - z_l^*| \\ &\quad + |\lambda| \|R_N(F, y)\|_c. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

From Theorems (1.5) and (2.2) and since  $u^*(y) \in H_{\phi, m}(M)$  then

$$u^* = (u(y_0), u(y_1), \dots, u(y_{2N-1})) \in H_{\phi, m}^{(N)}(M)$$

and

$$u^* - z^* \in H_{\phi, m}^{(N)}(2M).$$

From the inequalities (3.4), and

$$\max_i |u^*(y_i) - z_i^*| \leq c(m, M) \left[ \left( \frac{N}{h} \right)^{1/p} \|u^* - z^*\|_{L_p^{(N)}} + \phi \left( \frac{\pi h}{N} \right) \right], \quad (3.6)$$

$$\|R_N(F, y)\|_c \leq c(m) \phi \left( \frac{\pi}{N} \right) \ln N \quad ([6], [7]), \quad (3.7)$$

we get

$$\max_i |u^*(y_i) - z_i^*| \leq c(m, M) \min_{2 \leq h \leq (N/2)(m+1)} \left[ \left( \frac{N}{h} \right)^{1/p} \phi \left( \frac{\pi}{N} \right) \ln N + \phi \left( \frac{\pi h}{N} \right) \right].$$

Taking  $h = N^\alpha$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , then

$$\max_i |u^*(y_i) - z_i^*| \leq \text{const} \left[ \frac{\ln N}{N^{(\alpha-1)/p}} \phi \left( \frac{\pi}{N} \right) + \phi \left( \frac{\pi}{N^{1-\alpha}} \right) \right]. \quad (3.8)$$

Consequently from (3.5), (3.7) and (3.8) we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^* - u_N^*\|_c &\leq 2|\lambda| A_1 (1 + \pi) (1 + \ln 2N) \left[ \frac{\ln N}{N^{(\alpha-1)/p}} \phi \left( \frac{\pi}{N} \right) + \phi \left( \frac{\pi}{N^{1-\alpha}} \right) \right] \\ &\quad + |\lambda| c(m) \phi \left( \frac{\pi}{N} \right) \ln N \\ &\leq \text{const} \left( \frac{\ln^2 N}{N^{(\alpha-1)/p}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for  $1 < p < \infty$ .

### References

- [1] A. A. BABAIEV, S. M. MALSAGOV AND V. V. SALAEV, Basis of quadratic method for nonlinear singular integral equation with Hilbert kernel (in Russian), *Ych. Zap. AGU. Bra. Phy-math. Nauk*, 1 (1971), 13-33.

- [2] A. I. GUSEINOV AND KH. SH. MURHITAROV, *Introduction to the Theory of Nonlinear Singular Integral Equations* (in Russian), Nauka, Moscow, 1980.
- [3] A. ZYGMUND, *Trigonometric Series*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1959.
- [4] B. G. GABDYLKHAEV, About one general quadratic process for singular integrals (in Russian), in *Functional Analysis and Theory of Functions*, vol. 127, pages 75–90, Kazan University, Kazan, 1967.
- [5] B. I. MOSAEV AND M. I. SEIRANOVA, Approximate solution of nonlinear singular integral equation by the method of mechanical quadrature (in Russian), *Ych. Zap. AGU. Bra. Phy-math. Nauk*, **3** (1974), 31–41.
- [6] B. I. MOSAEV AND V. V. SALAEV, On the convergence of quadratic process for singular integrals with Hilbert kernel (in Russian), in *Timely Problems in Theory of Functions*, pages 186–194, AGU, 1980.
- [7] H. S. MOHAMED, Basis of quadratic method for nonlinear singular equation with Hilbert kernel in the spaces  $H_{\phi,k}$ , *Az NINTI* **279** (1984).
- [8] M. H. SALEH AND S. M. AMER, Singular Operator in generalized Hölder space, in *Proceedings of the Mathematical and Physical Society of Egypt*, to appear.

Received 8/JAN/88

M. H. Saleh and S. M. Amer  
Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science  
Zagazig University  
EGYPT

