

ON THE EXISTENCE AND CLASSIFICATION  
OF Co- $H$ -STRUCTURES

por

JOSÉ-LUIS NAVARRO

ABSTRACT.

This work has been motivated by [B], where it is shown that  $S^3 \mathbf{U}_f e^{2p+1}$  does not admit any homotopy associative comultiplication, when  $p \neq 2$  is a prime and  $f$  represents a generator of  $Z_p \subset \pi_{2p}(S^3)$ .

If  $X$  is a 1-connected Co- $H$ -space every comultiplication on  $X$  induces a loop structure in the set of homotopy classes  $[X, Y]$ , for all  $Y$ .

There is (see, e.g. [G]) an one-to-one correspondence between homotopy classes of comultiplications on  $X$  and homotopy classes of coretractions for the evaluation map.

The main result of this paper is the following theorem *Theorem* (4.1). If  $X$  is a 1-connected co- $H$ -space then there is an one-to-one correspondence between the set of co- $H$ -structures on  $X$  and  $[X, X \# X]$ .

Here  $X \# X$  denotes the homotopy fiber of the inclusion map  $i = X \vee X \subset X \times X$ .

In the third section, an obstruction  $\omega \in [X_1, X_2 \# X_2]$  to the primitivity of a map from  $X_1$  into  $X_2$  with respect to two fixed comultiplications is determined. In the same section, equivalent conditions for  $X_1$  or  $X_2$  to admit different comultiplications making a fixed map primitive, are given.

All spaces are assumed to have the homotopy type of connected CW-complexes with base-point.

I wish to thank Prof. M. Castellet for pointing out this problem to me.

## 1. — INTRODUCTION.

A comultiplication on a space  $X$  is a map

$$\mu : X \rightarrow X \vee X$$

such that  $i\mu \simeq \Delta$ , where  $\Delta$  is the diagonal map.

If  $\mu \simeq \mu'$ , it is clear that  $\mu'$  is also a comultiplication. The homotopy class of a comultiplication is called a *co- $H$ -structure*.

A homotopy theory on  $X$  is a system of binary operations defined in  $[X, Y]$ , for all  $Y$ , accomplishing the following conditions.

- a) For each  $Y$ , the homotopy class of the constant map is a two-sided identity element.
- b) For all  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  and for each map

$$f : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$$

the induced function

$$f_* : [X, Y_1] \rightarrow [X, Y_2]$$

is a homomorphism of binary systems.

If  $B$  is the category of binary systems and homomorphisms, it is known (see, e.g. [C]) that a space  $X$  admits a comultiplication if and only if the functor  $[X, -]$  takes values in  $B$ . In particular if  $[X, X \vee X]$  is a binary system,  $[i_1] + [i_2]$  is a *co- $H$ -structure* on  $X$ .

A loop  $M$  is a binary system such that the equations  $a + x = b$ ,  $y + a = b$ , have unique solutions  $x, y \in M$ , for all  $a, b$  in  $M$ .

The functor  $[X, -]$  takes values in the category of loops if and only if there are maps

$$l, r : X \rightarrow X$$

such that  $\nabla(l \vee 1)\mu \simeq 0 \simeq \nabla(1 \vee r)\mu$ .

Let  $\phi, \psi : X \vee X \rightarrow X \vee X$  be maps defined by  $\phi i_1 = i_1, \psi i_1 = \mu$  and  $\psi i_2 = \mu, \phi i_2 = i_2$ . Then  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are homology equivalences.

A comultiplication  $\mu$  induces loop structure in  $[X, Y]$ , for all  $Y$ , if and only if  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are homotopy equivalences. If  $X$  is 1-con-

nected, then every comultiplication on  $X$  induces a loop structure in  $[X, Y]$ , for all  $Y$  (see, e.g. [G]).

In what follows we will not distinguish between maps and homotopy classes:

If  $X$  is a 1-connected co- $H$ -space, the unique solution in  $[X, Y]$  of the equation  $x + g = f$  (resp.  $g + y = f$ ) will be denoted by  $D(f, g)$  (resp.  $D'(f, g)$ ).

Let  $\phi$  be a map from  $Y_1$  into  $Y_2$ , then  $\phi D(f, g) = D(\phi f, \phi g)$  and  $\phi D'(f, g) = D'(\phi f, \phi g)$ .

Furthermore,  $D(f_1, f_2) = \nabla(f_1 \vee f_2) D(i_1, i_2)$  and, in this sense, we can think of  $D(i_1, i_2)$  as an universal difference.

## 2. — AN EXACT SEQUENCE OF LOOPS.

Let  $\varepsilon$  be the evaluation map

$$\varepsilon: S \Omega X \rightarrow X$$

(i.e.  $\varepsilon[t, \omega] = \omega(t)$ ). We can characterize co- $H$ -spaces in terms of  $\varepsilon$ , as follows: A space  $X$  has a comultiplication if and only if there is a coretraction for  $\varepsilon$ .

*Lemma (2.1).* The induced homomorphism

$$f_*: [X, Y_1] \rightarrow [X, Y_2]$$

is surjective for all co- $H$ -space  $X$  if and only if  $\Omega f$  admits a coretraction.

*Proof:* If  $f_*$  is surjective for all co- $H$ -space  $X$ , in particular for  $X = S \Omega Y_2$  let  $\gamma \in [S \Omega Y_2, Y_1]$  be such that  $f\gamma = \varepsilon_2$ . Then if  $\xi = \text{adj}(\gamma)$ , it is clear that  $(\Omega f)\xi = 1_{\Omega Y_2}$ . Conversely, if  $(\Omega f)\xi = 1_{\Omega Y_2}$  and  $X$  is a co- $H$ -space there is  $\gamma$  such that  $\varepsilon\gamma = 1$ . Then, if  $\phi \in [X, Y_2]$  and  $\lambda = \text{adj}(\phi\varepsilon)$ ,  $\psi = \text{adj}(\varepsilon\lambda)$ , it is easy to see that  $f_*(\psi\gamma) = f\psi\gamma = \phi$ . Hence  $f_*$  is surjective.

*Lemma (2.2).* A space  $X$  admits comultiplication if and only if the induced map

$$i_*: [X, Y_1 \vee Y_2] \rightarrow [X, Y_1 \times Y_2]$$

is surjective, for all  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$ .

Proof: If  $\phi \in [X, Y_1 \times Y_2]$  it is clear that

$$i_*[(p_1\phi \vee p_2\phi)\mu] = \phi$$

Conversely, if  $Y_1 = Y_2 = X$  and  $i_*$  is surjective, then  $i_*^{-1}(\Delta) \neq \emptyset$ . Every element in  $i_*^{-1}(\Delta)$  is a comultiplication.

Let  $Y_1 \# Y_2$  be the homotopy fiber of  $i: Y_1 \vee Y_2 \hookrightarrow Y_1 \times Y_2$ . We apply the functor  $[X, -]$  to the Eckmann-Hilton fiber sequence,

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \longrightarrow [X, \Omega(Y_1 \vee Y_2)] \xrightarrow{(\Omega i)_*} [X, \Omega(Y_1 \times Y_2)] \xrightarrow{\partial} \\ \xrightarrow{\partial} [X, Y_1 \# Y_2] \xrightarrow{k_*} [X, Y_1 \vee Y_2] \xrightarrow{i_*} [X, Y_1 \times Y_2] \end{aligned}$$

If  $X$  is a co- $H$ -space,  $i_*$  is surjective and  $\Omega i$  admits a coretraction, then  $(\Omega i)_*$  is surjective. Hence  $k_*^{-1}(0) = 0$ . If  $X$  is 1-connected,  $[X, Y_1 \# Y_2]$  is a loop and then  $k_*^{-1}(0) = 0$  is equivalent to the injectivity of  $k_*$ . Furthermore, if  $ig = if$ , then

$$0 = D(if, ig) = iD(f, g)$$

Thus  $D(f, g) \in \text{im } k_*$  and we have proved the following.

*Proposition (2.3).* If  $X$  is a 1-connected co- $H$ -space

$$0 \longrightarrow [X, Y_1 \# Y_2] \xrightarrow{k_*} [X, Y_1 \vee Y_2] \xrightarrow{i_*} [X, Y_1 \times Y_2] \longrightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence of loops.

In what follows all co- $H$ -spaces will be considered 1-connected.

### 3. PRIMITIVE MAPS AND OBSTRUCTION.

Let  $(X_i, \mu_i)$  be co- $H$ -spaces  $i = 1, 2$ . A map

$$f: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$$

is called *primitive (co- $H$ -map or homomorphism)* with respect to  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  if  $\mu_2 f = (f \vee f) \mu_1$ .

A map  $f$  is  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -primitive if and only if the induced function

$$f^*: [X_2, Y] \rightarrow [X_1, Y]$$

is homomorphism for all  $Y$ .

If  $f$  is primitive we have

$$D(\phi f, \psi f) = D(\phi, \psi) f$$

for all  $\phi, \psi \in [X_2, Y]$ .

If in the exact sequence of Proposition (2.3) we make  $Y_1 = Y_2 = X_2$  we obtain

$$0 \longrightarrow [X_1, X_2 \# X_2] \xrightarrow{k_*} [X_1, X_2 \vee X_2] \xrightarrow{i_*} [X_1, X_2 \times X_2] \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let  $D(\mu_2 f, (f \vee f) \mu_1)$  be the unique solution in  $[X_1, X_2 \vee X_2]$  of the equation  $X + (f \vee f) \mu_1 = \mu_2 f$ .

We have  $i \mu_2 f = \Delta f = (f \times f) \Delta = (f \times f) i \mu_1 = i(f \vee f) \mu_1$  then  $i D(\mu_2 f, (f \vee f) \mu_1) = 0$ . Hence  $D(\mu_2 f, (f \vee f) \mu_1) \in \text{im } k_*$ .

Since  $k_*$  is injective there is an unique element

$$\omega = \omega(f; \mu_1, \mu_2) \in [X_1, X_2 \# X_2]$$

such that  $k\omega = D(\mu_2 f, (f \vee f) \mu_1)$ . If  $f$  is  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -primitive, then  $D(\mu_2 f, (f \vee f) \mu_1) = 0$ . Hence  $\omega = 0$ . Conversely, if  $\omega = 0$  then  $f$  is  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -primitive.

Hence  $\omega$  is an obstruction for  $f$  to be  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -primitive and it is called *co- $H$ -deviation* of  $f$  with respect  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$ .

If  $(X_i, \mu_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$  are co- $H$ -spaces and

$$X_1 \xrightarrow{f} X_2 \xrightarrow{g} X_3$$

a sequence of maps, then it is easy to prove the following:

*Proposition (3.1).* a) If  $f$  is  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -primitive, then

$$\omega(gf; \mu_1, \mu_2) = \omega(g; \mu_2, \mu_1) f$$

b) If  $g$  is  $(\mu_2, \mu_1)$ -primitive, then

$$\omega(gf; \mu_1, \mu_1) = (g \# g) \omega(f; \mu_1, \mu_2).$$

If  $f$  is a homotopy equivalence and  $g$  its homotopy inverse, we have

$$0 = \omega(1; \mu_1, \mu_1) = \omega(gf; \mu_1, \mu_1) = \omega(g; \mu_2, \mu_1) f$$

then  $\omega(g; \mu_2, \mu_1) = 0$ . Thus we have proved.

*Corollary (3.2).* The homotopy inverse of a  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -primitive homotopy equivalence is also  $(\mu_2, \mu_1)$ -primitive.

Let  $(X_i, \mu_i)$  be,  $i = 1, 2$  two co- $H$ -spaces and

$$f: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$$

a map not necessarily  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -primitive, then

*Proposition (3.3).* There is a comultiplication  $\hat{\mu}_1$  on  $X_1$  such that  $f$  is  $(\hat{\mu}_1, \mu_2)$ -primitive if and only if  $\omega(f; \mu_1, \mu_2) \in \text{im}(f \# f)_*$

*Proof:* Let  $\hat{\mu}_1 \in i_*^{-1}(\Delta)$  be such that  $\omega(f; \hat{\mu}_1, \mu_2) = 0$ , then  $D(\mu_2 f, (f \vee f) \mu_1) = D((f \vee f) \hat{\mu}_1, (f \vee f) \mu_1) = (f \vee f) D(\hat{\mu}_1, \mu_1)$ . But  $iD(\hat{\mu}_1, \mu_1) = 0$ , then there is  $\theta \in [X_1, X_1 \# X_1]$  such that  $D(\hat{\mu}_1, \mu_1) = k\theta$ . Hence  $k\omega(f; \mu_1, \mu_2) = (f \vee f) k\theta = k(f \# f) \theta$ . Since  $k_*$  is injective, we conclude that  $\omega(f; \mu_1, \mu_2) = (f \# f) \theta$ .

Conversely, if  $\omega(f; \mu_1, \mu_2) = (f \# f) \theta$ , then

$$k\omega = k(f \# f) \theta = (f \vee f) k\theta$$

Hence  $(f \vee f) (k\theta + \mu_1) = (f \vee f) k\theta + (f \vee f) \mu_1 = \mu_2 f$ . If we define  $\hat{\mu}_1 = k\theta + \mu_1$ , then  $i\hat{\mu}_1 = ik\theta + i\mu_1 = \Delta$  and  $\hat{\mu}_1$  is a comultiplication on  $X_1$  such that  $\omega(f; \hat{\mu}_1, \mu_2) = 0$ .

*Proposition (3.4).* If there is  $\hat{\mu}_2 \in i_*^{-1}(\Delta)$  on  $X_2$  such that  $f$  is  $(\mu_1, \hat{\mu}_2)$ -primitive, then

$$\omega(f; \mu_1, \mu_2) \in \text{im} f^*$$

*Proof:* Let  $\hat{\mu}_2$  be on  $X_2$  such that  $\omega(f, \mu_1, \hat{\mu}_2) = 0$ , then  $k\omega(f; \mu_1, \mu_2) = D(\mu_2 f, \hat{\mu}_2 f) = D(\mu_2, \hat{\mu}_2) f$ . On the other hand  $iD(\mu_2, \hat{\mu}_2) = 0$ , then there is  $\theta \in [X_2, X_2 \# X_2]$  such that  $D(\mu_2, \hat{\mu}_2) = k\theta$ . Hence  $k\omega = k\theta f$ . Since  $k_*$  is injective,  $\omega = \theta f$ .

In the next proposition,  $\dim X$  will denote the infimum of the dimensions of all CW-complexes having the homotopy type of the space  $X$ .

*Proposition (3.5).* Let  $\mu_1$  be homotopy associative and  $\dim X_2 < \infty$ . If  $-\omega(f; \mu_1, \mu_2) \in \text{im} f^*$ , then there is a comultiplication  $\hat{\mu}_2$  on  $X_2$  such that  $\omega(f; \mu_1, \hat{\mu}_2) = 0$ .

*Proof:* If  $-\omega(f; \mu_1, \mu_2) \in \text{im} f^*$ , then there is  $\theta \in [X_2, X_2 \# X_2]$  such that  $-\omega = \theta f$ . Let  $\mu'_2 = k\theta + \mu_2$  be  $-$  with the binary operation

induced by  $\mu_2$  —. It is clear that  $\mu'_2$  is a comultiplication on  $X_2$ . Then

$$\mu'_2 f = \nabla(k\theta \vee \mu_2) \mu_2 f = \nabla(k\theta \vee \mu_2) k\omega + (f \vee f) \mu_1.$$

If  $\omega_1 = \omega(f; \mu_1, \mu'_2)$ , we have  $k\omega_1 = \nabla(k\theta \vee \mu_2) k\omega = k(k \# 1) (\theta \# \mu_2) \omega$ . Since  $i \nabla k(k \# 1) = 0$ , there is a map

$$\alpha: (X_2 \# X_2) \# (X_2 \vee X_2) \rightarrow X_2 \# X_2$$

Such that  $k\alpha = \nabla k(k \# 1)$ . Then  $k\omega_1 = k\alpha (\theta \# \mu_2) \omega$  and because  $k_*$  is injective we have  $\omega_1 = \alpha(\theta \# \mu_2) \omega$ . If  $\theta_1 = \alpha(\theta \# \mu_2) \theta = \alpha(1 \# \mu_2) (\theta \# 1) \theta$  then  $\theta_1 f = -\omega_1$  and  $-\omega_1 \in \text{im} f^*$ . Assume we have constructed  $\omega_n, \theta_n$  and  $\mu_2^{n+1} = k\theta_n + \mu_2^n$ . Then

$$\mu_{n+1} = \alpha(\theta_n \# \mu_2^n) \omega_n = -\alpha(\theta_n \# \mu_2^n) \theta_n f$$

$$\theta_{n+1} = \alpha(\theta_n \# \mu_2^n) \theta_n = \alpha(1 \# \mu_2^n) (\theta_n \# 1) \theta_n = \hat{\alpha}_{n+1} \hat{\theta}_{n+1}$$

where  $\hat{\alpha}_{n+1} = \alpha(1 \# \mu_2^n) (\hat{\alpha}_n \# 1)$  and

$$\hat{\theta}_{n+1} = (\hat{\theta}_n \# 1) \theta_n, \quad \hat{\theta}_n: X_2 \rightarrow \# X_2$$

Because  $\# X_2$  is  $(2n + 2)$ -connected, if  $\dim X_2 < 2n + 2$  we have  $[\# X_2, \# X_2]_{n+2} = 0$ . Hence  $\theta_{n+1} = 0$  and  $-\omega(f; \mu_1, \mu_2^{n+1}) = -\omega_{n+1} = \theta_{n+1} f = 0$ . Therefore, if  $\hat{\mu}_2 = \mu_2^{n+1}$ ,  $f$  is  $(\mu_1, \hat{\mu}_2)$ -primitive.

#### 4. A THEOREM OF CLASSIFICATION.

We have the exact sequence of loops

$$0 \longrightarrow [X, X \# X] \xrightarrow{k_*} [X, X \vee X] \xrightarrow{i_*} [X, X \times X] \longrightarrow 0$$

and let  $\mu$  be a fixed comultiplication on  $X$ . If  $i_*^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$  denotes the set of co- $H$ -structures then we define two maps

$$\alpha = \alpha_\mu: i_*^{-1}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow [X, X \# X]$$

such that  $\alpha(\hat{\mu}_i) = \omega(1; \hat{\mu}_i, \mu)$ , and

$$\beta = \beta_\mu: [X, X \# X] \rightarrow i_*^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$$

such that  $\beta(\theta) = D'(\mu, k\theta)$ . Note that  $\beta$  is well defined. In fact,  $iD'(\mu, k\theta) = D'(i\mu, ik\theta) = D'(\Delta, 0) = \Delta$ . Hence  $D'(\mu, k\theta) \in i_*^{-1}(\Delta)$ .

It is easy to see that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are mutually inverses. Thus we have proved:

*Theorem (4.1).* If  $X$  is a 1-connected co- $H$ -space then there is an one-to-one correspondence between the set of co- $H$ -structures on  $X$  and  $[X, X \# X]$ .

Note that if  $\mu$  and  $\hat{\mu}$  are two comultiplications on  $X$ , then there is an unique  $\theta \in [X, X \# X]$  such that  $\hat{\mu} = \mu + k\theta$ . In fact, it is sufficient to fix  $\mu$ .

*Examples.* It is well known that if  $X$  is a  $(n - 1)$ -connected space,  $n \geq 1$ , and  $\dim X \leq 2n - 1$  then  $X$  has a (unique if  $\dim X \leq 2n - 2$ ) co- $H$ -structure. The Moore spaces  $M(G, n)$ , where  $G$  is an abelian group and  $n \geq 2$ , are  $(n - 1)$ -connected. Moreover  $\dim M \leq n + 1$  and  $\dim M = n$  if  $G$  is free, because then  $M \simeq \bigvee_{\alpha} S^n$ . Hence if  $n \geq 3$ , or  $n \geq 2$  and  $G$  free, then  $M(G, n)$  has an unique co- $H$ -structure. In particular, if  $G = \mathbf{Z}$  then  $M(\mathbf{Z}, n) = S^n$  has an unique co- $H$ -structure for all  $n \geq 2$ . For  $n = 1$ ,  $[S', S' \# S']$  being a subgroup of  $\mathbf{Z} * \mathbf{Z}$  is not finite.

Let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be two co- $H$ -spaces such that  $X_1$  is 1-connected and  $X_2$  is  $(n - 1)$ -connected. If  $\dim X_1 \leq 2n - 2$  then every map from  $X_1$  into  $X_2$  is primitive with respect to any comultiplication. In fact, the inclusion  $i: X \vee X \hookrightarrow X \times X$  is an  $(2n - 1)$ -equivalence. Since  $\dim X_1 \leq 2n - 2$  the induced map  $i_*$  is a bijection. Hence  $[X_1, X_2 \# X_2] = 0$ .

If  $X_1 = M(G_1, m)$ ,  $m \geq 2$ , and  $X_2 = M(G_2, n)$ ,  $2 \leq m \leq 2n - 3$ , then every map

$$f: M(G_1, m) \rightarrow M(G_2, n)$$

is a primitive map. In particular if  $G_1 = G_2 = \mathbf{Z}$  every map

$$f: S^m \rightarrow S^n$$

is primitive for  $2 \leq m \leq 2n - 3$ .



## REFERENCES

- [B] I. BERSTEIN: *A note on spaces with non-associative comultiplication*. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 60 (1964).
- [BH] I. BERSTEIN, P. HILTON: *Category and generalized Hopf invariant* Illinois J. Math. 4 (1960).
- [C] A. COPELAND: *Binary operations on sets of mapping classes*. Mich. Math. J. 6 (1959).
- [G] T. GANEA: *Cogroups and suspensions*. Inv. Math. 9 (1970).

José I. Navarro  
Departamento de Geometría y Topología  
Universidad de Zaragoza  
Zaragoza (Spain)

