

REMARK ON PAPER OF M. VON RENTELN  
 «FINITELY GENERATED IDEALS IN  $B$ -ALGEBRA  $H^\infty$ »

by

ROMAN SZNAJDER

*Abstract:* The characterisation of all ring automorphisms of  $H^\infty$  is given.

1. *Introduction*

In the paper [1] is given characterisation of all normed (i.e.  $\Phi(i) = i$ ) ring automorphisms  $\Phi$  of  $H^\infty$ , namely they are generated by the conformal mapping of  $D$  (Theorem 5.1). Important step in the proof of above result is the following fact (Theorem 4.5, [1]): If  $M \in \mathcal{M}(H^\infty) - D$  then  $M$  is not finitely generated. We elementarise it's proof avoiding the Corona Theorem. We complete Theorem 5.1 of [1] by the following

*Theorem:* If  $\Phi$  is ring automorphism of  $H^\infty$  then  $\Phi$  has one of the following form:  $\Phi(f)(z) = f(\varphi(z))$  or  $\Phi(f)(z) = \overline{f(\overline{\varphi(z)})}$ , where  $f \in H^\infty$  and  $\varphi$  is conformal mapping of  $D$ .

Let us recall notation.  $D$  - denotes here open unit disc in the complex plane  $\mathbf{C}$ , i.e.  $D = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| < 1\}$ ;  $H^\infty$  is Banach algebra of all bounded analytic functions in  $D$ .  $\mathcal{M}(H^\infty)$  denotes the maximal ideal space of  $H^\infty$  equipped with Gelfand topology,  $Z$  is the identity function in  $D$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_\alpha = \{\phi \in \mathcal{M}(H^\infty) : \phi(Z) = \alpha\}$ ,  $|\alpha| = 1$ .

Recall that  $\mathcal{M}(H^\infty) = \bigcup_{|\alpha|=1} \mathcal{M}_\alpha \cup \bigcup_{\lambda \in D} M_\lambda$ , where  $M_\lambda = \{f \in H^\infty : f(\lambda) = 0\}$ . There exists homeomorphic embedding  $\tau: D \rightarrow \bigcup_{\lambda \in D} M_\lambda \subset \mathcal{M}(H^\infty)$ , so we briefly write  $\mathcal{M}(H^\infty) = \bigcup_{|\alpha|=1} \mathcal{M}_\alpha \cup D$ .

## 2. Proof of the Theorem

Firstly we give another proof of Theorem 4.5 of [1] which doesn't involve the Corona Theorem.

*Proposition:* If  $M \in \mathcal{M}(H^\infty) - D$  then  $M$  is not finitely generated.

*Proof:* Assume that  $M = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)$  for some  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in H^\infty$ . Using Theorem 3.2 of [1]  $M$  need to be principal, i.e. there exists  $h \in H^\infty$  such that  $M = (h)$ .

By [2] (p. 161) there exists  $\alpha \in \partial D$  and sequence  $(\lambda_n) \subset D$  such that  $\lambda_n \rightarrow \alpha$  and  $h(\lambda_n) \rightarrow 0$ . Thus we choose ([2] p. 213) interpolation subsequence  $(\lambda_{n_k})$  and function  $f \in H^\infty$  such that  $f(\lambda_{n_{2k}}) = 0$  and  $f(\lambda_{n_{2k-1}}) = 1$ . If  $f \in (h)$  then there exists  $g \in H^\infty$  such that  $f = gh$ , but  $f(\lambda_{n_{2k-1}}) = 1$  and  $h(\lambda_{n_{2k-1}}) \rightarrow 0$  so  $f \notin (h)$ .

As well  $(f, h) \subsetneq H^\infty$ . Indeed, if  $(f, h) = H^\infty$  then  $1 = fg_1 + hg_2$  for some  $g_1, g_2 \in H^\infty$ ;  $f(\lambda_{n_{2k}}), h(\lambda_{n_{2k}}) \rightarrow 0$  so we have contradiction. Thus  $M$  is not maximal.

*Proof of the Theorem.* Since  $\Phi$  is ring automorphism we have  $\Phi^2(i) = \Phi(-1) = -1$ . Thus  $\Phi(i)(z) = \pm i$ , what means that analytic function  $\Phi(i)(z)$  need to be constant.

If  $\Phi(i) = i$ , we use Theorem 5.1 of [1].

If  $\Phi(i) = -i$ , we slightly modify proof of above theorem. In what follows we show that  $\Phi(\lambda) = \bar{\lambda}$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ . For  $g \in H^\infty$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$   $g - \lambda$  is not invertible in  $H^\infty$  iff  $\lambda \in R(g)^{cl}$ . Since  $\Phi$  is ring automorphism we have  $\lambda \in R(g)^{cl}$  iff  $\Phi(\lambda) \in R(\Phi(g))^{cl}$ .

When  $\lambda \in Q(i)$ ,  $\lambda \in R(g)^{cl}$  iff  $\bar{\lambda} \in R(\Phi(g))^{cl}$ .  $Q(i)$  is dense subset of  $\mathbf{C}$  so  $R(g)^{cl} = \overline{R(\Phi(g))^{cl}}$ . If there exists  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbf{C}$  such that  $|\Phi(\lambda_0) - \bar{\lambda}_0| > 2\delta > 0$ , then for  $g(z) = \lambda_0 + \delta z$  we get:  $\lambda_0 \in R(g)^{cl}$  so  $\Phi(\lambda_0) \in \overline{R(\Phi(g))^{cl}} = \overline{R(g)^{cl}} = \{\bar{\lambda}_0 + z : |z| \leq \delta\}$ . Thus  $|\Phi(\lambda_0) - \bar{\lambda}_0| \leq \delta$ , contradiction.

By the Proposition  $\Phi(M_\lambda) = M_\mu$ . If we denote  $\tau : D \rightarrow \bigcup_{\lambda \in D} M_\lambda$ ,  $\tau(\lambda) = M_\lambda$  and  $\psi = \tau^{-1} \circ \Phi \circ \tau$  then  $\Phi(M_\lambda) = M_{\psi(\lambda)}$ . Since correspondence  $\lambda \rightarrow \mu$  is bijective, so is  $\psi$ .

Next we show  $\overline{\psi(z)}$  is analytic. If  $\lambda \in D$ ,  $f \in H^\infty$  then  $f - f(\lambda) \in M_\lambda$  and  $\Phi(f - f(\lambda)) \in M_{\psi(\lambda)}$ , so  $\Phi(f)(\psi(\lambda)) = \overline{f(\lambda)}$ . Taking  $f_{i\bar{\lambda}} = \Phi^{-1}(Z)$  we get  $\psi(\lambda) = \overline{f_{i\bar{\lambda}}(\lambda)}$ . Thus  $\varphi(z) = \overline{\psi^{-1}(z)}$  is conformal and  $\Phi(f)(z) = \overline{f(\varphi(z))}$  for all  $f \in H^\infty$ .  $\square$

If  $f \in H^\infty$  and  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ ,  $\varphi$ -conformal mapping of  $D$ , then

$$\Phi(f)(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (\varphi(z))^n \text{ or } \Phi(f)(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (\overline{\varphi(z)})^n.$$

*Theorem* is valid for the disc algebra  $A(D)$  also.

---

REFERENCES

- [1] M. VON RENTELN, *Finitely generated ideals in B-algebra  $H^\infty$* , Collect. Math. 26 /1975/, no 2, 115-126.
- [2] K. HOFFMAN, *Banach spaces of analytic functions*, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.Y; 1962.

Department of Mathematics,  
Warsaw University,  
Poland

