

NONLINEAR MAPPINGS IN METRIC DISCRETE LIMIT SPACES
AND THEIR TOPOLOGICAL PROPERTIES

By

HANS-JÜRGEN REINHARDT

This paper establishes some new topological characterizations of the basic concepts of the generalized perturbation theory developed in Stummel [9] — [11], Stummel-Reinhardt [12]. We can hereby extend results concerning continuity properties of discrete limits of mappings, and compactness properties of limits of sets and mappings as well as a generalization of the compactness criteria of Arzelá and Ascoli, which is of particular interest in view of applications.

In chapter 1 we study the topological structure of metric discrete limit spaces and establish topological properties of the sets B , \mathcal{C} , and D of the discretely bounded, discretely compact, and discretely convergent sequences. In the preliminary section 1.1 we present the definitions of a discrete limit space X_0, Π, X, \lim and the discrete convergence according to [10], [12] as well as the definition of discrete boundedness according to Grigorieff [5]. Section 1.2 introduces a topology in the product space by means of a pseudo-metric. In the topological space of all sequences, the set B is always closed, whereas to prove that \mathcal{C} and D are closed, we need a complete metric space X_0 and convergent metrics (cf. theorem 1.(4)). Moreover, in the case of convergent metrics, the mapping \lim of the discrete convergence is an isometry (cf. 1.(2)). An extension property of continuous mappings then enables us to generalize the important result of the extension of the discrete convergence of Stummel [9]-I in the case of metric discrete limit spaces.

In chapter 2 we generalize classic compactness criteria by characterizing discrete compactness of a set of sequences in a metric discrete limit space by a finite covering property (cf. theorem 2.(4)). This needs the concept of asymptotic precompactness. As an immediate consequence of the equivalence of discrete compactness and asymptotic precompactness, we show that in a separable space the

upper discrete limit of a discretely compact sequence of sets is always precompact (cf. 2.(6)). The general characterizations reduce to classic compactness conditions in the case of an approximation of subspaces studied in Anselone [1], [2], Petryshyn [7], Vainikko [13], [14].

In chapter 3, the basic concepts of the theory of discretely convergent sequences of mappings characterize topological properties of such sequences (A_n) . In this way, in section 3.1, the continuity is proven to be equivalent to the stability of $A = (A_n)$ (cf. (3.1)). An open mapping will be characterized by the generalized inverse stability of Grigorieff [5] (cf. 3.(2)), which is equivalent to the inverse stability of [10], [12] for injective mappings A_n . In several cases even uniform stability conditions are valid. In 3.2 the discrete convergence of mappings is shown to be equivalent to the fundamental relation 3.(8). Thus we can prove the existence of a mapping which is the discrete limit of a given stable sequence of mappings. Moreover, relation 3.(8) yields complete information of the continuity properties of discrete limits. At the end of chapter 3, we shall prove an interesting characterization of the discrete convergence of mappings by means of the Lim -convergence of the associated graphs (cf. 3.(11)). This generalizes analogous theorems of classic functional analysis as well as a result of Stummel [9]-III,1.

In chapter 4 we apply the equivalence theorems of 2.1 to establish necessary and sufficient conditions for the discrete compactness of a sequence of mappings. Then as a corollary we obtain that a mapping, consistent with a discretely compact sequence of mappings, is precompact, which was first proved in Wolf [15] for linear operators. Our concepts also generalize compactness conditions of Anselone [1], [2], Vainikko [14] and yield new results and characterizations in their special cases. Finally, in section 4.2 the equivalence of discrete compactness and asymptotic precompactness enable us to prove a generalization of the classic compactness criteria of Arzelà and Ascoli in the case of discrete approximations of function spaces (cf. 4.(6)). These approximations are defined by the discretely uniform convergence 4.(5) containing the uniform convergence definitions of Aubin [3], Vainikko [13] as special cases. For linear and nonlinear initial value problems, as well as for integral equations, one can prove the existence of solutions by means of our equivalence theorem 4.(6), if classic compactness theorems are no longer applicable. For instance, this occurs if the approximating functions can not

be embedded in the space containing the solution, or, if the possible embeddings do not have sufficiently good continuity properties.

The author would like to express his gratitude to professor Dr. F. Stummel for his valuable advice and criticism in preparing this paper.

1. *Topology of metric discrete limit spaces*

For a metric discrete limit space X_0, Π, X_i, \lim the topological properties of the important subsets B, \mathcal{C}, D of the pseudo-metric space Π, X_i are studied. D and X_0 are isometric with the discrete convergence \lim as the isometry, where \lim is viewed as a mapping of classes of equivalent sequences. The set B is always closed, whereas to prove that \mathcal{C} and D are closed we need an additional assumption.

1.1. *Metric discrete limit spaces*

This section presents the basic definitions of discrete convergence and discrete limit spaces in the sense of [10], [12]. We start from metric spaces $X_i, i \in I$, with a denumerably infinite, linearly ordered index sequence I , a set X_0 , and a mapping \lim with values in X_0 and with domain of definition in the set of all sequences (u_i) of elements $u_i \in X_i, i \in I$. The mapping \lim is called a discrete convergence. The triple X_0, Π, X_i, \lim is said to be a discrete limit space and, in the case of \lim being surjective, it is called a discrete approximation $\mathcal{A}(X_0, \Pi, X_i, \lim)$. A sequence (u_i) is called discretely convergent to $u_0 \in X_0$, if $\lim(u_i) = u_0$. In the following we also write $\lim u_i = u_0$ or $u_i \rightarrow u_0 (i \in I)$. We denote subsequences of I by I', I'' etc. and we call the cartesian product Π, Z_i of subsets $Z_i \subset X_i, i \in I$, a product space. The discrete convergence \lim is said to be a metric discrete convergence and a discrete limit space a metric discrete limit space, if, for every pair $(u_i), (v_i) \in \Pi, X_i$ such that (u_i) or (v_i) converges discretely, the following statements are equivalent:

$$(M) \quad \lim |u_i, v_i|_{X_i} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \lim u_i = \lim v_i.$$

If X_0 is a metric space too, the metrics in a discrete limit space are called *discretely convergent*, $|\cdot, \cdot|_{X_t} \rightarrow |\cdot, \cdot|_{X_0}$ ($t \in I$), if the following relation is valid:

$$\lim u_t = u_0, \lim v_t = v_0 \Rightarrow \lim |u_t, v_t|_{X_t} = |u_0, v_0|_{X_0}.$$

The set D of discretely convergent sequences is a subset of B , $D \subset B$, where B is the set of all discretely bounded sequences. An arbitrary subset Z of $\prod_t X_t$ is called *discretely bounded*, if there exist a discretely convergent sequence $(u_t) \in D$ and a number $\alpha \geq 0$ such that $\overline{\lim} |v_t, u_t|_{X_t} \leq \alpha$ for every $(v_t) \in Z$.

If discretely convergent sequences in a discrete limit space have finite distances from each other, i. e.

$$\overline{\lim}_{t \in I} |u_t, v_t|_{X_t} \leq \gamma$$

for every pair discretely convergent of sequences $(u_t), (v_t)$ and a number $\gamma \geq 0$, then this inequality is also valid for every pair of discretely bounded sequences. In a discrete limit space with discretely convergent metrics the discretely convergent sequences necessarily have finite distances.

Besides B and D , the set \mathcal{C} of discretely compact sequences plays an important role. A subset $Z \subset \prod_t X_t$ is called *discretely compact*, if for every sequence $(u_t) \in Z$ and every subsequence $I' \leq I$, there exist a subsequence $I'' \leq I'$ and an element $u_0 \in X_0$ such that $u_t \rightarrow u_0$ ($t \in I''$). In the case of discretely convergent metrics we shall prove in 2.(3) the relation $D \subset \mathcal{C} \subset B$.

1.2. The pseudo-metric space $\prod_t X_t$

The product space $\prod_t X_t$ now becomes a topological space by means of the pseudo-metric (1). In it we study the topological properties of the sets B , \mathcal{C} and D . By the continuity of the discrete convergence *lim* we further prove an important property of extension of the discrete convergence. For the properties of metric and pseudo-metric spaces we refer to Bourbaki [4], Kelley [6].

In the product space $\prod_t X_t$, for metric spaces $X_t, t \in I$, there is given a pseudo-metric $|\cdot, \cdot|: \prod_t X_t \times \prod_t X_t \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ by

$$(1) \quad |(u_t), (v_t)| = \overline{\lim} |u_t, v_t|_{X_t}, (u_t), (v_t) \in \prod_t X_t,$$

i.e. the symmetry, the triangle inequality and $|(u_t), (u_t)| = 0, (u_t) \in \prod_t X_t$, are valid. The set of open balls $B_\rho((u_t)) = \{(v_t) \in \prod_t X_t:$

$\{|(u_i), (v_i)| < \varrho\}, \varrho > 0$, is a basis for the pseudo-metric topology of $X = \Pi_i X_i$.

Before we continue the study of the pseudo-metric space X we introduce some notations. We also denote the sequences of X by $u = (u_i), v = (v_i)$, the distance between two non-void subsets $U, V \subset X$ is defined by $|U, V| = \inf \{|(u_i), (v_i)| : (u_i) \in U, (v_i) \in V\}$, and we define $B_\varrho(U) = \{v \in X : |v, U| < \varrho\}$ for $\varrho > 0, U \subset X$. Then the set of all non-void subsets of X constitutes again a pseudo-metric space with this definition of a distance. To simplify notation in the following we denote all occurring metrics and pseudo-metrics without any difference by $|\cdot, \cdot|$.

An important tool for our studies is the representation of the closure \bar{Z} of a subset Z of a pseudo-metric space $X, \bar{Z} = \{u \in X : |u, Z| = 0\}$. This is proved in [6], 4., for a finite pseudo-metric and is also true in our case of a not necessarily finite one. Thus we can immediately see that the set B is closed in X for a metric discrete limit space. Indeed, each $u \in X$ such that $|u, B| = 0$ has a finite distance from a discretely convergent sequence. As we shall see in theorem (4), this is generally not true for \mathcal{C} and D .

If we consider the set of all closures $\overline{\{u\}} = \{v \in X : |v, u| = 0\}$ of sequences $u \in X$ for a metric discrete limit space $X_0, \Pi_i X_i, \lim$, we again obtain a pseudo-metric space with the distance $|\overline{\{u\}}, \overline{\{v\}}|$, in which even the condition of definiteness is valid. Its topology is the quotient topology relative to the closure of sets consisting of one element and the topology in X . From the above representation of closures it follows that we just have the space of all classes of equivalent sequences, where two sequences $u = (u_i), v = (v_i)$ with $\lim |u_i, v_i| = 0$ are called equivalent. For every $u \in X$ we denote the class of all sequences $v \in X$ such that u and v are equivalent by $\hat{u}, \hat{u} = \overline{\{u\}}$, and we denote the space of all classes of sequences by \hat{X} . Every class $\hat{u} \in \hat{X}$ is already determined by one of its representatives. Obviously, $|\hat{u}, \hat{v}| = |u, v|$ for every representative u and v of the class \hat{u} and $\hat{v} \in \hat{X}$ resp.. Moreover, for each $Z \subset X$, we denote by \hat{Z} the set of classes determined by elements of $Z, \hat{Z} = \{\hat{v} \in \hat{X} | \exists z \in Z : \hat{v} = \hat{z}\}$. Then \hat{B} is also closed in \hat{X} . If discretely convergent sequences have finite distances from each other, \hat{B} is a metric space.

By means of condition (M) the metric discrete convergence $\lim: D \rightarrow X_0$ maps classes of discretely convergent sequences uniquely;

hence, \lim is also a well-defined mapping from \hat{D} into X_0 . We use the notation \lim for the mapping of classes as well. The inverse mapping \lim^{-1} of X_0 onto \hat{D} has the whole space X_0 as domain of definition, if \lim is surjective, i.e. $\mathcal{A}(X_0, \Pi_i X_i, \lim)$ is a metric discrete approximation.

(2) Let $X_0, \Pi_i X_i, \lim$ be a metric discrete limit space with discretely convergent metrics. Then \lim is an isometry of \hat{D} into X_0 and, if \lim is surjective, $R = \lim^{-1}$ is an isometry of X_0 onto \hat{D} , i. e.

$$|\hat{u}, \hat{v}| = |\lim \hat{u}, \lim \hat{v}|, \hat{u}, \hat{v} \in \hat{D}, \text{ and } |R(u_0), R(v_0)| = |u_0, v_0|, u_0, v_0 \in X_0.$$

Proof: Obviously $\lim: \hat{D} \rightarrow X_0$ is injective. As a consequence of the discretely convergent metrics it follows that

$$|\hat{u}, \hat{v}| = \overline{\lim} |u_i, v_i| = \lim |u_i, v_i| = |\lim u_i, \lim v_i| = |\lim \hat{u}, \lim \hat{v}|$$

for every $\hat{u}, \hat{v} \in \hat{D}$ and arbitrary representatives $u = (u_i) \in \hat{u}$, $v = (v_i) \in \hat{v}$. Moreover $|R(u_0), R(v_0)| = |u_0, v_0|$, $u_0, v_0 \in X_0$, if \lim is surjective. —

According to the above theorem, a surjective \lim is, in particular, uniformly bicontinuous, thus it is a homeomorphism from \hat{D} onto X_0 .

The following theorem (4) concerning closure properties of the set of discretely convergent and compact sequences is very important for our studies in section 2.1 about discrete compactness. However, before we study the discrete compactness we shall prove the relation $D \subset \mathcal{C} \subset B$ which is already mentioned in section 1.1 and will be used in (4).

(3) Let X_0 be a metric space and $\mathcal{A}(X_0, \Pi_i X_i, \lim)$ be a discrete approximation with discretely convergent metrics. Then every discretely compact product space $\Pi_i Z_i \subset \Pi_i X_i$ is discretely bounded.

Proof: Suppose $Z = \Pi_i Z_i$ is not discretely bounded. By the discretely convergent metrics the discretely convergent sequences have finite distances. Hence, by assumption, there exist a convergent sequence $(u_i), u_i \rightarrow u_0 (i \in I)$, a set of pairwise distinct indices $i_n \in I$ and elements $z_{i_n} \in Z_{i_n}, n \in \mathbf{N}$, such that $|z_{i_n}, u_{i_n}| > n, n \in \mathbf{N}$. By the discrete compactness of $\Pi_i Z_i$, there exist a subsequence $I'' \leq I' = (i_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ and an element $z_0 \in X_0$ such that $z_i \rightarrow z_0 (i \in I'')$. The surjectivity of \lim allows the prolongation of the convergent subse-

quence $(z_i)_{i \in I''}$ to a convergent sequence $z_i \rightarrow z_0$ ($i \in I$). By virtue of the discretely convergent metrics, this leads to the contradiction $|z_0, u_0| = \lim_{i \in I''} |z_i, u_i| = \infty$. —

(4) Let X_0 be a complete metric space and $A(X_0, \Pi, X_i, \lim)$ be a metric discrete approximation with discretely convergent metrics. Then the sets D and \mathcal{C} are closed in X , and \hat{D} and $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ are closed in \hat{B} as well as in \hat{X} .

Proof: In part (i) and (ii) we prove that D and \mathcal{C} , resp., are closed. Thus, we can show in (iii) that \hat{D} and $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ are closed.

(i) Let $u \in X$ such that $|u, D| = 0$. Then there exist discretely convergent sequences $u^{(n)} \in D$, $\lim u^{(n)} = u_0^{(n)}$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$, with the property $|u, u^{(n)}| \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). Since the metrics are discretely convergent, it follows from (2) that $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} |u_0^{(n)}, u_0^{(m)}| = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} |u^{(n)}, u^{(m)}| = 0$; hence $(u_0^{(n)})$ is a Cauchy sequence in X_0 . By virtue of the completeness of X_0 , there exists a $u_0 \in X_0$ such that $|u_0^{(n)}, u_0| \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). Hence, by (2), $|u, R(u_0)| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |u, u^{(n)}| + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |u^{(n)}, R(u_0)| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |u, u^{(n)}| + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |u_0^{(n)}, u_0| = 0$; this proves $u \in D$.

(ii) Let $u \in X$ such that $|u, \mathcal{C}| = 0$. Then there exist discretely compact sequences $u^{(n)} = (u_i^{(n)}) \in \mathcal{C}$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$, with the property $|u, u^{(n)}| \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). Thus, for every subsequence $I' \leq I$, there exist subsequences $I^{(n)} \leq I'$, $I^{(n+1)} \leq I^{(n)}$, and elements $u_0^{(n)} \in X_0$ such that $u_i^{(n)} \rightarrow u_0^{(n)}$ ($i \in I^{(n)}$). Since the metrics are discretely convergent, $|u^{(n)}, u^{(m)}| = \lim_{i \in I} |u_i^{(n)}, u_i^{(m)}| \geq \lim_{i \in I^{(k)}} |u_i^{(n)}, u_i^{(m)}| = |u_0^{(n)}, u_0^{(m)}|$, where $k = \max(m, n)$. Hence, $(u_0^{(n)})$ is a Cauchy sequence in X_0 and, since X_0 is complete, there exists a $u_0 \in X_0$ such that $|u_0^{(n)}, u_0| \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). Let $(v_i) \in R(u_0)$ and $\varepsilon_n = 2|u, u^{(n)}|$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Then, for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$, there is an index $i_n \in I^{(n)}$ such that $|u_{i_n}^{(n)}, v_{i_n}| \leq \varepsilon_n + |u_0^{(n)}, u_0|$ and $|u_{i_n}^{(n)}, u_{i_n}| \leq 2|u^{(n)}, u| = \varepsilon_n$. Since one can select the indices such that $i_{n+1} > i_n$, $I'' = (i_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is a subsequence of I' . Therefore, we obtain $|u_{i_n}^{(n)}, v_{i_n}| \rightarrow 0$ and $|u_{i_n}, v_{i_n}| \rightarrow 0$ ($i_n \in I''$); this proves u to be discretely compact.

(iii) As \hat{B} is closed in \hat{X} , a set $\hat{Z} \subset \hat{B}$ is closed in \hat{B} if and only if it is closed in \hat{X} . For $Z = D$ or $Z = \mathcal{C}$ and every $u \in Z$, it follows, by condition (M), that each representative $v \in \hat{u}$ belongs to Z , $Z = \{v \in \hat{u} | \hat{u} \in Z\}$. Thus $|u, Z| = |\hat{u}, \hat{Z}|$ for each representative u of

$\hat{u} \in \hat{X}$ and $Z = \mathbb{D}$ or $Z = \mathcal{C}$. Consequently, $\hat{Z} = \hat{\mathbb{D}}$ and $\hat{Z} = \hat{\mathcal{C}}$, resp., is closed in \hat{X} if and only if Z is closed in X which is proved in (i) and (ii), resp. —

According to Stummel [9]-I,1.1.(10), one can characterize the discrete convergence of a sequence $u = (u_i) \in X$ in a metric discrete limit space with discretely convergent metrics by the existence of a $u_0 \in X_0$ such that, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist an element $v_0 \in X_0$ and a discretely convergent sequence $v_i \rightarrow v_0 (i \in I)$ with the properties $|u_0, v_0| < \varepsilon$ and $\overline{\lim} |u_i, v_i| < \varepsilon$. With respect to the topology of X , this is equivalent to the existence of a $u_0 \in X_0$ such that in every neighbourhood U_0 of $u_0 \in X_0$ and U of $u \in X$ there exists a discretely convergent sequence $v \in U$ with limit in U_0 . Moreover, for a complete X_0 , the following characterization holds.

(5) *Under the assumptions of theorem (4) a sequence $u \in X$ is discretely convergent if and only if there exists a $v \in B_\varepsilon(u) \cap \mathbb{D}$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$.*

Since the statement of the theorem means $u \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, the proof is obvious, due to (4).

The last theorem of this section about the existence of a unique extension of the discrete convergence is fundamentally significant for the theory and generalizes a corresponding theorem for normed spaces in Stummel [9]-I. Here, the proof may be carried out completely analogously as in normed spaces. Following theorem (2), we shall now prove this theorem by means of an extension property of continuous functions.

(6) *Let Φ_0 be dense in the metric space X_0 and $\mathcal{A}(\Phi_0, \Pi, X, \lim)$ be a metric discrete approximation with discretely convergent metrics. Then there exists a unique extension of $R = \lim^{-1}: \Phi_0 \rightarrow \hat{X}$ to X_0 that satisfies the conditions of a metric discrete convergence with discretely convergent metrics.*

Proof: First, we prove that, for every $u_0 \in X_0$ and every sequence $\varphi_0^{(n)} \in \Phi_0$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$, with the property $|u_0, \varphi_0^{(n)}| \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty)$, there exists a $\hat{y} \in \hat{X}$ such that $|R(\varphi_0^{(n)}), \hat{y}| \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty)$. Then, by continuity of R and denseness of Φ_0 in X_0 , this statement will be shown to be sufficient for the existence of a unique extension with the desired properties.

(i) Let $u_0 \in X_0, \varphi_0^{(n)} \in \Phi_0, n \in \mathbf{N}$, such that $|u_0, \varphi_0^{(n)}| \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). Without loss of generality let $|u_0, \varphi_0^{(n)}| \leq \frac{1}{2^{n+3}}, n \in \mathbf{N}$, thus, by theorem (2), $|R(\varphi_0^{(n+1)}), R(\varphi_0^{(n)})| = |\varphi_0^{(n+1)}, \varphi_0^{(n)}| \leq \frac{1}{2^{n+2}}$. For each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and each representative $(\varphi_i^{(n)})$ of the class $R(\varphi_0^{(n)})$, there exists, by definition (1), an index $v_n \in I, v_{n+1} > v_n$, such that $|\varphi_i^{(n)}, \varphi_i^{(n+1)}| \leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}, i \geq v_n$. Denote $y_i = \varphi_i^{(n+1)}, v_{n+1} > i \geq v_n, y_i = \varphi_i^{(1)}, i < v_1$, then the class \hat{y} , which is defined by (y_i) , has the desired properties. Indeed, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an integer $n < 0$ such that $\varepsilon \geq \frac{1}{2^n}$, and for each $m \geq n, i \geq v_m$, there exists, by the definition of (y_i) , a $s \geq m$ such that $v_{s+1} > i \geq v_s \geq v_m$; hence $|y_i, \varphi_i^{(m)}| = |\varphi_i^{(s)}, \varphi_i^{(m)}| \leq \frac{1}{2^s} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^{m+1}} \leq \frac{1}{2^m} \leq \varepsilon$. Therefore, $\overline{\lim} |y_i, \varphi_i^{(m)}| \leq \varepsilon$ and $|\hat{y}, R(\varphi_0^{(m)})| \leq \varepsilon$ for each $m \geq n$, which proves our first assertion.

(ii) For every $u_0 \in X_0$, there exists a sequence $\varphi_0^{(n)} \in \Phi_0, n \in \mathbf{N}$, such that $|\varphi_0^{(n)}, u_0| \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). We define the extension of $R: \Phi_0 \rightarrow \hat{X}$ by $R(u_0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R(\varphi_0^{(n)})$. Obviously, $R: X_0 \rightarrow \hat{X}$ is well defined and continuous, by virtue of the continuity of $R: \Phi_0 \rightarrow \hat{X}$. Moreover, the extension is unique, since any other extension \tilde{R} , any $u_0 \in X_0$, and any $\varphi_0^{(n)} \in \Phi_0, n \in \mathbf{N}$, such that $|\varphi_0^{(n)}, u_0| \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) satisfy the relation $\tilde{R}(u_0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{R}(\varphi_0^{(n)}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R(\varphi_0^{(n)}) = R(u_0)$. By (2), for arbitrary $u_0, v_0 \in X_0, \varphi_0^{(n)}, \psi_0^{(n)} \in \Phi_0, n \in \mathbf{N}, |u_0, \varphi_0^{(n)}| \rightarrow 0, |v_0, \psi_0^{(n)}| \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$), it follows that $|R(u_0), R(v_0)| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |R(\varphi_0^{(n)}), R(\psi_0^{(n)})| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\varphi_0^{(n)}, \psi_0^{(n)}| = |u_0, v_0|$, and for $u \in R(u_0), \varphi^{(n)} \in R(\varphi_0^{(n)}), v \in X$ such that $|u, v| = 0$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |v, \varphi^{(n)}| = |v, u| + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |u, \varphi^{(n)}| = 0$, i.e. $v \in R(u_0)$. Hence, $R: X_0 \rightarrow \hat{X}$ is injective and its inverse satisfies the conditions of a metric discrete convergence with discretely convergent metrics. —

2. Compactness

In this chapter we establish a series of necessary and sufficient conditions for the discrete compactness of sets of sequences, where

the concept of asymptotic precompactness is of essential significance. In the special case of an approximation by subspaces our general conditions permit the analysis and characterization of collectively compact sequences of sets. The notion «collectively compact» has been introduced in Anselone [1], [2] for linear operators in Banach spaces and will be generalized to sequences of subsets of metric spaces.

2.1. Asymptotic precompactness and discrete compactness

For the whole chapter we shall assume that X, Π, X, \lim is a metric discrete limit space with discretely convergent metrics. In order to define asymptotic precompactness, we need the notion of a finite product space. For non-void subsets $F_i \subset X_i, i \in I$, we call $F = \Pi_i F_i$ finite, if there exist a natural number $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and sequences $v^{(n)} = (v_i^{(n)}) \in X$ such that $F_i \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^N \{v_i^{(n)}\}$ for each $i \in I$. For two subsets S, Z of X , we now call the set Z asymptotically S -precompact, if, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a finite product space F such that the finite number of sequences $v^{(n)}$ in the finiteness condition of F belong to $S, v^{(n)} \in S$, and $|u, F| < \varepsilon$ for every $u \in Z$. Obviously, F is asymptotically S -precompact if and only if, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there are finitely many sequences $v^{(n)} = (v_i^{(n)}) \in S, n = 1, \dots, N'$ such that, for every $u = (u_i) \in Z$, the inequality $\overline{\lim}_{i \in I} \min_{1 \leq n \leq N'} |u_i, v_i^{(n)}| < \varepsilon$ is valid. If we define, according to Stummel [10], 4., the upper and lower discrete limit of a subset $Z \subset X$ by

$$\text{Lim sup } Z = \{u_0 \in X_0 \mid \exists u \in Z \exists I' \leq I: \lim_{i \in I'} u = u_0\}$$

$$\text{and } \text{Lim inf } Z = \{u_0 \in X_0 \mid \exists u \in Z: \lim_{i \in I} u = u_0\}, \text{ resp.,}$$

then we obtain the following necessary conditions for the asymptotic precompactness.

(1) Let \lim be surjective and $Z \subset X$ be asymptotically D (resp. \mathcal{E})-precompact. Then $\text{Lim sup } Z$ (resp. $\text{Lim inf } Z$) is precompact and (in both cases) we have $Z \subset \overline{\mathcal{E}}$.

Proof: (i) Let Z be asymptotically D -precompact. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist N discretely convergent sequences $v^{(n)} = (v_i^{(n)})$, $\lim v^{(n)} = v_0^{(n)}, n = 1, \dots, N$, such that $\overline{\lim}_{i \in I} \min_{1 \leq n \leq N} |u_i, v_i^{(n)}| < \varepsilon$ for every $u = (u_i) \in Z$. We prove now that $\{v_0^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^N$ constitutes an ε -net for

$\text{Lim sup } Z$. Let $u_0 \in \text{Lim sup } Z$, then there are a $u = (u_i) \in Z$ and a subsequence $I' \leq I$ such that $\lim_{i \in I'} u_i = u_0$. By assumption, we have $\overline{\lim}_{i \in I} \min_{1 \leq n \leq N} |u_i, v_i^{(n)}| < \varepsilon$ and therefore, there exist a subsequence $I'' \leq I'$ and a $n' \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ such that $|u_i, v_i^{(n')}| < \varepsilon, i \in I''$. In view of the discretely convergent metrics and the surjectivity of lim , we obtain $|u_i, v_i^{(n')}| \rightarrow |u_0, v_0^{(n')}| (i \in I'')$, hence $|u_0, v_0^{(n')}| \leq \varepsilon$.

(ii) Let Z be asymptotically \mathcal{C} -precompact. For every $\varepsilon < 0$, there exist N discretely compact sequences $v^{(n)} = (v_i^{(n)})$ out of this condition which we assume to be convergent to $v_0^{(n)} \in X_0$ for a common subsequence $I' \leq I, \lim_{i \in I'} v_i^{(n)} = v_0^{(n)}, n = 1, \dots, N$. The elements $v_0^{(n)}, n = 1, \dots, N$, constitute an ε -net for $\text{Lim inf } Z$. Indeed, for every $u_0 \in \text{Lim inf } Z$, there exists a $u = (u_i) \in Z, \lim_{i \in I} u_i = u_0$, such that $\overline{\lim}_{i \in I} \min |u_i, v_i^{(n)}| < \varepsilon$ and, as in part (i), there are a subsequence $I'' \leq I'$ and a $n' \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ such that $|u_i, v_i^{(n')}| < \varepsilon, i \in I''$; hence $|u_0, v_0^{(n')}| \leq \varepsilon$.

(iii) Since asymptotic D -precompactness implies asymptotic \mathcal{C} -precompactness, it is sufficient to deduce the relation $Z \subset \overline{\mathcal{C}}$ from the latter. Given $\varepsilon > 0$ then, for $\varepsilon/2$, there are N discretely compact sequences $v^{(n)} = (v_i^{(n)}) \in \mathcal{C}$ such that, for every $u = (u_i) \in Z$, there is an index $v \in I$ and, for every $i \geq v$, there exists a number $n_i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ such that $|u_i, v_i^{(n_i)}| < \varepsilon/2$. If we denote $v_i = v_i^{(n_i)}, i \geq v$, then, for every subsequence $I' \leq I$, there exist a number $n' \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ and a subsequence $I'' \leq I'$ such that $v_i = v_i^{(n')}, i \in I''$. By the discrete compactness of $v^{(n')}$, there exist a subsequence $I''' \leq I''$ and a $v_0 \in X_0$ such that $\lim_{i \in I'''} v_i = \lim_{i \in I'''} v_i^{(n')} = v_0$. Thus, $v = (v_i)$ is discretely compact

and $\overline{\lim} |u_i, v_i| = |u, v| \leq \varepsilon/2 < \varepsilon$, which proves the relation $Z \subset \overline{\mathcal{C}}$. —

For the proof of the precompactness of $\text{Lim sup } Z$ in the last theorem it is sufficient to assume $\text{lim}(\Pi, X, \text{Lim sup } Z)$ instead of $\text{lim} = \text{lim}(\Pi, X, X_0)$ being surjective. Moreover, we need no assumption of surjectivity for the relation $Z \subset \overline{\mathcal{C}}$. By means of an idea in Wolf [15], 2.(4), we shall now prove the following converse of theorem (I). Here, for abbreviation, we call a set $Z \subset X$ asymptotically precompact, if Z is asymptotically D -precompact.

(2) For subsets $Z_i \subset X_i$, $i \in I$, let $Z = \prod_i Z_i$ be discretely compact, let $Z_0 = \text{Lim sup } Z$ be separable, and $\text{lim}(\prod_i X_i, Z_0)$ be surjective. Then Z is asymptotically precompact.

Proof: Let $\{u_0^{(k)}\}_{k \in \mathbf{N}}$ be a denumerably dense subset in $Z_0 = \text{Lim sup } Z$, and let $u^{(k)} = (u_i^{(k)}) \in X$, $k \in \mathbf{N}$, be discretely convergent sequences $u_i^{(k)} \rightarrow u_0^{(k)}$ ($i \in I$), which exist by the surjectivity of $\text{lim}(\prod_i X_i, Z_0)$. Suppose Z is not asymptotically precompact. Then there exists a $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and, for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and for the sequences $u^{(k)}$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, there exist a sequence $w^{(n)} = (w_i^{(n)}) \in Z$ and a subsequence $I^{(n)} \subseteq I$ such that $|w_i^{(n)}, u_i^{(k)}| \geq \varepsilon_0$, $i \in I^{(n)}$, $k = 1, \dots, n$. In particular, for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$, there exists an index $t_n \in I^{(n)}$, $t_{n+1} > t_n$, such that $|w_{t_n}^{(n)}, u_{t_n}^{(k)}| \geq \varepsilon_0$, $k = 1, \dots, n$. By the discrete compactness of Z , there is a subsequence $I'' \subseteq I' := (t_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ and an element $z_0 \in Z_0$ such that $z_i \rightarrow z_0$ ($i \in I''$), where $(z_i)_{i \in I'} = (w_{t_n}^{(n)})_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$. To every $u_0^{(k)}$ of the dense set in Z_0 by the convergence $u_i^{(k)} \rightarrow u_0^{(k)}$, $z_i \rightarrow z_0$ ($i \in I''$) there now corresponds an index $t_0 \in I$ such that $||u_{t_0}^{(k)}, z_{t_0}| - |u_0^{(k)}, z_0|| \leq \varepsilon_0/2$, $t_0 \geq t_n$, $t_0 \in I''$. For every $t = t_n \in I'$ such that $t_n \geq \max(t_k, t_0)$, by assumption we have $|u_i^{(k)}, z_i| \geq \varepsilon_0$, thus $|u_0^{(k)}, z_0| \geq |u_i^{(k)}, z_i| - \varepsilon_0/2 \geq \varepsilon_0/2$ contrary to the denseness of $\{u_0^{(k)}\}$ in Z_0 . —

As an obvious generalization of a well-known definition the relation $Z \subset \overline{\mathcal{C}}$ in theorem (1) can be characterized by the denseness of \mathcal{C} with respect to Z . For two subsets S, Z of a topological space T the set S is said to be dense with respect to Z , if $Z \subset \overline{S}$. Evidently, in a pseudo-metric space T a set S is dense with respect to Z if and only if, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and any $u \in Z$, there exists a $v \in S$ such that $|u, v| < \varepsilon$. By means of the notion «dense», theorem 1.(4) immediately yields a necessary and sufficient condition for the discrete compactness.

(3) Let X_0 be a complete metric space and lim be surjective. Then a subset $Z \subset X$ is discretely compact if and only if the set \mathcal{C} of discretely compact sequences is dense with respect to Z .

The property of the denseness of \mathcal{C} with respect to a product space $Z = \prod_i Z_i$ also characterizes the asymptotic precompactness of Z , as it is seen in (1) and (2).

(4) Let X_0 be a complete metric space, let Z_i be subsets of X_i , $i \in I$, and let lim be surjective. Then the asymptotic precompactness of $Z =$

$= \Pi, Z_i$ is necessary and sufficient for the compactness of $\text{Lim sup } Z$ together with the denseness of \mathcal{C} with respect to Z .

Proof: The sufficiency immediately follows from theorem (1), if one notes that the precompact set $\text{Lim sup } Z$ is, by the completeness of X_0 , relatively compact, and, moreover, the upper and lower discrete limits are always closed in a metric discrete approximation with discretely convergent metrics. Conversely, under the above assumptions the set \mathcal{C} of all discretely compact sequences is closed in X , hence $Z \subset \overline{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{C}$. Therefore, Z is discretely compact and, in particular, the compact set $\text{Lim sup } Z$ is separable. Thus theorem (2) implies the asymptotic precompactness. —

It is now readily seen that the results of this section yield the characterization of the discrete compactness of a product space by its asymptotic precompactness.

(5) Let X_0 be a complete metric space, let $Z_i \supset X_i, i \in I$, let lim be surjective, and let $Z_0 = \text{Lim sup } \Pi, Z_i$ be separable. Then $Z = \Pi, Z_i$ is discretely compact if and only if it is asymptotically precompact.

Proof: By (2), the discrete compactness is sufficient for the asymptotic precompactness of Z . Conversely, the asymptotic precompactness implies \mathcal{C} to be dense with respect to Z , which, by (3) and the completeness of X_0 , is equivalent to the discrete compactness of Z . —

As a corollary of the above theorems, we obtain the important result that the set of all limits of subsequences of a discretely compact product space is necessarily precompact, if the approximated space X_0 is separable. Even the following more general statement is true, which yields the just mentioned result.

(6) Let $Z_i \subset X_i, i \in I$, let $Z = \Pi, Z_i$ be discretely compact, and let $\text{lim} (\Pi, X_i, \text{Lim sup } Z)$ be surjective. Then, if $\text{Lim sup } Z$ is separable, it is precompact.

Proof: By (2), the asymptotic precompactness follows from the assumptions, hence $\text{Lim sup } Z$ is precompact, due to (1). —

2.2. Collectively compact sequences of sets

In the special case of a metric discrete approximation $A(X_0, \Pi, X_\iota, \text{lim})$ by subsets $X_0, X_\iota, \iota \in I$, of a metric space M , the above conditions can be reduced to classic compactness conditions in M . According to Stummel [9]—I, 5.(4), the convergence $|u_0, X_\iota| \rightarrow 0$ ($\iota \in I$) for each $u_0 \in X_0$ is necessary and sufficient for the existence of the metric discrete approximation by subsets, where the discrete convergence is the convergence in M . In this situation, we necessarily have discretely convergent metrics. We now introduce the collective compactness of sequences of subsets $Z_\iota \subset X_\iota, \iota \in I$, generalizing Anselone [1], [2] who has defined the notion of collective compactness for sequences of linear operators in Banach spaces. We call a sequence $(Z_\iota)_{\iota \in I}$ collectively compact, if the set $\bigcup_{\iota \in I} Z_\iota$ is precompact. For a complete space M the precompactness is equivalent to the relative compactness as it is well known. By means of the asymptotic precompactness, we obtain the following characterization of a collectively compact sequence of subsets.

(7) *A sequence of subsets $Z_\iota \subset X_\iota, \iota \in I$, is collectively compact if and only if each $Z_\iota, \iota \in I$, is precompact and Π, Z_ι is asymptotically precompact.*

Proof: Suppose $\bigcup Z_\iota$ is not precompact, then there exist a $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and elements $z_0^{(k)} \in \bigcup Z_\iota$, such that $|z_0^{(j)}, z_0^{(k)}| \geq \varepsilon_0, j < k, k \in \mathbf{N}$. Either there exists a subsequence $I' \leq I$ and, for every $\iota \in I'$, there is a $m \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $z_0^{(m)} \in Z_\iota$, or all $z_0^{(k)}, k \in \mathbf{N}$, belong to only finitely many Z_ι . But the latter case contradicts the precompactness of each single Z_ι . In the first case, it follows from the asymptotic precompactness that, for $\varepsilon_0/4$, we have discretely convergent sequences $v_i^{(i)} \rightarrow v_0^{(i)} (\iota \in I), (v_i^{(i)} \in \Pi, Z_\iota, v_0^{(i)} \in X_0, i = 1, \dots, N_0)$, and, for $z_\iota = z_0^{(m)} \in Z_\iota, \iota \in I'$, there is an index $\nu \in I'$ such that $\min_{1 \leq i \leq N_0} |z_\nu, v_i^{(i)}| < \varepsilon_0/4, \nu \geq \nu, \nu \in I'$. Hence, there is a subsequence $I'' \leq I'$ and a $n_0 \in \{1, \dots, N_0\}$ such that $|z_\nu, v_{n_0}^{(n_0)}| < \varepsilon_0/4, \nu \in I''$, and therefore the relation $|z_\nu, v_0^{(n_0)}| < \varepsilon_0/4, \nu \geq \mu, \nu \in I''$, holds for a $\mu \in I''$. Then for arbitrary $\iota, z \in I_1'' = \{\iota \in I'' \mid \iota \geq \mu\}$ we have $|z_\iota, z_\nu| \leq |z_\iota, v_0^{(n_0)}| + |v_0^{(n_0)}, z_\nu| < \varepsilon_0$, in contradiction to $|z_\iota, z_\nu| \geq \varepsilon_0, \iota \neq \nu \in I'$, which proves the precompactness of $\bigcup Z_\iota$. The converse is trivial.—

In a complete metric space we now characterize a collectively compact sequence by its discrete compactness together with the relative compactness of each set.

(8) *Let M be a complete metric space and X_0 be a closed subset of M . Then a sequence $(Z_i)_{i \in I}$ is collectively compact if and only if each Z_i , $i \in I$, is relatively compact and $\Pi_i Z_i$ is discretely compact.*

Proof: (i) Let (Z_i) be collectively compact. By (7) and (1), \mathcal{C} is dense with respect to $Z = \Pi_i Z_i$. Since M is complete and X_0 is closed, X_0 is a complete metric space. Thus, by (3), Z is discretely compact. The relative compactness of each Z_i , $i \in I$, follows trivially from the relative compactness of $\cup Z_i$.

(ii) Proving $Z = \Pi_i Z_i$ to be collectively compact, in view of (7) and (5) it is sufficient to prove that $\text{Lim sup } Z$ is separable. According to a well-known theorem of the set theory that the union of a denumerable number of denumerable sets is at most denumerable, this follows from the precompactness of each Z_i , $i \in I$.—

Theorem (7) and (8) can be further simplified, if the assumption of Vainikko [14], 1., example 2, is valid. If M is complete and the relation $\text{Lim sup } Z = \bigcup_{i \in I} Z_i$ with $Z = \Pi_i Z_i$ is satisfied, then the collective compactness of $(Z_i)_{i \in I}$ is, by definition, equivalent to the compactness of $\text{Lim sup } Z$ as well as to the asymptotic precompactness of Z . Moreover, it is equivalent to the discrete compactness of Z , if M is separable and X_0 is closed.

3. Mappings

In this chapter we study the topological properties of sequences of mappings in the underlying pseudo-metric spaces. The basic concepts of the theory of discretely convergent sequences of mappings yield characterizations of topological properties. For example, stability is equivalent to continuity and inverse stability is equivalent to openness. The main result of section 3.2 is the equivalence of discrete convergence and the fundamental relation (8). Thus we establish important statements concerning existence as well as continuity of limits of discretely convergent sequences of mappings. Finally, theorem (11) proves an interesting characterization of the discrete convergence by means of the Lim -convergence of the associated graphs. Hereby, we gene-

ralize and extend results of classic functional analysis as well as a result of Stummel [9]—III,1. We assume that the definitions of stability and inverse stability and their various characterizations in [10], [12] are known.

Let $X_0, \Pi, X_\iota, \lim^X$ and $Y_0, \Pi, Y_\iota, \lim^Y$ be two metric discrete limit spaces with the same denumerably infinite, linearly ordered index sequence I , and let (A_ι) be a sequence of mappings $A_\iota: X_\iota \rightarrow Y_\iota, \iota \in I$. The sequence (A_ι) is viewed as a mapping of $X = \Pi, X_\iota$ into $Y = \Pi, Y_\iota$ and we write, for abbreviation, $A = (A_\iota)$.

3.1. Continuous and open mappings

We shall now prove the following characterization concerning the continuity of $A = (A_\iota)$.

(1) *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $A = (A_\iota)$ is continuous at the point $u = (u_\iota) \in X$.
- (ii) For every $v \in \overline{\{u\}}$, the relation $A v \in \overline{\{Au\}}$ holds.
- (iii) A is stable at u .

Proof: According to the definition of stability in [12],2., and the representation $\overline{\{u\}} = \{v \in X : |u, v| = 0\}$, the statements (ii) and (iii) are obviously equivalent.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii). For every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every $v \in \overline{\{u\}}$, by $|u, v| = 0$ and the continuity of A at the point u , the relation $|Au, Av| < \varepsilon$ holds. Hence $|Au, Av| = 0$, i.e. $Av \in \overline{\{Au\}}$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). According to the stability at u , which is equivalent to the asymptotic equicontinuity at u (cf. [12],2.(1)), for every $\varepsilon > 0$ resp. $\varepsilon/2$, there exist a $\delta > 0$ and a $\nu \in I$ such that the relation $|u_\iota, v_\iota| < \delta$ implies $|A_\iota u_\iota, A_\iota v_\iota| < \varepsilon/2$ for every $\iota \geq \nu$ and every $v_\iota \in X_\iota$. Let $v \in X$ with $|u, v| < \delta$, then there exists a $\mu \geq \nu$ such that $|u_\iota, v_\iota| < \delta$, $\iota \geq \mu$, and $|A_\iota u_\iota, A_\iota v_\iota| < \varepsilon/2$, $\iota \geq \mu$. Hence $|Au, Av| \leq \varepsilon/2 < \varepsilon$. —

The concept of inverse stability is suitable for the characterization of an open mapping. A sequence (A_ι) is said to be *inversely stable* at $u = (u_\iota) \in X$ in the generalized sense, if, for every sequence $v = (v_\iota) \in X$, the condition $\lim |A_\iota u_\iota, A_\iota v_\iota| = 0$ implies $\lim |u_\iota, A_\iota^{-1} A_\iota v_\iota| = 0$. Further, a mapping A between topolo-

gical spaces T_1 and T_2 is called open at the point $u \in T_1$, if, for every neighbourhood U of u , the set AU is a neighbourhood of Au , and A is said to be open, if it is open at every point $u \in T_1$. The following theorem shows that an open mapping $A: X \rightarrow Y$, may be characterized not only by the generalized inverse stability but also by the continuity of the associated inverse A^{-1} , which is a mapping of $AX \subset Y$ into the pseudo-metric space of all non-void subsets of X . Moreover, we characterize the above generalized inverse stability condition of Grigorieff [5],3., which originates in a definition of Wolf [15],3., for linear operators, and is equivalent to the inverse stability of [10], [12] for injective mappings $A_\iota, \iota \in I$.

(2) *The following condition (i) implies (ii), and condition (ii) implies (iii). Conversely, if A is surjective, (ii) implies (i), and, if $\{u\} = A^{-1}Au$, (iii) implies (ii).*

- (i) A is open at the point $u \in X$.
- (ii) A is inversely stable at u in the generalized sense.
- (iii) A^{-1} is continuous at the point Au .

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii). For every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $v \in X$ such that $|Av, Au| = 0$, Av belongs to the neighbourhood $V = AB_\varepsilon(u)$ of $Au, Av \in V$. Hence, there exists a $v' \in B_\varepsilon(u)$ such that $v' \in A^{-1}Av$. Therefore, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, we have $|u, A^{-1}Av| \leq |u, v'| < \varepsilon$; thus $|u, A^{-1}Av| = 0$, which proves the generalized inverse stability.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). From the generalized inverse stability at u it follows that, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ resp. $\varepsilon/2$, there exist a $v \in I$ and a $\delta > 0$ such that the relation $|A_\iota u_\iota, A_\iota v_\iota| < \delta$ implies $|u_\iota, A_\iota^{-1} A_\iota v_\iota| < \varepsilon/2$ for every $\iota \geq v, v_\iota \in X_\iota$ (cf. [5],3.(16)). Let $w \in B_\delta(Au) \cap AX$, then there exist a $v \in A^{-1}w$ and a $\mu \geq v$ with $|A_\iota v_\iota, A_\iota u_\iota| < \delta, \iota \geq \mu$, and therefore we have $|u_\iota, A_\iota^{-1} w_\iota| < \varepsilon/2, \iota \geq \mu$, and $|u, A^{-1}w| \leq \varepsilon/2 < \varepsilon$. Hence, in particular, $|A^{-1}Au, A^{-1}w| < \varepsilon$, which proves the continuity of A^{-1} at Au .

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $\{u\} = A^{-1}Au$. For each $v \in X$ such that $|Av, Au| = 0$, we have $w = Av \in B_\delta(Au)$ for every $\delta > 0$. Thus, by (iii), the relation $|A^{-1}Av, A^{-1}Au| < \varepsilon$ is true for every $\varepsilon > 0$. Hence $|A^{-1}Av, A^{-1}Au| = 0$ and, by the assumption $A^{-1}Au = \{u\}, |u, A^{-1}Av| = 0$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Let A be surjective. For an arbitrary neighbourhood U of u there exists a $\varrho > 0$ such that $B_\varrho(u) \subset U$. As we have proved in part '(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)', for $\varrho/2$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that for all $w \in B_\delta(Au) \cap AX$ the relation $|u, A^{-1}w| \leq \varrho/2 < \varrho$ is valid. Thus, by the surjec-

tivity of A , for every $w \in B_\delta(Au)$, there exists a $v \in A^{-1}w$ such that the relation $|u, v| < \varrho$ holds. Hence $w \in AB_\varrho(u)$ and $B_\delta(Au) \subset AB_\varrho(u) \subset AU$, which ends the proof. —

Usually one calls a bijective mapping A *bicontinuous* at the point u , if A is continuous and open at the point u . According to Stummel [11], a sequence $A = (A_i)$ is said to be *bistable* at u , if A is stable and inversely stable at u . By (1) and (2), the bistability of a bijective mapping A at u is equivalent to its bicontinuity at u .

If we consider the images of all representatives v of a class $\hat{u} \in \hat{X}$, then, in general, the set of classes defined by $Av, v \in \hat{u}$, consists of different classes. However, if there is a subset $\hat{Z} \subset \hat{X}$ and, for every class $\hat{u} \in \hat{Z}$, there is a class $\hat{w} \in \hat{Y}$ such that all representatives $v \in \hat{u}$ are mapped into \hat{w} , then A defines a mapping of \hat{Z} into \hat{Y} , which we denote by \hat{A} . Condition (iii) of theorem (1) now affirms that this mapping $\hat{A}: \hat{Z} \rightarrow \hat{Y}$ exists for $A = (A_i)$, if A is stable at each sequence u of a subset $Z \subset X$. Moreover, \hat{A} is continuous, which yields a new equivalent stability condition.

(3) *A sequence $A = (A_i)$ is stable at each $u \in Z \subset X$ if and only if \hat{A} is a continuous mapping of \hat{Z} into \hat{Y} . Moreover, the stability of A at $u = (u_i) \in X$ is equivalent to the following condition:*

(4) *For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that, for every $z = (z_i) \in \overline{\{u\}}$, there exists an index $v \in I$ such that the relation $|z_i, v_i| < \delta$ implies $|A_i z_i, A_i v_i| < \varepsilon$ for every $i \geq v, v_i \in X_i$.*

Proof: (i) From the functional property of \hat{A} , for every $u \in Z$ and $v \in \overline{\{u\}} = \hat{u}$, it follows that $Av \in \hat{A}\hat{u} = (\hat{A}u)$. Thus, by (1), A is stable at each $u \in Z$. Conversely, by means of the stability of A at each $u \in Z$, there exists the well-defined mapping $\hat{A}: \hat{Z} \rightarrow \hat{Y}$, as we already mentioned above. Moreover, A is continuous, as for every $\hat{z} \in \hat{Z}$ and an arbitrary representative $z \in \hat{z}$ and, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is, by (liii), a $\delta > 0$ such that $Av \in B_\varepsilon(Az)$ for all $v \in B_\delta(z)$. Thus, let $\hat{v} \in \hat{Z}$ such that $|\hat{v}, \hat{z}| < \delta$, then for an arbitrary representative $v \in \hat{v}$ we have $|v, z| = |\hat{v}, \hat{z}| < \delta$; hence $|\hat{A}\hat{z}, \hat{A}\hat{v}| = \inf \{|Ax, Ay|: x \in \hat{z}, y \in \hat{v}\} \leq |Az, Av| < \varepsilon$.

(ii) The above proof also establishes that, setting $Z = \{u\}$ and given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that the relation $|v, \hat{u}| < \delta$ implies $|Av, \hat{A}\hat{u}| < \varepsilon$ for every $v \in X$. Further, let $\nu_1 \in I$ be the index in the condition of the asymptotic equicontinuity at u , then, for any $z \in \hat{u}$, there exists an index $\nu_2 \geq \nu_1$ such that $|u_\iota, z_\iota| < \delta/2$, $\iota \geq \nu_2$. For every $v = (v_\iota) \in X$ such that $|v_\iota, z_\iota| < \delta/2$, $\iota \geq \nu_2$, we have $|v, z| = |v, \hat{u}| < \delta$ and therefore $|Av, Az| = |Av, \hat{A}z| = |Av, \hat{A}\hat{u}| < \varepsilon$. Conversely, (4) immediately implies the asymptotic equicontinuity at u and, thus, the stability at u .—

The stability condition (4) shows that in the condition of asymptotic equicontinuity of [12],2.(1), which is equivalent to the stability, we can choose the number δ uniformly for all $z \in \overline{\{u\}}$. For the inverse stability and the generalized inverse stability analogous characterizations are true, where the number $\delta > 0$ can be chosen uniformly for all z such that $Az \in \overline{\{Au\}}$. We omit the proof. Concerning the bistability, we can state the following theorem.

(5) *A bijective mapping $A: X \rightarrow Y$ is bistable at all $u \in Z \subset X$ if and only if the mapping $\hat{A}: \hat{Z} \rightarrow \hat{Y}$ and the mapping $(\hat{A}^{-1}): \hat{A}\hat{Z} \rightarrow \hat{Z}$ associated with the sequence of inverses $A^{-1} = (A_\iota^{-1})$ exist, are continuous and satisfy the relation*

$$\hat{A}^{-1} = (\hat{A}^{-1}).$$

Proof: Since a bijective mapping A is inversely stable in Z if and only if A^{-1} is stable in AZ , by means of (3), it is enough to prove the relation $\hat{A}^{-1} = (\hat{A}^{-1})$. The inverse $\hat{A}^{-1}: \hat{A}\hat{Z} \rightarrow \hat{Z}$ exists, as, for arbitrary $\hat{u}, \hat{v} \in \hat{Z}$ such that $\hat{A}\hat{u} = \hat{A}\hat{v}$, by the inverse stability the relation $|\hat{u}, \hat{v}| = 0$ holds, i.e. $\hat{u} = \hat{v}$. Moreover $\hat{A}\hat{Z} = \widehat{AZ}$ and, for every $\hat{w} = \hat{A}\hat{z} \in \hat{A}\hat{Z}$ and every representative $w \in \hat{w}$, the equality $(\hat{A}^{-1})\hat{A}\hat{z} = (\widehat{A^{-1}})\hat{w} = (\widehat{A^{-1}w})$ is true. Choose $w = Az$, $z \in \hat{z} \in \hat{Z}$, then $(\widehat{A^{-1}})\hat{A}\hat{z} = \hat{z}$; hence the assertion is proved.—

At the end of our studies concerning continuity and stability we characterize the uniform continuity of A in a product space by a uniform stability condition, which is even necessary for the stability

at each discretely convergent sequence of a discretely compact product space. Besides this, we state the important result that stability at every sequence of a product space is equivalent to the uniform stability and uniform continuity.

(6) Let Z_i be non-void subsets of X_i , $i \in I$, and $Z = \prod_i Z_i$. Then the following statements (i), (ii), (iii) are equivalent to each other:

- (i) $A = (A_i)$ is uniformly continuous in Z .
- (ii) For every $\varepsilon < 0$, there exist a $\nu \in I$ and a $\delta < 0$ such that, for every $i \geq \nu$ and all $u_i, v_i \in Z_i$, the relation $|u_i, v_i| < \delta$ implies $|A_i u_i, A_i v_i| < \varepsilon$.
- (iii) A is stable at all $u \in Z$.

If \lim^X is surjective and $Z = \prod_i Z_i = \prod_i X_i = X$ is discretely compact, the following statement (iv) is equivalent to (i), (ii), and (iii):

- (iv) A is stable at all discretely convergent $u \in X$.

Proof: Referring to theorem (1), condition (iii) follows from (i).

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii). Suppose the contrary, then there exists a $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that, for every $\nu \in I$ and every $\delta = 1/t$, $t = 1, 2, \dots$, there exist an index $i_t \geq \nu$ and elements $u_i^{(t)}, v_i^{(t)} \in Z_i$ with $|u_i^{(t)}, v_i^{(t)}| < 1/t$, $|A_i u_i^{(t)}, A_i v_i^{(t)}| \geq \varepsilon_0$, $i = i_t$. We can select the indices such that $i_1 < i_2 < i_3 \dots$ and thus $I' = (i_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a subsequence of I . Given a fixed sequence $u = (u_i) \in Z$ and denote $u_i' = u_i$, $v_i' = u_i$, $i \in I - I'$, $u_i' = u_i^{(t)}$, $v_i' = v_i^{(t)}$, $i = i_t \in I'$, then the convergence $|u_i', v_i'| \rightarrow 0$ ($i \in I$) holds. On the other hand, $|A_i u_i', A_i v_i'| \geq \varepsilon_0$, $i \in I'$, contrary to the stability of (A_i) at (u_i') .

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). For an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, let $\delta > 0$, $\nu \in I$ be derived from condition (ii) and $u = (u_i)$, $v = (v_i) \in Z$ such that $|u, v| < \delta$. Then there exists a $\nu_1 \geq \nu$ such that $|u_i, v_i| < \delta$, $i \geq \nu_1$, and by (ii), it follows that $|A_i u_i, A_i v_i| < \varepsilon$, $i \geq \nu_1$. Hence $|A u, A v| < \varepsilon$.

(ii) \Leftrightarrow (iv). Let $\prod_i X_i$ be discretely compact, let \lim^X be surjective, and let (iv) be valid. Suppose (ii) is not true, then there exist a $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, a subsequence $I' \leq I$, and elements $u_i, v_i \in X_i$, $i \in I'$, such that $|u_i, v_i| \rightarrow 0$ ($i \in I'$) and $|A_i u_i, A_i v_i| \geq \varepsilon_0$, $i \in I'$. By assumption, there exist a subsequence $I'' \leq I'$ and a $u_0 \in X_0$ such that $u_i \rightarrow u_0$ ($i \in I''$). For \lim^X is surjective, we can prolong the sequence $(u_i)_{i \in I''}$ to a convergent sequence $(u_i)_{i \in I}$, $u_i \rightarrow u_0$ ($i \in I$). Set $z_i = v_i$, $i \in I'$,

$z_i = u_i, i \in I - I'$ then $\lim_{i \in I} |u_i, z_i| = 0$, and, by (iv), it follows that $\lim_{i \in I} |A_i u_i, A_i z_i| = 0$, contrary to $|A_i u_i, A_i z_i| \geq \epsilon_0, i \in I'$. The converse is trivial. —

3.2. Discrete convergence

The mapping \hat{A} defined by the sequence $A = (A_i)$ enables us now to establish a new characterization of the discrete convergence of (A_i) by means of an equality of mappings. For the sake of clearness we denote the set of all discretely convergent sequences in X and Y by D^X and D^Y , resp.. A sequence of mapping $A_i: X_i \rightarrow Y_i, i \in I$, is called discretely convergent to $A_0: X_0 \rightarrow Y_0, \lim A_i = A_0$, if \lim^X is surjective and if, for every $u \in D^X$, the relations $Au \in D^Y$ and $\lim^Y Au = A_0 \lim^X u$ hold. Furthermore, a sequence $u \in X$ is said to be discretely (A_i) -convergent, if $u \in D^X$ and $Au \in D^Y$. We denote the set of all discretely (A_i) -convergent sequences by D_A . Then we obtain the following equivalence theorem.

(7) *Let \lim^X be surjective. Then (A_i) converges discretely to $A_0, \lim A_i = A_0$, if and only if \hat{A} is a well-defined, continuous mapping of \hat{D}^X into \hat{D}^Y and the following relation holds:*

$$(8) \quad A_0 = \lim^Y \hat{A}(\lim^X)^{-1}.$$

Proof: By definition, the convergence $\lim A_i = A_0$ follows from relation (8), since the mapping of the discrete convergence also maps classes of discretely convergent sequences. Conversely, $\lim A_i = A_0$ yields condition (1ii), and thus A is stable at each $u \in D^X$. Then by (3), \hat{A} is a well-defined, continuous mapping of \hat{D}^X into \hat{D}^Y , and $\lim^Y \hat{A}(\lim^X)^{-1}$ is also defined. Hence, the definition of $\lim A_i = A_0$ implies $A_0 = \lim^Y \hat{A}(\lim^X)^{-1}$. —

A simple corollary of (7) yields the existence of a mapping $A_0: X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$ which is a discrete limit of a given stable sequence $A = (A_i)$.

(9) *Let \lim^X be surjective, let $A = (A_i)$ be stable at each $u \in D_A$, and for every $v_0 \in X_0$, let there be a $v \in (\lim^X)^{-1}v_0$ such that $Av \in D^Y$. Then there exists a mapping $A_0: X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$ such that $\lim A_i = A_0$.*

Proof: Since $A = (A_i)$ is stable at each $u \in D_A$, it follows that \hat{A} is a well-defined mapping from \hat{D}_A in \hat{D}^Y (cf. (3)). Moreover, by assumption, we have $\hat{D}_A = \hat{D}^X$, since, for every $u \in D^X$, there exists a $v \in D_A$ such that $u \in \overline{\{v\}}$, and the stability at v implies $Au \in \overline{\{Av\}} = \widehat{Av} \in D^Y$ (cf. (iii)). Thus, theorem (7) yields the assertion for $A_0 = \lim^Y \hat{A}(\lim^X)^{-1}$.

As another important result of the equivalence theorem (7) we obtain complete information of the continuity properties of discrete limits of sequences of mappings, which relation (8) makes immediately obvious. The continuity of a discrete limit has been already proved in [12], 6.(2).

(10) *Let $X_0, \Pi_i X_i, \lim^X$ and $Y_0, \Pi_i Y_i, \lim^Y$ be metric discrete limit spaces with discretely convergent metrics, and let $A_0: X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$ be the limit of a discretely convergent sequence of mappings $A_i: X_i \rightarrow Y_i, i \in I$. Then A_0 is continuous in X_0 . Moreover, A_0 is uniformly continuous in X_0 or Lipschitz-continuous or an isometry, if $\hat{A}: \hat{D}^X \rightarrow \hat{D}^Y$ has the corresponding property.*

The proof is an immediate consequence of the continuity of \hat{A} , the isometry property of \lim^Y and $(\lim^X)^{-1}$ (cf. 1. (2)) and the representation of A_0 in (8). The Lipschitz-continuity of \hat{A} holds, for instance, if the mappings $A_i, i \in I$, are uniformly Lipschitz-continuous.

The discrete convergence of mappings may be described by convergence properties of the associated graphs as in classic functional analysis. In Stummel [9]—III, 1., a generalized strong convergence permits a simple characterization by means of the graphs. The following characterization theorem generalizes and extends this result.

For two metric discrete limit spaces

$$X_0, \Pi_i X_i, \lim^X \quad \text{and} \quad Y_0, \Pi_i Y_i, \lim^Y$$

there obviously exists a metric discrete limit space of the products, $X_0 \times Y_0, \Pi_i (X_i \times Y_i), \lim$. If we denote the graphs of the mappings A_0, A_i by $G(A_0), G(A_i)$, then the following theorem can be stated.

(11) *The Lim-convergence of the graphs, that means*

$$(12) \quad \text{Lim sup } \Pi_i G(A_i) = \text{Lim inf } \Pi_i G(A_i) = G(A_0),$$

is necessary for the discrete convergence $A_i \rightarrow A_0 (i \in I)$. Conversely, (12) is sufficient for $A_i \rightarrow A_0 (i \in I)$, if, for every discretely convergent sequence $(u_i) \in D^X$, the sequence of images $(A_i u_i)$ is discretely compact.

Proof: (i) Necessity. Obviously, $G(A_0) \subset \text{Lim inf } \Pi_i G(A_i)$ holds, if $A_i \rightarrow A_0 (i \in I)$. Suppose $G(A_0) \not\subset \text{Lim sup } \Pi_i G(A_i)$, then there exists a $(u_0, w_0) \in \text{Lim sup } \Pi_i G(A_i)$ such that $(u_0, w_0) \notin G(A_0)$, i.e. $A_0 u_0 \neq w_0$. Furthermore, there are a subsequence $I' \leq I$ and elements $u_i' \in X_i, i \in I'$, such that $u_i' \rightarrow u_0, A_i u_i' \rightarrow w_0 (i \in I')$. By assumption $A_i \rightarrow A_0 (i \in I)$, we have the convergence $A_i u_i' \rightarrow A_0 u_0 (i \in I')$ and thus $A_0 u_0 = w_0$, contrary to $A_0 u_0 \neq w_0$. Therefore, we have proven that $G(A_0) \subset \text{Lim inf } \Pi_i G(A_i) \subset \text{Lim sup } \Pi_i G(A_i) \subset G(A_0)$ and all sets are equal.

(ii) Sufficiency. Let (12) be valid. Let $u_i \rightarrow u_0 (i \in I)$ and let $I' \leq I$ be an arbitrary subsequence. Then, by the discrete compactness of $(A_i u_i)$ there exist a subsequence $I'' \leq I'$ and a $w_0 \in Y_0$ such that $A_i u_i \rightarrow w_0 (i \in I'')$. Thus the definition of the upper discrete limit entails the relation $(u_0, w_0) \in \text{Lim sup } \Pi_i G(A_i)$. Assumption (12) yields $(u_0, w_0) \in G(A_0)$ and therefore $w_0 = A_0 u_0$ and $A_i u_i \rightarrow A_0 u_0 (i \in I'')$. As it is well-known, this proves $A_i u_i \rightarrow A_0 u_0 (i \in I)$. —

4. Compactness of mappings

The equivalence theorems in chapter 2 now immediately yield the equivalence of discrete compactness and asymptotic precompactness of a sequence of mappings. As a corollary, we obtain an interesting compactness property of a mapping by means of discrete compactness and asymptotic precompactness. Thus we also obtain a result of Wolf [15], 2.(4), concerning the precompactness of a mapping which is consistent with a discretely compact sequence of mappings. In the case of an approximation by subspaces, the concepts of discrete compactness and asymptotic precompactness characterize a collectively compact sequence of mappings. The definitions of collective compactness in Anselone [1], [2] and in this chapter are equivalent in the special case of [1], [2]. As an application of our general characterizations, we finally prove a generalization of the classic compactness criteria of Arzelá and Ascoli.

4.1. Discrete compactness

A sequence of mappings $A_i: X_i \rightarrow Y_i, i \in I$, is called discretely compact, if, for every discretely bounded $\Pi_i Z_i \subset \Pi_i X_i$,

the product space $\prod_i A_i Z_i$ is discretely compact. Obviously, (A_i) is discretely compact if and only if, for every discretely bounded sequence $(u_i) \in \prod_i X_i$, the sequence of images $(A_i u_i)$ is discretely compact. Furthermore, we call (A_i) asymptotically precompact, if, for every discretely bounded $\prod_i Z_i \subset \prod_i X_i$, the product space $\prod_i A_i Z_i$ is asymptotically precompact. Then, according to theorem 2. (5), the following theorem is true.

(1) *Let Y_0 be a complete metric space, let $A(Y_0, \prod_i Y_i, \lim^X)$ be a metric discrete approximation with discretely convergent metrics, and let $\text{Lim sup } \prod_i A_i X_i$ be separable. Then (A_i) is discretely compact if and only if it is asymptotically precompact.*

As a further result of the theorems of section 2.1, we obtain sufficient conditions for the precompactness of a mapping $A_0: X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$ by means of discrete compactness and asymptotic precompactness. Assumption (3) of the following theorem especially holds, if $A_0, A_i, i \in I$, are consistent (cf. [10], 2.).

(2) *Let $X_0, \prod_i X_i, \lim^X$ and $Y_0, \prod_i Y_i, \lim^Y$ be metric discrete limit spaces with discretely convergent metrics, let $\lim^X(\prod_i X_i, X_0)$ be surjective, and for every bounded set $Z_0 \subset X_0$ let the relation*

$$(3) \quad A_0 Z_0 \subset \text{Lim sup } A(\lim^X)^{-1} Z_0$$

be satisfied. Then each of the following conditions (i), (ii) is sufficient for the precompactness of $A_0: X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$:

- (i) *(A_i) is asymptotically precompact.*
- (ii) *$\lim^Y(\prod_i Y_i, \text{Lim sup } \prod_i A_i X_i)$ is surjective, $\text{Lim sup } \prod_i A_i X_i$ is separable, and (A_i) is discretely compact.*

Proof: (i) Given a bounded set $Z_0 \subset X_0$, then $\delta \equiv \sup\{|u_0, v_0| : u_0, v_0 \in Z_0\} < \infty$. According to 1.(2), the relation $|R^X(v_0), R^X(z_0)| = |v_0, z_0|$ holds for every $v_0, z_0 \in Z_0$, where $R^X = (\lim^X)^{-1}$. Hence $\sup\{|v, z| : v, z \in R^X(z_0)\} \leq \delta$ and $R^X(Z_0) \subset B_\delta(u) \subset \prod_i B_\delta(u_i)$ for each $u = (u_i) \in R^X(Z_0)$. Let $u = (u_i)$ be an arbitrary sequence of $R^X(Z_0)$. Since the product space $\prod_i B_\delta(u_i)$ is discretely bounded, by assumption (i), it follows that $\prod_i A_i B_\delta(u_i)$ is asymptotically precompact. Thus, according to 2.(1), $\text{Lim sup } \prod_i A_i B_\delta(u_i)$ is precompact. By assumption (3), we have $A_0 Z_0 \subset \text{Lim sup } A R^X(Z_0) \subset \text{Lim sup } \prod_i A_i B_\delta(u_i)$ and hence the precompactness of $A_0 Z_0$.

(ii) Under the assumptions of (ii) the sequence (A_i) is asymptotically precompact, due to 2.(2). Thus, part (i) yields the precompactness of A_0 . —

Theorem 2.(7) and 2.(8) now enable us to characterize the notion of collective compactness of a sequence of mappings in Anselone [1], [2]. In [1], [2] linear operators are being studied which map the same Banach space into itself for all indices, whereas here we shall consider a more general situation.

Let (A_i) be a sequence of mappings $A_i: X_i \rightarrow Y_i$, $i \in I$, where X_i and Y_i , $i \in I$, are subsets of a metric space M_1 and M_2 , resp., which constitute discrete approximations $\mathcal{A}(X_0, \Pi_i X_i, \lim^{M_1})$ and $\mathcal{A}(Y_0, \Pi_i Y_i, \lim^{M_2})$ with subsets $X_0 \subset M_1$, $Y_0 \subset M_2$. The sequence (A_i) is called collectively compact, if, for every discretely bounded sequence of subsets $Z_i \subset X_i$, $i \in I$, the product space $\Pi_i A_i Z_i$ is collectively compact, i.e. if $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i Z_i$ is precompact in M_2 . The

discrete boundedness of $\Pi_i Z_i$ in an approximation of subspaces is equivalent to its uniform boundedness, if each set Z_i , $i \in I$, is bounded. Hence in the special case of [1], [2], the definition of collective compactness in [1], [2] and the above definition are equivalent. From theorem 2.(7) it now follows that a sequence (A_i) is collectively compact if and only if every operator A_i , $i \in I$, is precompact and (A_i) is asymptotically precompact. For a complete metric space M_2 and a closed subset Y_0 , theorem 2.(8) yields equivalence of collective compactness and the compactness of each A_i together with the discrete compactness of (A_i) .

Vainikko [14] has studied a similar situation as in [1], [2], considering mappings $A_i: X_i \rightarrow X_i$ and subspaces X_i of a complete space X_0 . There the relation $A_0 X_0 \subset \text{Lim inf } \Pi_i X_i$ is assumed instead of surjectivity of $\lim (\Pi_i X_i, X_0)$, where A_0 is a mapping of X_0 into itself. This constitutes a metric discrete limit space $X_0, \Pi_i X_i, \lim$ of the domains of definition and an approximation $\mathcal{A}(A_0 X_0, \Pi_i X_i, \lim)$ of the images. For a discretely compact sequence (A_i) which is consistent with the restriction of A_0 to $Z_0 = \text{Lim inf } \Pi_i X_i$, theorem (11) implies the compactness of the restriction $A_0|Z_0$, since the domains of definition of $A_0|Z_0$ and A_i , $i \in I$, also constitute an approximation $\mathcal{A}(\text{Lim inf } \Pi_i X_i, \Pi_i X_i, \lim)$.

4.2. Compactness criteria in spaces of functions

Equicontinuity together with compactness at each point of a product space is necessary and sufficient for the discrete compactness of a sequence of functions in a discrete approximation of spaces of functions. In view of applications this is an important generalization of the compactness criteria of Arzelá and Ascoli.

Let Y_0 be a complete normed space and, for a denumerably infinite, linearly ordered index sequence I , let Y_ι , $\iota \in I$, be linear subspaces of Y_0 , which constitute a metric discrete approximation $\mathcal{A}(Y_0, \Pi_\iota Y_\iota, \text{lim}^Y)$ with the convergence in Y_0 as the discrete convergence, i.e. $|y_0, Y_\iota| \rightarrow 0$ ($\iota \in I$), $y_0 \in Y_0$, or $Y_0 \subset \text{Lim inf } \Pi_\iota Y_\iota$. In such a case there always exist restriction operators $r_\iota^Y: Y_0 \rightarrow Y_\iota$, $\iota \in I$, this means that $\text{lim}^Y y_\iota = y_0$ is equivalent to the convergence relation $\lim \|r_\iota^Y y_0 - y_\iota\| = 0$. Then, the metrics are discretely convergent. Here we still assume the existence of a sequence of restriction operators r_ι^Y , $\iota \in I$, being equicontinuous at each point $y_0 \in Y_0$.

Further, let X_0 be a compact metric space and X_ι , $\iota \in I$, be closed subspaces of X_0 . Then the Banach spaces $E_0 = C_{Y_0}(X_0)$, $E_\iota = C_{Y_\iota}(X_\iota)$, $\iota \in I$, of bounded continuous functions of X_0 and X_ι with values in Y_0 and Y_ι , resp., constitute a metric discrete approximation $\mathcal{A}(E_0, \Pi_\iota E_\iota, u\text{-lim})$ with discretely convergent metrics, if the relation

$$(4) \quad \text{Lim inf } \Pi_\iota X_\iota = \text{Lim sup } \Pi_\iota X_\iota = X_0$$

holds. The discretely uniform convergence $u\text{-lim}$ is defined by

$$(5) \quad u\text{-lim } u_\iota = u_0 \Leftrightarrow \sup_{x \in X_\iota} \|u_\iota(x) - r_\iota^Y u_0(x)\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (\iota \in I)$$

for every sequence $u_0 \in C_{Y_0}(X_0)$, $u_\iota \in C_{Y_\iota}(X_\iota)$, $\iota \in I$. Here we do not prove the existence of the metric discrete approximation $\mathcal{A}(E_0, \Pi_\iota E_\iota, u\text{-lim})$ and refer to corresponding results for spaces of \mathbf{K} -valued, bounded continuous functions in Stummel [11], § 8, where $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{R}$ resp. $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{C}$. By $X_\iota \subset X_0$, relation (4) is equivalent to $X_0 \subset \text{Lim inf } \Pi_\iota X_\iota$ and moreover, by the compactness of X_0 , it is equivalent to the convergence $d_0(X_0, X_\iota) = \sup_{x \in X_0} |x, X_\iota| \rightarrow 0$ ($\iota \in I$). Thus,

$\mathcal{A}(X_0, \Pi_\iota X_\iota, \text{lim}^X)$ is a metric discrete approximation with the convergence in X_0 as the discrete convergence lim^X . Therefore, it is again possible to define restriction operators $r_\iota^X: X_0 \rightarrow X_\iota$, $\iota \in I$, which still

satisfy (cf. Stummel [10], 5.(5)) $|x_0, r_i^X x_0| \leq 2 d_0(X_0, X_i)$, $x_0 \in X_0$. We do not need $r_i^X, i \in I$, being equicontinuous but we assume that each r_i^X is continuous.

Let us mention that, by the discrete compactness of $\Pi_i X_i$, the discretely uniform convergence of $u = (u_i) \in \Pi_i E_i$ is equivalent to the discrete convergence of mappings in the sense of section 3.2, i.e. $\lim^Y u (\lim^X)^{-1} = u\text{-lim } u$.

We shall now state the following characterization of the discrete compactness of a sequence in $\mathcal{A}(E_0, \Pi_i E_i, u\text{-lim})$.

(6) *Let condition (4) and the above assumptions with continuous $r_i^X, i \in I$, and equicontinuous $r_i^Y, i \in I$, be satisfied. Then the discrete compactness of a sequence $u_i \in C_{Y_i}(X_i), i \in I$, is necessary and sufficient for the following two conditions:*

- (i) (u_i) is equicontinuous.
- (ii) For every sequence $(x_i) \in \Pi_i X_i$, the sequence of images $(u_i(x_i))$ is compact in Y_0 .

Proof: (i) Necessity. Assume that, for every subsequence $I' \leq I$, there exist a subsequence $I'' \leq I'$ and a function $u_0 \in C_{Y_0}(X_0)$ such that $u\text{-lim}_{i \in I''} u_i = u_0$. Suppose (i) is not true, then there exists a $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there are an index i_n and elements $x_{i_n}^{(n)}, z_{i_n}^{(n)} \in X_{i_n}$ with $|x_{i_n}^{(n)}, z_{i_n}^{(n)}| < 1/n$ and $\|u_{i_n}(x_{i_n}^{(n)}) - u_{i_n}(z_{i_n}^{(n)})\| \geq \varepsilon_0$. If the index set $\{i_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ consists of finitely many pairwise distinct indices, it contradicts the assumption of the uniform continuity of each $u_i, i \in I$. If $I' = (i_n)$ is a subsequence, the compactness of X_0 implies the existence of a subsequence $I'' \leq I'$ and of an element $x_0 \in X_0$ such that $|x_{i_n}^{(n)}, x_0| \rightarrow 0, |z_{i_n}^{(n)}, x_0| \rightarrow 0$ ($i_n \in I'', n \rightarrow \infty$). By assumption, there is another subsequence $I' \leq I''$ and a function $u_0 \in C_{Y_0}(X_0)$ such that $u\text{-lim}_{i \in I'''} u_i = u_0$. Since the restriction operators $r_i^Y, i \in I$, are assumed to be equicontinuous, it follows that $\|u_{i_n}(x_{i_n}^{(n)}) - u_0(x_0)\| \leq \sup \|u_{i_n}(x) - r_{i_n}^Y u_0(x)\| + \|r_{i_n}^Y u_0(x_{i_n}^{(n)}) - u_0(x_0)\| \rightarrow 0$ ($i_n \in I''', n \rightarrow \infty$). Analogously, $\|u_{i_n}(z_{i_n}^{(n)}) - u_0(x_0)\| \rightarrow 0$ ($i_n \in I''', n \rightarrow \infty$), contrary to $\|u_{i_n}(x_{i_n}^{(n)}) - u_{i_n}(z_{i_n}^{(n)})\| \geq \varepsilon_0, i_n \in I'$, which proves condition (i).

Moreover, we have proven that, for every sequence $x_0 \in X_0, x_i \in X_i, i \in I$, and every subsequence $K \leq I$, the relations $u\text{-lim}_{i \in K} u_i = u_0$ and $|x_i, x_0| \rightarrow 0$ ($i \in K$) imply the convergence $\|u_i(x_i) - u_0(x_0)\| \rightarrow 0$ ($i \in K$). Hence condition (ii) is valid, since, for every sequence $(x_i) \in \Pi_i X_i$ and every subsequence $I' \leq I$, there exist a subsequence

$I'' \leq I'$ and a $x_0 \in X_0$ with $|x_i, x_0| \rightarrow 0$ ($i \in I''$) and, moreover, there exist a subsequence $I''' \leq I''$ and a function $u_0 \in C_{Y_0}(X_0)$ such that $u\text{-}\lim_{i \in I'''} u_i = u_0$.

(ii) Sufficiency. For $E_0 = C_{Y_0}(X_0)$ is complete, by theorem 1.(4) and 2.(1), it is sufficient to prove the asymptotic precompactness of $\Pi_i \{u_i\} \subset \Pi_i E_i$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$ and, for $\varepsilon/6$, let $\delta > 0$ be the number in the condition of uniform equicontinuity of (u_i) following from (6i) and the assumption that X_0 is compact and X_i is closed for every $i \in I$. Moreover, there exist points $x_0^{(1)}, \dots, x_0^{(N_1)}$ in X_0 such that the balls $B_{\delta/4}(x_0^{(n)})$, $n = 1, \dots, N_1$, cover X_0 . By assumption, there is an index $\nu_1 \in I$ such that $|r_i^X x_0^{(n)}, x_0^{(n)}| \leq \delta/4$, $i \geq \nu_1$, $n = 1, \dots, N_1$, and there is another index $\nu_2 \geq \nu_1$ such that $d_0(X_0, X_i) < \delta/4$, $i \geq \nu_2$. From condition (ii), it follows that $(u_i(r_i^X x_0^{(n)}))_{i \in I}$ is a compact sequence in Y_0 for every $n = 1, \dots, N_1$; thus, the set $Z = \bigcup_{n,i} \{u_i(r_i^X x_0^{(n)})\}$ is relatively

compact in Y_0 . Therefore, there exist points $z^{(1)}, \dots, z^{(N_2)}$ in Z such that the balls $B_{\varepsilon/6}(z^{(m)})$, $m = 1, \dots, N_2$, cover Z . By means of the set $\Phi = \{\varphi: \{1, \dots, N_1\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, N_2\}\}$ of finitely many functions, we define $I_\varphi = \{i \in I: \|u_i(r_i^X x_0^{(n)}) - z^{(\varphi(n))}\| < \varepsilon/6, n = 1, \dots, N_1\}$ and $H_\varphi = \{v_i \in C_{Y_i}(X_0): v_i(x) = u_i(r_i^X x), x \in X_0, i \in I_\varphi, i \geq \nu_2\}$ for each $\varphi \in \Phi$. Then $I_1 \equiv \{i \in I: i \geq \nu_2\} = \bigcup_{\varphi \in \Phi} I_\varphi$ and the diameter of H_φ , $\varphi \in \Phi$, is

bounded by $2\varepsilon/3$. Indeed, for arbitrary $\varphi \in \Phi$, $i_1, i_2 \in I_\varphi$ and $x_0 \in X_0$ there exists a $n \in \{1, \dots, N_1\}$ such that $|x_0, x_0^{(n)}| \leq \delta/4$ and $|r_{i_1}^X x_0, r_{i_1}^X x_0^{(n)}| \leq |r_{i_1}^X x_0, x_0| + |x_0, x_0^{(n)}| + |x_0^{(n)}, r_{i_1}^X x_0^{(n)}| \leq 2d_0(X_0, X_{i_1}) + \delta/2 < \delta$. Thus $\|u_{i_1}(r_{i_1}^X x_0) - u_{i_1}(r_{i_1}^X x_0^{(n)})\| = \|v_{i_1}(x_0) - v_{i_1}(x_0^{(n)})\| < \varepsilon/6$ and, analogously, $\|v_{i_2}(x_0) - v_{i_2}(x_0^{(n)})\| < \varepsilon/6$, and, by definition of H_φ , $\|v_{i_1}(x_0) - v_{i_2}(x_0)\| \leq 4\varepsilon/6$ for every $x_0 \in X_0$. If we denote an index of I_φ by i_φ for each $\varphi \in \Phi$, then the asymptotic precompactness is satisfied with the sequences $u_i^{(\varphi)} = v_{i_\varphi}|_{X_i} \in C_{Y_i}(X_i)$, $i \in I$, $\varphi \in \Phi$. Indeed, for every $i \geq \nu_2$, there is a φ such that $i \in I_\varphi$ and for an arbitrary $x_i \in X_i$, there exists a $n \in \{1, \dots, N_1\}$ such that $|x_i, x_0^{(n)}| \leq \delta/4$. Thus, as $|x_i, r_i^X x_0^{(n)}| \leq \delta/2$, we have $\|u_i(x_i) - v_i^{(\varphi)}(x_i)\| \leq \|u_i(x_i) - u_i(r_i^X x_0^{(n)})\| + \|u_i(r_i^X x_0^{(n)}) - u_{i_\varphi}(r_{i_\varphi}^X x_0^{(n)})\| + \|v_{i_\varphi}(r_{i_\varphi}^X x_0^{(n)}) - v_i^{(\varphi)}(x_i)\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{6} + \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon}{6} = \varepsilon$. Hence

$\overline{\lim}_{i \in I} \min_{\varphi \in \Phi} \sup_{x \in X_i} \|u_i(x) - v_i^{(\varphi)}(x)\| < \varepsilon$, and we need only prove that $u\text{-}\lim_{i \in I} v_i^{(\varphi)} = v_0^{(\varphi)}$, $\varphi \in \Phi$, where $v_0^{(\varphi)}(x_0) = u_{i_\varphi}(r_{i_\varphi}^X x_0)$, $x_0 \in X_0$. But this is obviously true, since the continuity of $v_0^{(\varphi)}$ implies the discrete convergence of $(v_i^{(\varphi)})$ to $v_0^{(\varphi)}$ (in the sense of 3.2).—

An analogous equivalence theorem can also be stated, if X_ι , $\iota \in I$, are not closed in X_0 . Then, in theorem (6), one must use the uniform stability condition 3.(6ii) instead of (i). In the case of \mathbf{K} -valued functions or functions with values in \mathbf{K}^n , $n \in \mathbf{N}$, i.e. $Y_0 = Y_\iota = \mathbf{K}^n$, $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{R}$ or $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{C}$, theorem (6) remains valid, if X_0, X_ι , $\iota \in I$, are subsets of a compact metric space M but the relation $X_\iota \subset X_0$ is not assumed. In such a case, by means of the extension theorem of Tietze, it is proved in [11], § 8, that condition (4) is even necessary and sufficient for the existence of the metric discrete approximation $A(E_0, H, E_\iota, u\text{-lim})$ with discretely convergent metrics.

REFERENCES

1. ANSELONE, P.M.: *Convergence and error bounds for approximate solutions of integral and operator equations*. Proc. Symp. Wisconsin, Madison 1965, 231-252.
2. — *Collectively compact and totally bounded sets of linear operators*. J. Math. Mech. **17**, 613-621 (1968).
3. AUBIN, J.P.: *Approximation des espaces de distribution et des opérateurs différentiels*. Bull. Soc. Math. France Mém. **12**, 1-139 (1967).
4. BOURBAKI, N.: *Éléments de mathématique*, Livre III, Topologie Générale. Paris: Hermann & Cie. Act. Sci. et Ind. Nr. 1142, 1143, 1235, 1045, 1084; 1958 until 1963.
5. GRIGORIEFF, R.D.: *Über diskrete Approximationen nichtlinearer Gleichungen I. Art*. To appear in Math. Nachr.
6. KELLEY, J.L.: *General Topology*. Princeton: Van Nostrand 1955.
7. PETRYSHYN, W.V.: *Projection methods in nonlinear numerical functional analysis*. J. Math. Mech. **17**, 353-372 (1967).
8. RINOW, W.: *Die innere Geometrie der metrischen Räume*. Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg: Springer 1961.
9. STUMMEL, F.: *Diskrete Konvergenz linearer Operatoren I*. Math. Ann. **190**, 45-92 (1970). *II*. Math. Z. **120**, 231-264 (1971). *III*. In Proc. Oberwolfach Conference on Linear Operators and Approximation 1971. Int. Series of Numerical Mathematics 20, 196-215. Basel und Stuttgart: Birkhäuser 1972.
10. — *Discrete convergence of mapping*. Proc. Conference on Numerical Analysis. Dublin, August 1972. New York-London: Academic Press 1973.
11. — *Approximation methods in analysis*. Aarhus Univ. Lecture Notes Series 35, 1973.
12. STUMMEL, F., REINHARDT, J.: *Discrete convergence of continuous mappings in metric spaces*. Proc. Conf. «Numerische, insbesondere approximations-theoretische Behandlung von Funktionalgleichungen», Oberwolfach, December 1972. Lecture Notes in Math. 333, 218-242. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1973.
13. VAINIKKO, G.M.: *Galerkin's perturbation method and the general theory of approximate methods for nonlinear equations*. U.S.S.R. Comput. Math. Math. Phys. **7/4**, 1-41 (1967).
14. — *The compact approximation principle in the theory of approximation methods*. U.S.S.R. Comp. Math. Math. Phys. **9/4**, 1-32 (1969).
15. WOLF, R.: *Über lineare approximationsreguläre Operatoren*. Math. Nachr. **59**, 325-341 (1974).

Dr. H.-J. REINHARDT
 Math. Seminar der Universität
 D-6000 Frankfurt am Main, Robert-Mayer-Straße 10
 Fed. Rep. Germany