

ON P -ANALYTIC TENSORS

by

ARUNA NIGAM

Department of Mathematics
Lucknow University, Lucknow (INDIA)

SUMMARY :

Covariant and contravariant p -analytic vectors in a Kähler space were studied by R. C. SRIVASTAVA in [1]*. The object of the present paper is to define and study covariant and contravariant p -analytic tensors in a Kähler space, which for $p = 1$ reduce to the covariant and contravariant analytic tensors studied by Yano and Bochner. Necessary and sufficient conditions for a tensor to be covariant (contravariant) p -analytic have been obtained and relationship between covariant p -analytic tensors and harmonic tensors of type p is investigated. It is also established that a contravariant (covariant) $(p + 1)$ -analytic tensor is covariant (contravariant) p -analytic. Later a necessary and sufficient condition for a tensor to be both covariant (contravariant) p -and $(p + 1)$ -analytic has been found.

(1) INTRODUCTION :

Let us consider a Kähler space M_{2n} with a positive definite metric g_{ij} and a mixed tensor F_i^h , which satisfy the following conditions

$$F_j^i F_i^h = -A_j^h, \quad (1.1)$$

$$F_j^i F_i^s g_{ts} = g_{ji}, \quad (1.2)$$

and

$$F_i^h{}_{;j} = 0, \quad (1.3)$$

* Numbers in the square brackets refer to the references at the end of the paper.

Latin indices i, j, k, \dots take the values $1, 2, \dots, n, \bar{1}, \bar{2}, \dots, \bar{n}$ and Greek indices α, γ, μ, ν run over the range $1, 2, \dots, n$.

where semicolon (;) followed by an index denotes covariant differentiation with respect to Christoffel symbols $\{^h_{ji}\}$ formed with g_{ji} . If we put

$$F_{ji} = F_j^h g_{hi}, \tag{1.4}$$

then from (1.1) and (1.2), we can verify

$$F_{ji} = -F_{ij} \tag{1.5}$$

and from (1.3), we get

$$F_{ji;k} = 0. \tag{1.6}$$

For brevity we shall denote

$$T_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s}^{h_1 h_2 \dots h_r} \text{ by } T_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s}^{h_1 h_2 \dots h_r}; \quad T_{h_1 h_2 \dots h_r}^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s} \text{ by } T_{h_1 h_2 \dots h_r}^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s} \tag{1.7}$$

and

$$T_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s; i_{s+1} i_{s+2} \dots i_{s+p}}^{h_1 h_2 \dots h_r} \text{ by } T_{i_1 | i_s; i_{s+1} | i_{s+p}}^{h_1 | h_r}. \tag{1.8}$$

A tensor $T_{i_1 | i_s}^{h_1 | h_r}$ is called pure in i_s and h_t if

$$*O_{i_y b}^{c h_t} T_{i_1 \dots c \dots i_s}^{h_1 \dots b \dots h_r} = 0, \tag{1.9}$$

where

$$*O_{ji}^{cb} = \frac{1}{2} (A_j^c A_i^b + F_j^c F_i^b) \tag{1.10}$$

and a tensor $T_{i_1 | i_s}^{h_1 | h_r}$ is said to be hybrid in i_s and h_t if

$$O_{i_y b}^{c h_t} T_{i_1 \dots c \dots i_s}^{h_1 \dots b \dots h_r} = 0, \tag{1.11}$$

where

$$O_{ji}^{cb} = \frac{1}{2} (A_j^c A_i^b - F_j^c F_i^b). \tag{1.12}$$

The operators O and $*O$ also satisfy the following relation

$$O + *O = A. \tag{1.13}$$

Also if,

$$H_{kj} = \frac{1}{2} K_{k|j|h} F^{ih}, \tag{1.14}$$

then we know that ([2], p. 72)

$$K_{ks} F_j^s = H_{kj} ; \quad H_{ks} F_j^s = -K_{kj}. \quad (1.15)$$

(2) \mathcal{P} -ANALYTIC TENSORS :

Let us consider a self conjugate tensor field $T_{i_1/i_s}^{h_1/h_r}$ ($1 \leq r, s$), which is pure in all its indices, that is, which has the components of the form

$$T_{i_1/i_s}^{h_1/h_r} = (T_{\lambda_1/\lambda_s}^{z_1/z_r}, 0, 0, \dots, 0, T_{\bar{\lambda}_1/\bar{\lambda}_s}^{\bar{z}_1/\bar{z}_r}). \quad (2.1)$$

The fact that $T_{i_1/i_s}^{h_1/h_r}$ is pure in all its indices is expressed by

$$* O_{it}^{sh} T_{i_1 \dots g \dots i_s}^{h_1 \dots t \dots h_r} = 0 \quad (2.2)$$

or

$$F_j^a T_{i_1 \dots a \dots i_s}^{h_1 \dots h \dots h_r} - F_b^h T_{i_1 \dots j \dots i_s}^{h_1 \dots b \dots h_r} = 0, \quad (2.3)$$

the indices a, b taking all the positions.

We define a \mathcal{P} -analytic tensor in a Kähler space to be a self conjugate pure tensor $T_{i_1/i_s}^{h_1/h_r}$ such that $T_{i_1/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}j}^{h_1/h_r}$ is pure in i_1 and j , that is, if

$$* O_{i_1 i_1}^{ba} T_{a i_2/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}b}^{h_1/h_r} = 0 \quad (2.4)$$

or

$$T_{i_1/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}a}^{h_1/h_r} + F_a^b F_{i_1}^l T_{l i_2/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}b}^{h_1/h_r} = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

Multiplying by F_j^a and summing over a yields

$$F_j^a T_{i_1/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}a}^{h_1/h_r} - F_{i_1}^l T_{l i_2/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}j}^{h_1/h_r} = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

Differentiating covariantly (2.6) with respect to t and multiplying by F^{jt} , we get

$$F^{jt} F_j^a T_{i_1/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}at}^{h_1/h_r} - F^{jt} F_{i_1}^a T_{a i_2/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}jt}^{h_1/h_r} = 0$$

or

$$F^{jt} F_j^a T_{i_1/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}at}^{h_1/h_r} - \frac{1}{2} F^{jt} F_{i_1}^a (T_{a i_2/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}jt}^{h_1/h_r} - T_{a i_2/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}tj}^{h_1/h_r}) = 0$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
& F^{jt} F_j^a T_{i_1/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}}^{h_1/h_r} a^t + \frac{1}{2} F^{bj} F_{i_1}^a \left(\sum_{t=1}^r K_{bjt}{}^h T_{a_{i_2}/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}}^{h_1/h_{t-1} h_{t+1}/h_r} \right. \\
& - \sum_{t=2}^s K_{bjt}{}^l T_{a_{i_2}/i_{t-1} l_{i_{t+1}}/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}}^{h_1/h_r} - K_{bja}{}^l T_{l_{i_2}/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}}^{h_1/h_r} \\
& \left. - \sum_{t=s+2}^{s+p} K_{bjt}{}^l T_{a_{i_2}/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{t-1} l_{i_{t+1}}/i_{s+p}}^{h_1/h_r} \right) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
& g^{ab} T_{i_1/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}}^{h_1/h_r} a^b + \sum_{t=1}^r F_{i_1}^a H_t{}^h T_{a_{i_2}/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}}^{h_1/h_{t-1} h_{t+1}/h_r} \\
& - \sum_{t=2}^s F_{i_1}^a H_t{}^l T_{a_{i_2}/i_{t-1} l_{i_{t+1}}/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}}^{h_1/h_r} - H_a{}^l F_{i_1}^a T_{l_{i_2}/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}}^{h_1/h_r} \\
& + \sum_{t=s+2}^{s+p} F^{bj} F_{i_1}^a K_{jib}{}^l T_{a_{i_2}/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{t-1} l_{i_{t+1}}/i_{s+p}}^{h_1/h_r} = 0. \tag{2.7}
\end{aligned}$$

By virtue of (2.3) and (1.15), above equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
& g^{ab} T_{i_1/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}}^{h_1/h_r} a^b + \sum_{t=1}^r K_s{}^h T_{i_1/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}}^{h_1/h_{t-1} a h_{t+1}/h_r} \\
& - \sum_{t=1}^s K_{i_t}{}^a T_{i_1/i_{t-1} a_{i_{t+1}}/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}}^{h_1/h_r} \\
& + \sum_{t=s+2}^{s+p} F^{bj} F_{i_1}^a K_{jib}{}^l T_{a_{i_2}/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{t-1} l_{i_{t+1}}/i_{s+p}}^{h_1/h_r} = 0, \tag{2.8}
\end{aligned}$$

which is necessary condition for $T_{i_1/i_s}^{h_1/h_r}$ to be p -analytic.
 On the other hand, putting

$$S^{j_1/i_s h_1/h_r; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}} := (F^{ja} T_a{}^{i_1/i_s h_1/h_r; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}} - F_{i_1}^a T_a{}^{i_2/i_s h_1/h_r; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p} j}), \tag{2.9}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} S^{j_1/i_s h_1/h_r; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}} S_{j_1/i_s h_1/h_r; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}} \\
& = (T_{i_1/i_s h_1/h_r; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p} j} T_{i_1/i_s h_1/h_r; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p} j}) \\
& + F^{ja} F_{i_1}^a (T_{a_{i_1}{}^{i_2}/i_s h_1/h_r; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}}) (T_{i_2/i_s h_1/h_r; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p} j}) \tag{2.10}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [(T_{i_1/i_s h_1/h_r; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}}^j) (T_{i_1/i_s h_1/h_r; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}}) + \\
 & \quad + F^{ja} F^{il} (T_{i_1^2/i_s h_1/h_r; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}}) (T_{li_2/i_s h_1/h_r; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}} a)] ; j \\
 & = (g^{ab} T_{i_1/i_s h_1/h_r; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}}^{ab}) (T_{i_1/i_s h_1/h_r; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}}) \\
 & \quad + (T_{i_1/i_s h_1/h_r; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}}^j) (T_{i_1/i_s h_1/h_r; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}}^j) \\
 & \quad + F^{ja} F^{il} (T_{li_2/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}}^{h_1/h_r}) (T_{i_1 h_1/h_r}^{i_2/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}}) \\
 & \quad + F^{ja} F^{il} (T_{li_2/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}}^{h_1/h_r}) (T_{i_1 h_1/h_r}^{i_2/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}}^j) \\
 & = (g^{ab} T_{ai_2/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}}^{h_1/h_r}) (T_{h_1/h_r}^{i_1/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}}) \\
 & \quad - F^{jt} F_{i_1}^a (T_{ai_2/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}}^{h_1/h_r} jt) (T_{h_1/h_r}^{i_1/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}}) \\
 & \quad + (T_{i_1/i_s h_1/h_r; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}}^j) (T_{i_1/i_s h_1/h_r; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}}^j) \\
 & \quad + F^{ja} F^{li} (T_{i_1^2/i_s h_1/h_r; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}}^a) (T_{li_2/i_s h_1/h_r; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}}^j) \\
 & = [g^{ab} T_{i_1/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}}^{h_1/h_r} ab + \sum_{t=1}^r K_a^{ht} T_{i_1/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}}^{h_1/h_{t-1} a h_{t+1}/h_r} \\
 & \quad - \sum_{t=1}^s K_{i_t}^a T_{i_1/i_{t-1} a i_{t+1}/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}}^{h_1/h_r} \\
 & \quad + \sum_{t=s+2}^{s+p} F^{bj} F_{i_1}^a K_{j i_t b}^l T_{ai_2/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{t-1} l i_{t+1}/i_{s+p}}^{h_1/h_r}] T_{h_1/h_r}^{i_1/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}} \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{2} S^{j i_1/i_s h_1/h_r; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}} S_{j i_1/i_s h_1/h_r; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus assuming the space to be compact, we apply Green's theorem and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_M [\{J. H. S. \text{ of (2.8)}\} T_{h_1 h_r}^{i_1/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}} \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{2} S^{j i_1/i_s h_1/h_r; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}} S_{j i_1/i_s h_1/h_r; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}}] d\sigma = 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

which using (2.8), provides the proof of the following.

THEOREM 2.1

A necessary and sufficient condition for a pure tensor, say $T_{i_1/i_s}^{h_1/h_r}$ in a compact Kähler space to be p -analytic is

$$g^{ab} T_{i_1/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}}^{h_1/h_r} ab + \sum_{t=1}^r K_a^{h_t} T_{i_1/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}}^{h_1/h_{t-1} a h_{t+1}/h_r} \\ - \sum_{t=1}^s K_t^a T_{i_1/i_{t-1} a i_{t+1}/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}}^{h_1/h_r} + \sum_{t=s+2}^{s+p} F^{bj} F_{i_1}^a K_{j i_t b}^l T_{a i_2/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{t-1} l i_{t+1}/i_{s+p}}^{h_1/h_r} = 0.$$

As corollaries to this theorem, we have following two theorems

THEOREM 2.2:

A necessary and sufficient condition for a pure covariant tensor T_{i_1/i_r} in a compact Kähler space to be (covariant) p -analytic is that

$$g^{st} T_{i_1/i_r; i_{r+2}/i_{r+p}} st - \sum_{s=1}^r K_{i_s}^a T_{i_1/i_{s-1} a i_{s+1}/i_r; i_{r+2}/i_{r+p}} \\ + \sum_{s=r+2}^{r+p} F^{ij} F_{i_1}^a K_{j i_s t}^b T_{a i_2/i_r; i_{r+2}/i_{s-1} b i_{s+1}/i_{r+p}} = 0. \quad (2.12)$$

THEOREM 2.3:

A necessary and sufficient condition for a pure contravariant tensor T^{i_1/i_r} in a compact Kähler space to be (contravariant) p -analytic is that

$$g^{st} T^{i_1/i_r; i_{r+2}/i_{r+p}} st + \sum_{s=1}^r K_a^{i_s} T^{i_1/i_{s-1} a i_{s+1}/i_r; i_{r+2}/i_{r+p}} \\ - \sum_{s=r+2}^{r+p} F^{ij} F_a^{i_1} K_{b j}^{i_s} T^{a i_2/i_r; i_{r+2}/i_{s-1} b i_{s+1}/i_{r+p}} = 0. \quad (2.13)$$

In particular, if $p = 1$, (2.11) becomes

$$g^{ab} T_{i_1/i_s; ab}^{h_1/h_r} + K_a^{h_1} T_{i_1/i_s}^{a h_2/h_r} + \dots + K_a^{h_r} T_{i_1/i_s}^{h_1/h_{r-1} a} \\ - K_{i_1}^a T_{a i_2/i_s}^{h_1/h_r} - \dots - K_{i_s}^a T_{i_1/i_{s-1} a}^{h_1/h_r} = 0,$$

which is a necessary and sufficient condition for a pure tensor $T_{i_1/i_s}^{h_1/h_r}$ in a compact Kähler space to be analytic. (Yano, p. 101, theorem 9.1)

(3) RELATION BETWEEN ϕ -ANALYTIC AND ϕ -HARMONIC TENSORS :

A necessary and sufficient condition for a skew symmetric tensor field T_{i_1/i_r} to be harmonic of type ϕ is that [3]

$$S \{T_{i_1/i_r; i_{r+2}/i_{r+p}}\} = 0, \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S \{T_{i_1/i_r; i_{r+2}/i_{r+p}}\} &= g^{st} T_{i_1/i_r; i_{r+2}/i_{r+p} st} \\ &- \sum_{s=1}^r K_{i_s}^a T_{i_1/i_{s-1} a i_{s+1}/i_r; i_{r+2}/i_{r+p}} \\ &- \sum_{\substack{s, t=1 \\ s < t}}^r K_{i_t i_s}^{ba} T_{i_1/i_{s-1} a i_{s+1}/i_{t-1} b i_{t+1}/i_r; i_{r+2}/i_{r+p}} \\ &+ \sum_{s=1}^r \sum_{t=r+2}^{r+p} K_{i_t i_s}^b T_{i_1/i_{s-1} a i_{s+1}/i_r; i_{r+2}/i_{t-1} b i_{t+1}/i_{r+p}} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

But, if T_{i_1/i_r} is pure in all its indices, then K_{kj}^{ih} being hybrid in i and h , equation (3.2) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} S \{T_{i_1/i_r; i_{r+2}/i_{r+p}}\} &= g^{st} T_{i_1/i_r; i_{r+2}/i_{r+p} st} \\ &- \sum_{s=1}^r K_{i_s}^a T_{i_1/i_{s-1} a i_{s+1}/i_r; i_{r+2}/i_{r+p}} \\ &+ \sum_{s=1}^r \sum_{t=r+2}^{r+p} K_{i_t i_s}^b T_{i_1/i_{s-1} a i_{s+1}/i_r; i_{r+2}/i_{t-1} b i_{t+1}/i_{r+p}} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

and a necessary and sufficient condition for a pure skew symmetric covariant tensor T_{i_1/i_r} in a compact Kähler space to be (covariant) ϕ -analytic is that

$$\begin{aligned} g^{st} T_{i_1/i_r; i_{r+2}/i_{r+p} st} &- \sum_{s=1}^r T_{i_1/i_{s-1} a i_{s+1}/i_r; i_{r+2}/i_{r+p}} K_{i_s}^a \\ &+ \sum_{s=r+2}^{r+p} F^{tj} F_{i_1}^a K_{j i_s}^b T_{a i_2/i_r; i_{r+2}/i_{s-1} b i_{s+1}/i_{r+p}} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

(3.3) and (3.4) provide the proof of the following

THEOREM 3.1 :

A necessary and sufficient condition for a pure skew symmetric covariant p -analytic tensor in a compact Kähler space to be p -harmonic is

$$\sum_{s=r+2}^{r+p} F^{tj} F_{i_1}^a K_{j i_s}^b T_{a i_2 / i_r; i_{r+2} / i_{s-1} b i_{s+1} / i_r; p} - \sum_{s=1}^r \sum_{t=r+2}^{r+p} T_{i_1 / i_{s-1} a i_{s+1} / i_r; i_{r+2} / i_{t-1} b i_{t+1} / i_r; p} K_{i_t i_s}^b = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

Next, a necessary and sufficient condition for a skew symmetric tensor T^{i_1 / i_r} in a compact Kähler space to be p -Killing is [3]

$$G \{ T^{i_1 / i_r; i_{r+2} / i_{r+p}} \} = 0, \quad (3.6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} G \{ T^{i_1 / i_r; i_{r+2} / i_{r+p}} \} &= g^{st} T^{i_1 / i_r; i_{r+2} / i_{r+p}}_{st} \\ &+ \frac{1}{r} \sum_{s=1}^r T_{i_1 / i_{s-1} a i_{s+1} / i_r; i_{r+2} / i_r; p} K_a^{i_s} \\ &+ \frac{1}{r} \sum_{\substack{s, t=1 \\ s < t}}^r T_{i_1 / i_{s-1} a i_{s+1} / i_{t-1} b i_{t+1} / i_r; i_{r+2} / i_{r+p}} K^{i_t i_s}_{ba} \\ &- \frac{1}{r} \sum_{s=1}^r \sum_{t=r+2}^{r+p} T_{i_1 / i_{s-1} a i_{s+1} / i_r; i_{r+2} / i_{t-1} b i_{t+1} / i_r; p} K_b^{i_t i_s}_a = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$T_{i_1}^{i_2 / i_r; i_{r+2} / i_{r+p}}_{i_1} = 0. \quad (3.8)$$

But, if T^{i_1 / i_r} is pure in all its indices, then K_{kj}^{ih} being hybrid in i and h , equation (3.7) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} g^{st} T^{i_1 / i_r; i_{r+2} / i_{r+p}}_{st} + \frac{1}{r} \sum_{s=1}^r K_a^{i_s} T_{i_1 / i_{s-1} a i_{s+1} / i_r; i_{r+2} / i_r; p} \\ - \frac{1}{r} \sum_{s=1}^r \sum_{t=r+2}^{r+p} T_{i_1 / i_{s-1} a i_{s+1} / i_r; i_{r+2} / i_{t-1} b i_{t+1} / i_r; p} K_b^{i_t i_s}_a = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

and a necessary and sufficient condition for a pure skew symmetric contravariant tensor T^{i_1 / i_r} in a compact Kähler space to be (contravariant) p -analytic is equation (2.13). Equations (3.8), (3.9) and (2.13) provide the proof of the following two theorems.

THEOREM 3.2 :

In a compact Kähler space a contravariant p -analytic tensor $T^{i_1 i_r}$ is p -Killing, if it satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (r-1) \sum_{s=1}^r K_a^{i_s} T^{i_1 i_{s-1} a i_{s+1} i_r i_{r+2} i_{r+p}} \\
 & + \sum_{s=1}^r \sum_{t=r+2}^{r+p} K_b^{i_t i_s} T^{i_1 i_{s-1} a i_{s+1} i_r i_{r+2} i_{t-1} b i_{t+1} i_{r+p}} \\
 & - r \sum_{s=r+2}^{r+p} F^{ij} F_a^{i_1} K_{bj}^{i_s} T^{a i_2 i_r i_{r+2} i_{s-1} b i_{s+1} i_{r+p}} = 0 \quad (3.10)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$T^{i_1 i_2 i_r i_{r+2} i_{r+p} i_1} = 0.$$

THEOREM 3.3 :

A p -Killing tensor $T_{i_1 i_r}$ in a compact Kähler space is contravariant p -analytic, if it satisfies (3.10).

(4) Suppose tensor $T_{i_1 i_s}$ is a covariant $(p+1)$ -analytic, then from section (2), it satisfies

$$F_j^a T^{i_1 i_s}_{; i_{s+2} i_{s+p+1} a} - F_{i_1 a} T_a^{i_2 i_s}_{; i_{s+2} i_{s+p+1} j} = 0. \quad (4.1)$$

Multiplying by $F^{i_{s+p+1}}$ and summing over j and i_{s+p+1} , we get

$$g^{ab} T^{i_1 i_s i_{s+1} i_{s+p}}_{ab} - F^{jb} F_{i_1 a} T_a^{i_2 i_s i_{s+2} i_{s+p}}_{bj} = 0$$

or

$$g^{ab} T^{i_1 i_s i_{s+2} i_{s+p}}_{ab} - F^{jb} F_a^{i_1} T^{a i_2 i_s i_{s+2} i_{s+p}}_{jb} = 0. \quad (4.2)$$

After simplifying the above equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 g^{ab} T^{i_1 i_s i_{s+2} i_{s+p}}_{ab} + \sum_{r=1}^s K_a^{i_r} T^{i_1 i_{r-1} a i_{r+1} i_s i_{s+2} i_{s+p}} \\
 + \sum_{t=s+2}^{s+p} F^{bj} F_a^{i_1} K_{bcj}^{i_t} T^{a i_2 i_s i_{s+2} i_{t-1} c i_{t+1} i_{s+p}} = 0, \quad (4.3)
 \end{aligned}$$

which is necessary and sufficient condition for the tensor to be (contravariant) p -analytic. Hence we have

THEOREM 4.1 :

A covariant $(p + 1)$ -analytic tensor is contravariant p -analytic

Next, let T^{i_1/i_s} be a contravariant $(p + 1)$ -analytic tensor, then again from section (2), we get

$$F_j^a T_{i_1/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p+1} a} - F_{i_1 a} T_{i_2/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p+1} j}^a = 0. \quad (4.4)$$

Multiplying equation (4.4) by $F^{j i_{s+p+1}}$ and summing over j and i_{s+p+1} , we get

$$g^{ab} T_{i_1/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p} ab} + F^{jb} F_{i_1}^a T_{a i_2/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p} j b} = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

Solving equation (4.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} g^{ab} T_{i_1/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p} ab} - \sum_{r=1}^s K_{i_r}^a T_{i_1/i_{r-1} a i_{r+1}/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p}} \\ + \sum_{r=s+2}^{s+p} F^{bj} F_{i_1}^a K_{j b i_r}^c T_{a i_2/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{r-1} c i_{r+1}/i_{s+p}} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

which is a necessary and sufficient condition for the tensor T_{i_1/i_s} to be covariant p -analytic. Thus we can state

THEOREM 4.2 :

A contravariant $(p + 1)$ -analytic tensor is covariant p -analytic.

Theorems (4.1) and (4.2) together give the following two theorems.

THEOREM 4.3 :

A covariant p -analytic tensor is contravariant $\{p - (2r + 1)\}$ -analytic and covariant $(p - 2r)$ -analytic.

THEOREM 4.4 :

A contravariant p -analytic tensor is covariant $\{p - (2r + 1)\}$ -analytic and contravariant $(p - 2r)$ -analytic.

Next, assume that T^{i_1/i_s} is a contravariant $(p + 1)$ -analytic and p -analytic tensor. From theorem (4.2), it is also covariant p -analytic tensor and therefore

$$O_{i_1 j}^{ab} T^{ai_2/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p} b} = 0 \tag{4.7}$$

and

$$* O_{i_1 j}^{ab} T^{ai_2/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p} b} = 0. \tag{4.8}$$

Adding (4.7) and (4.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (* O_{i_1 j}^{ab} + O_{i_1 j}^{ab}) T^{ai_2/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p} b} = 0 \\ i. e. & A_{i_1}^a A_j^b T^{ai_2/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p} b} = 0 \\ i. e. & T_{i_1/i_s; i_{s+2}/i_{s+p} j} = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

and consequently from ([4], p. 170)

$$T_{i_1/i_s; j} = 0. \tag{4.10}$$

Conversely, if

$$T_{i_1/i_s; j} = 0$$

T^{i_1/i_s} is contravariant p -and $(p + 1)$ -analytic. This proves that

THEOREM 4.5 :

A necessary and sufficient condition for a tensor to be contravariant p -and $(p + 1)$ -analytic is that

$$T_{i_1/i_s; j} = 0. \tag{4.11}$$

Using similar technique, we can establish the following

THEOREM 4.6 :

A necessary and sufficient condition for a tensor to be covariant p -and $(p + 1)$ -analytic is that

$$T_{i_1/i_s; j} = 0. \tag{4.12}$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful to Dr. Mrs. K. D. SINGH for her kind help and guidance in the preparation of the present paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] SRIVASTAVA, R., C., *On p -analytic vectors* (under publication)
- [2] YANO, K., *Differential geometry on complex and almost complex spaces*, Pergamon Press (1965).
- [3] SRIVASTAVA, R., C., *On generalizations of harmonic and Killing tensors*, *Annales Polonici Mathematici* XXI (1968), p. 139-153.
- [4] YANO, K., & BOCHNER, S., *Curvature and Betti number*, *Annales of Math. Studies* 32 (1953).