

ON PROXIMATE ORDER (R) OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS
REPRESENTED BY DIRICHLET SERIES (II)

by

PAWAN KUMAR KAMTHAN

Birla College, Pilani, Raj., INDIA.

1. Let $F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n e^{s\lambda_n}$ ($s = \sigma + it$; $0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_n$; $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} n/\lambda_n = D' < \infty$, $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}) = h > 0$; $hD' \leq 1$) be an entire function of order (R) ρ ($0 < \rho < \infty$) represented by Dirichlet series. Sunyer i Balaguer [3] has introduced the notion of proximate order (R) $\rho(\sigma)$: according to him it is a function of σ to satisfy the following conditions:

(i) $\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \rho(\sigma) = \rho$

(ii) $\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \sigma \rho'(\sigma) = 0$

(iii) $\overline{\lim}_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M(\sigma)}{e^{\sigma \rho(\sigma)}} = 1$; $M(\sigma) = \sup_{-\infty < t < \infty} |F(\sigma + it)|$.

Although $\rho(\sigma)$ satisfies (iii), it will, however, be more convenient, in comparing $\log M(\sigma)$ with $\lambda_{\nu(\sigma)}$ and so on, to write

$$\overline{\lim}_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M(\sigma)}{e^{\sigma \rho(\sigma)}} = A.$$

2. Our aim in this note is to establish certain results involving the growth of $\log M(\sigma)$ with $\lambda_{\nu(\sigma)}$ and so on. First of all we establish some general results.

3. Define :

$$A(\sigma) = \exp \int_0^{\sigma} \rho(t) dt; \quad B(\sigma) = \int_0^{\sigma} f(t) \rho(t) dt,$$

where $f(t)$ is a non-decreasing function, at least for $t \geq t_0$. Also let

$$\overline{\lim}_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\sigma)}{A(\sigma)} = \frac{C}{D}; \quad \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B(\sigma)}{A(\sigma)} = \frac{E}{F};$$

4. THEOREM : (i)

$$(4.1) \quad eE \geq Ce^{D/C}; \quad (4.2) \quad F \geq D.$$

$$(4.3) \quad E \leq C; \quad (4.4) \quad F \leq D(1 + \log(C/D)).$$

(ii) If $0 < F \leq E < \infty$, then $0 < D \leq C < \infty$ and conversely.

(iii) If $C = D$ then $E = F$ and conversely.

(iv) If (ii) holds, then

$$e^{-\xi} < \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B(\sigma)}{f(\sigma)} < e^{\xi},$$

where ξ is the root of the equation $eEx + eE - Fe^x = 0$, which lies in the interval $(1, \infty)$.

5. Preliminary lemmas :

Lemma 1 : $e^{\sigma\varrho(\sigma)}$ is an increasing function of σ for $\sigma > \sigma_0$.

For,

$$(e^{\sigma\varrho(\sigma)})' = e^{\sigma\varrho(\sigma)}(\sigma\varrho'(\sigma) + \varrho(\sigma)) > (\varrho - \epsilon)e^{\sigma\varrho(\sigma)},$$

for $\sigma > \sigma_0$; since by (i) and (ii) of §1, we have respectively

$$\sigma\varrho'(\sigma) > -\frac{\epsilon}{2}; \quad \varrho(\sigma) > \varrho - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \text{ for } \sigma > \sigma_0.$$

Therefore, for $\sigma > \sigma_0$, $(e^{\sigma\varrho(\sigma)})' > 0$ and so the lemmas follows.

Lemma 2 : For $0 < k < k_1$, $\exp\{(\sigma+k)\varrho(\sigma+k) - \sigma\varrho(\sigma)\} \rightarrow \exp(\varrho k)$ uniformly as $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$.

For let $\zeta = \max \varrho'(x)$ for $\sigma \leq x \leq k_1 + \sigma$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\exp\{(\sigma+k)\varrho(\sigma+k)\}}{\exp\{\sigma\varrho(\sigma)\}} &= \exp\{k\varrho(\sigma+k)\} \exp\left\{\frac{\varrho(\sigma+k) - \varrho(\sigma)}{k} k\sigma\right\} \\ &= \exp\{k\varrho(\sigma+k)\} \exp(k\sigma\xi), \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < \xi < \zeta$, and so the lemma follows from (i) and (ii) of §1.

Lemma 3 : This is :

$$\frac{A(\sigma+k)}{A(\sigma)} \rightarrow e^{\varrho k}$$

uniformly as $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$.

For

$$\log \left\{ \frac{A(\sigma + k)}{A(\sigma)} \right\} = \int_{\sigma}^{\sigma+k} \frac{f(t)}{A(t)} A'(t) dt = t \varrho(t) \Big|_{\sigma}^{\sigma+k} - \int_{\sigma}^{\sigma+k} t \varrho'(t) dt.$$

But $\left| \int_{\sigma}^{\sigma+k} t \varrho'(t) dt \right| < k\epsilon$ for $\sigma \geq \sigma_0$ and so the result follows from lemma 2.

6. *Proof of the theorem* : Suppose $\mu \geq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} B(\sigma + \mu) &= \int_0^{\sigma} \frac{f(t)}{A(t)} A'(t) dt + \int_{\sigma}^{\sigma+\mu} \frac{f(t)}{A(t)} A'(t) dt \\ \therefore \frac{B(\sigma + \mu)}{A(\sigma + \mu)} &\geq \frac{1}{A(\sigma + \mu)} \int_0^{\sigma} \frac{f(t)}{A(t)} A'(t) dt + \frac{f(\sigma)}{A(\sigma + \mu)} \int_{\sigma}^{\sigma+\mu} \frac{A'(t)}{A(t)} dt \\ &= P + Q. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} P = e^{-e\mu} \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_0^{\sigma} \frac{f(t)}{A(t)} A'(t) dt}{\int_0^{\sigma} A'(t) dt} \geq e^{-e\mu} \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\sigma)}{A(\sigma)} = De^{-e\mu}.$$

$$\overline{\lim}_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} Q = e^{-e\mu} \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\sigma)}{A(\sigma)} \log \left\{ \frac{A(\sigma + \mu)}{A(\sigma)} \right\} = \varrho\mu Ce^{-e\mu}.$$

Therefore

$$(6.1) \quad E = \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B(\sigma)}{A(\sigma)} \geq \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} P + \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} Q \geq De^{-e\mu} + \varrho\mu Ce^{-e\mu}.$$

Similarly

$$(6.2) \quad F = \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B(\sigma)}{A(\sigma)} \leq Ce^{-e\mu} + \varrho\mu D.$$

We also have, following (6.1) and (6.2),

$$(6.3) \quad F \geq D(e^{-e\mu} + \varrho\mu e^{-e\mu}).$$

$$(6.4) \quad E \leq C(e^{-e\mu} + \varrho\mu).$$

Now the maximum and minimum of $(D + \varrho\mu C)e^{-e\mu}$ and $Ce^{-e\mu} + \varrho\mu D$ respectively occur at $\mu = (C-D)/\varrho C$ and $\mu = \frac{1}{\varrho} \log(C/D)$; and so substituting these values of μ in (6.1) and (6.2) we get (4.1) and (4.4). Similarly substituting $\mu = 0$ in (6.3) and (6.4) respectively, we get (4.2) and (4.3). This proves (i).

(ii). Now let $0 < F \leq E < \infty$. Then from (6.1) $C < \infty$. We say $D \neq 0$, for if it is then from (6.2) $F = 0$ and so a contradiction. Hence $D > 0$. Thus $0 < D \leq C < \infty$. Next suppose $0 < D \leq C < \infty$; and we have then from (6.4) and (6.3) $E < \infty$ and $F > 0$. This establishes (ii).

(iii) Let $\mu = 0$. Then from (6.1), (6.4) and (6.2), (6.3) we have

$$D \leq E \leq C; D \leq F \leq C.$$

Suppose first that $D = C$, then the preceding inequalities yield $E = F = C$. Next suppose $E = F$, then we show that $D = C$. To do that let η be an arbitrarily chosen positive number. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + o(1))\varrho\eta f(\sigma) &= f(\sigma) \int_{\sigma-\eta}^{\sigma} \varrho(t) dt \\ &\geq \int_{\sigma-\eta}^{\sigma} f(t) \varrho(t) dt \\ &= B(\sigma) - B(\sigma - \eta) \\ &= A(\sigma) (1 + o(1)) E - (1 + o(1)) EA(\sigma - \eta) \\ &= (1 + o(1))(1 - e^{-\varrho\eta}) E A(\sigma) \\ &= (1 + o(1)) (\varrho\eta + O(\eta^2)) EA(\sigma) \end{aligned}$$

and since η is arbitrary, we find that

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\sigma)}{A(\sigma)} \geq E.$$

Similarly by considering the expression $f(\sigma) \int_{\sigma}^{\sigma+\eta} \varrho(t) dt$ we can show that

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\sigma)}{A(\sigma)} \leq E,$$

and thus (iii) is completely established.

(iv). We have from (4.1) $C < eE$. Hence from (6.2)

$$e^{\varrho\mu} F < eE + \varrho\mu De^{\varrho\mu}.$$

Consider now the equation

$$Eex = Fe^x - eE \quad (0 < F \leq E < \infty)$$

It has one and only one root in the interval $(1, \infty)$. Let it be ξ . So putting $\varrho\mu = \xi$, we have

$$e^{\xi} F - Ee < \xi De^{\xi},$$

or, $eE\xi < \xi De^\xi$, or $D > \frac{eE}{e^\xi}$.

Hence

$$\frac{1}{e^\xi} < \frac{D}{C} \leq \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B(\sigma)}{f(\sigma)} \leq \frac{C}{D} < \frac{Ee^\xi}{eE} = e^\xi.$$

This furnishes the proof of (iv).

Applications: Let $f(\sigma) = \lambda_{\nu(\sigma)}$; $\frac{B(\sigma)}{q} \sim \log \mu(\sigma) \sim \log M(\sigma)$ and so the above theorem includes the results of Srivastava ([2], p. 137) and Rahman ([1], p. 173).

REFERENCES

1. RAHMAN, Q. I. — *A note on entire functions (defined by Dirichlet series) of perfectly regular growth*; Quart. J. Math. 6 (1955), 173-175.
2. SRIVASTAVA, K. N. — *On the maximum term of an entire Dirichlet series*; Pro. Nat. Aca. Scs., (Allha.), India, 27 (1958), 134-146.
3. SUNYER I BALAGUER. — *Sobra la distribución de los valores de una función entera representada por una serie de Dirichlet lagunar*; Rev. Aca. Ciencias, Zaragoza, 5 (1950), 25-49.

